

JAMES K. PRINGLE  
550.621 Probability  
Dr. Jim Fill  
Assignment 4  
April 2, 2014

## Assignment 4

*Chung Exercise 7.2.4*

### Chung Exercise 7.2.4

Prove the sufficiency part of Theorem 7.2.1 without using Theorem 7.1.2, but by elaborating the proof of the latter.

### Chung Theorem 7.2.1

Assume  $\sigma_{nj}^2 < \infty$  for each  $n$  and  $j$  and the reduction hypotheses

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \sigma^2(X_{nj}) = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$E(X_{nj}) = 0 \tag{2}$$

of Sec 7.1. In order that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the two conclusions below both hold

(I)  $S_n$  converges in dist. to  $\Phi$  (standard normal)

(II) the double array (2) of Sec. 7.1 is holospoudic,

it is necessary and sufficient that for each  $\eta > 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x|>\eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

## Assumptions

By way of notation, let  $F_{nj}(x)$  be the d.f. of  $X_{nj}$ . Assume the hypotheses of **Chung Theorem 7.2.1** and assume (3). Note by (1)

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \sigma^2(X_{nj}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int x^2 dF_{nj}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \left( \int_{|x| \leq \eta} + \int_{|x| > \eta} \right) x^2 dF_{nj}(x)$$

so that

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x| > \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) = 1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x| \leq \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x)$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x| \leq \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) = 1 \quad (4)$$

## Discussion

The proof examines the convergence of ch.f.'s of the rows of the double array. By hypothesis,  $S_n$  is the sum of the  $k_n$  independent random variables in the  $n$ -th row. By independence, the ch.f. of  $S_n$  is the product of the ch.f.'s of the random variables of that row. Define  $f_n$  to be the ch.f. of  $S_n$ . Define  $f_{nj}$  to be the ch.f. of  $X_{nj}$

$$f_n(t) = f_{S_n}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} f_{X_{nj}}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} f_{nj}(t)$$

By **Chung Theorem 6.3.2** it is sufficient to show for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  that  $f_n(t)$  converges to the ch.f. of standard normal,  $e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$  in order that  $S_n$  converges in distribution to  $\Phi$ .

Next follow some lemmas that are required for the proof.

### Lemma 1

Let  $\{\theta_{nj}, 1 \leq j \leq k_n, 1 \leq n\}$  be a double array of complex numbers satisfying the following conditions as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

- (i)  $\max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |\theta_{nj}| \rightarrow 0$
- (ii)  $\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |\theta_{nj}| \leq M < \infty$ , where  $M$  does not depend on  $n$
- (iii)  $\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \theta_{nj} \rightarrow \theta$ , where  $\theta$  is a (finite) complex number.

Then we have

$$\prod_{j=1}^{k_n} (1 + \theta_{nj}) \rightarrow e^\theta$$

## Lemma 2

According to Billingsley 26.4<sub>1</sub>

$$|e^{ix} - (1 + ix)| \leq \min\{\frac{1}{2}x^2, 2|x|\}$$

## Lemma 3

According to Billingsley 26.4<sub>2</sub>

$$|e^{ix} - (1 + ix - \frac{1}{2}x^2)| \leq \min\{\frac{1}{6}|x|^3, x^2\}$$

## Proof of the Main Result

Fix  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Notice

$$f_n(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} f_{nj}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} 1 + (f_{nj}(t) - 1)$$

To apply **Lemma 1**, let  $\theta_{nj} = f_{nj}(t) - 1$ .

**Condition (i)**

Let  $\eta > 0$ . Calculating,

$$\begin{aligned}
\max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |\theta_{nj}| &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |f_{nj}(t) - 1| \\
&= \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |f_{nj}(t) - 1 - itE(X_{nj})| \quad \text{by (2)} \\
&= \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} \left| \int (e^{itx} - 1 - itx) dF_{nj}(x) \right| \\
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} \int |e^{itx} - 1 - itx| dF_{nj}(x) \quad \text{by modulus inequality} \\
&\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} \int \frac{1}{2} (tx)^2 dF_{nj}(x) \quad \text{by Lemma 2} \\
&= \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} \frac{1}{2} t^2 \left( \int_{|x| \leq \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) + \int_{|x| > \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} t^2 \eta^2 + \frac{1}{2} t^2 \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} \int_{|x| > \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} t^2 \eta^2 + \frac{1}{2} t^2 \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x| > \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

since the max of a set of positive numbers is less than or equal to the sum of all of them. Thus, by (3),

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |\theta_{nj}| \right) \leq \frac{1}{2} t^2 \eta^2 + \frac{1}{2} t^2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x| > \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) \right) = \frac{1}{2} t^2 \eta^2$$

Since there is no dependence on  $\eta$  in the second term above, and since  $\eta$  is arbitrary and positive,

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |\theta_{nj}| \right) \leq \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{1}{2} t^2 \eta^2 \right) = 0$$

Hence **Condition (i)** holds.

**Condition (ii)**

Calculating,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |\theta_{nj}| &= \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} |f_{nj}(t) - 1| \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int \frac{1}{2} (tx)^2 dF_{nj}(x) \quad \text{by same calculations as for **Condition (i)**} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} t^2 \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int x^2 dF_{nj}(x) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} t^2 \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \sigma^2(X_{nj}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} t^2 \quad \text{by (1).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since this bound does not depend on  $n$  and it is finite, **Condition (ii)** holds.

**Condition (iii)**

Let  $\eta > 0$ . It is claimed that  $\theta = -\frac{t^2}{2}$ , so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \theta_{nj} \rightarrow \theta.$$

Calculating,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \theta_{nj} \right) - \theta \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} (f_{nj}(t) - 1) + \frac{t^2}{2} \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} (f_{nj}(t) - 1) - \frac{(it)^2}{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \sigma^2(X_{nj}) \right) \right| \quad \text{by (1)} \\
&= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} (f_{nj}(t) - 1 - itE(X_{nj})) - \frac{(it)^2}{2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} E(X_{nj}^2) \right) \right| \quad \text{by (2)} \\
&= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \left( f_{nj}(t) - 1 - itE(X_{nj}) - \frac{(it)^2}{2} E(X_{nj}^2) \right) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \left( \int e^{itx} - 1 - itx + \frac{(tx)^2}{2} dF_{nj}(x) \right) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int \left| e^{itx} - 1 - itx + \frac{(tx)^2}{2} \right| dF_{nj}(x) \quad \text{by triangle and modulus inequalities} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \left( \int_{|x| \leq \eta} \left| e^{itx} - 1 - itx + \frac{(tx)^2}{2} \right| dF_{nj}(x) + \int_{|x| > \eta} \left| e^{itx} - 1 - itx + \frac{(tx)^2}{2} \right| dF_{nj}(x) \right) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \left( \int_{|x| \leq \eta} \frac{|x|^3}{6} dF_{nj}(x) + \int_{|x| > \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) \right) \quad \text{by Lemma 3} \\
&\leq \frac{\eta}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x| \leq \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x| > \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit of this nonnegative quantity as  $n$  tends to infinity,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \theta_{nj} \right) - \theta \right| \\
&\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\eta}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x| \leq \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x| > \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) \right) \\
&= \frac{\eta}{6} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x| \leq \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) \right) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \int_{|x| > \eta} x^2 dF_{nj}(x) \right) \\
&= \frac{\eta}{6} \quad \text{by (4) and (3)}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\eta$  is arbitrary and positive, by squeezing,

$$0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \theta_{nj} \right) - \theta \right| \leq \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\eta}{6} = 0$$

and it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \theta_{nj} \right) - \theta \right| = 0$$

Hence,  $\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} \theta_{nj}$  converges to  $\theta = -\frac{t^2}{2}$  as  $n$  tends to infinity. **Condition (iii)** holds. Therefore by **Lemma 1**,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{S_n}(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} 1 + (f_{nj}(t) - 1) = \prod_{j=1}^{k_n} 1 + \theta_{nj} = e^\theta = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$$

Note  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  is arbitrary. Thus for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  it is the case that  $f_{S_n}(t)$  converges to the ch.f. of standard normal,  $e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$ , and by **Chung Theorem 6.3.2**  $S_n$  converges in distribution to  $\Phi$ . Thus (I).

Condition (II), holospoudicity, follows from **Chung Theorem 7.1.1** since **Condition (i)** holds. Alternatively, the proof of holospoudicity copies over from Chung since it does not rely on **Chung Theorem 7.1.2**. Therefore, (3) is sufficient for (I) and (II).

## Acknowledgements

I worked on this problem with Elizabeth, David, and Vivek.