

JAMES K. PRINGLE  
 550.621 Probability  
 Dr. Jim Fill  
 Assignment 2  
 February 27, 2013

## Assignment 2

*All the exercises on the transition probabilities handout*

1. Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$  and  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$  be two measurable spaces and let  $\pi_i : \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega_i$  be the  $i$ th projection,  $i = 1, 2$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C} &:= \pi_1^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_1) \cup \pi_2^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_2), \text{ the class of measurable cylinders,} \\ \mathcal{R} &:= \{A_1 \times A_2 : A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2\}, \text{ the class of measurable rectangles,} \\ \mathcal{U} &:= \left\{ \sum_{j \in J} R_j : J \text{ finite, } R_j \in \mathcal{R} \text{ for each } j \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under complementation.

*Proof.* Given an element of  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have  $C = A_1 \times \Omega_2$  or  $C = \Omega_1 \times A_2$  for some  $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$  or  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . The complement of  $C$  is  $C^c = A_1^c \times \Omega_2$  or  $C^c = \Omega_1 \times A_2^c$ . Since  $\sigma$ -fields are closed under complementation,  $A_1^c \in \mathcal{A}_1$  and  $A_2^c \in \mathcal{A}_2$ . We have covered all possible cases to show that  $C^c \in \mathcal{C}$ .  $\square$

- (b) Show  $\mathcal{R}$  is a  $\pi$ -system.

*Proof.* Let  $A_1 \times A_2 \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $B_1 \times B_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ . Taking the intersection of both sets, we have

$$(A_1 \times A_2) \cap (B_1 \times B_2) = (A_1 \cap B_1) \times (A_2 \cap B_2) \quad (1)$$

Since  $A_i \cap B_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  by the closure under countable intersections of  $\sigma$ -fields,  $(A_1 \times A_2) \cap (B_1 \times B_2) \in \mathcal{R}$ .  $\square$

- (c) Show  $\mathcal{U}$  is the field generated by  $\mathcal{C}$  (and by  $\mathcal{R}$ ).

*Proof.* We already have  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{U}$ . Let  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$  denote the field generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . By definition,  $U = \sum R_j$  (a finite sum). Each  $R_i$  in the sum can be written as  $A_{i1} \times A_{i2}$ . By (1),  $A_{i1} \times A_{i2} = (A_{i1} \times \Omega_2) \cap (\Omega_1 \times A_{i2})$ , the intersection of elements of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Since  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$  is generated from finite complementation, union, and intersection of sets in  $\mathcal{C}$ , it is clear that each  $R_i \in \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$  (by finite intersection). By

this same reasoning, every rectangle is in  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ . Furthermore,  $U = \sum R_j \in \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$  by finite union. This shows  $\mathcal{U} \subset \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle \subset \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ .

Now we show  $\mathcal{U}$  is field. Once we have shown that, it will be clear that the smallest field containing  $\mathcal{C}$ , which is  $\langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ , is a subset of  $\mathcal{U}$ . That, combined with  $\mathcal{U} \subset \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ , will show  $\mathcal{U} = \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$ . Likewise, that will show that the smallest field containing  $\mathcal{R}$ , which is  $\langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$ , is a subset of  $\mathcal{U}$ . That combined with  $\mathcal{U} \subset \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$  will give  $\mathcal{U} = \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$ .

**Closure under binary union:** Let  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}$  with  $U_i = \sum_j^{J_i} R_{ij}$ , a finite sum over  $j$  with  $i = 1, 2$ . Notice elements of  $\mathcal{U}$  are finite unions of disjoint rectangles. We now show that the union of  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  is a union of disjoint rectangles. Define

$$B_{1k} = R_{1k} \setminus \left( \sum_j R_{2j} \right) = R_{1k} \setminus R_{21} \setminus R_{22} \setminus \cdots \setminus R_{2J_2} \quad (2)$$

where here we are evaluating the binary set difference operators from left to right (written that way to avoid copious amounts of parentheses), and  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}$ . Since

$$(A_1 \times A_2) \setminus (B_1 \times B_2) = (A_1 \cap B_1^c) \times (A_2 \times B_2^c) + (A_1 \cap B_1) \times (A_2 \times B_2^c) + (A_1 \cap B_1^c) \times (A_2 \times B_2),$$

a sum of rectangles, we have by "quick" induction (quick because the obvious inductive step—a sum of rectangles minus a last rectangle is the sum of each rectangle in the sum minus the last rectangle—is skipped) that (2) is a sum of rectangles. Therefore, each  $B_{1j}$  is a sum of rectangles. Since  $B_{1j} \subset R_{1j}$  the  $B_{1j}$  are mutually disjoint. Then by construction,  $B_{1j}$  and  $R_{2j}$  are mutually disjoint and their sum is the union is the finite sum of disjoint rectangles equal to the union of  $U_1$  and  $U_2$ . Hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is closed under binary union.

**Closure under complementation:** First a little lemma. Let  $R = A_1 \times A_2$  be a rectangle in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then

$$R^c = (A_1^c \times A_2) \cup (A_1 \times A_2^c) \cup (A_1^c \times A_2^c)$$

is a finite union of rectangles in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Let  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $U = \sum_{j=1}^J R_j$  for rectangles  $R_j$ . We have

$$U^c = \left( \sum_{j=1}^J R_j \right)^c = \bigcap_{j=1}^J R_j^c = \bigcap_{j=1}^J (\cup_{i=1}^{I_j} S_i)$$

where  $S_i$  are rectangles by the little lemma. By the distributive law for sets,  $\bigcap_{j=1}^J (\cup_{i=1}^{I_j} S_i)$  is the union of intersections of rectangles (it is hard to write a closed form since  $I_j$  is variable). By "quick" induction, the intersection of any finite number of rectangles is a rectangle (the base case is (1), and we skip the obvious inductive step). Therefore, the union of intersections of rectangles is the union of

rectangles, and we have

$$U^c = \bigcap_{j=1}^J (\cup_{i=1}^{I_j} S_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^I T_i$$

where  $T_i$  are rectangles and  $I$  is finite. Now we show  $U^c$  can be reduced to the union of disjoint rectangles. We do the classic trick where we let  $B_1 = T_1$ , then  $B_i = T_i \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^{i-1} T_j)$ . Then we have  $\cup_{i=1}^I B_i = \cup_{i=1}^I T_i$  and the  $B_i$  are mutually disjoint. Furthermore, by the “quick” induction based on (2), each  $B_i$  is a sum of disjoint rectangles. This shows  $U^c \in \mathcal{U}$  and we conclude  $\mathcal{U}$  is a field. From our argument above, we can finally conclude  $\mathcal{U} = \langle \mathcal{C} \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \langle \mathcal{R} \rangle$ .  $\square$

2. Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be two spaces; set  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ . Let  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \Psi$  (respectively,  $A \subset \Omega$ ). The section of  $X$  (resp., of  $A$ ) at  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$  is defined to be the function  $X_{\omega_1} : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Psi$  (resp., the set  $A_{\omega_1} \subset \Omega_2$ ) given by  $X_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) = X(\omega_1, \omega_2)$  (resp., by  $A_{\omega_1} = \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A\}$ ).

Show that  $(I_A)_{\omega_1} = I_{A_{\omega_1}}$  for  $A \subset \Omega$  and  $(X^{-1}(B))_{\omega_1} = X_{\omega_1}^{-1}(B)$  for  $B \subset \Psi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \subset \Omega$ . Suppose  $\omega_2 \in A_{\omega_1}$ . Thus  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A$ . Therefore,  $I_{A_{\omega_1}}(\omega_2) = 1$  and  $(I_A)_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) = I_A(\omega_1, \omega_2) = 1$ . And we see both functions agree. Now suppose  $\omega_2 \notin A_{\omega_1}$ . Then  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \notin A$ . Thus  $I_{A_{\omega_1}}(\omega_2) = 0$  and  $(I_A)_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) = I_A(\omega_1, \omega_2) = 0$ . And we conclude  $(I_A)_{\omega_1} = I_{A_{\omega_1}}$  for  $A \subset \Omega$ .

For the second part, note for all  $B \subset \Psi$

$$\begin{aligned} (X^{-1}(B))_{\omega_1} &= \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in X^{-1}(B)\} \\ &= \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : X(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in B\} \\ &= \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : X_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) \in B\} \\ &= (X_{\omega_1})^{-1}(B) \\ &= X_{\omega_1}^{-1}(B) \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

3. Notations are the same as Problem 2. Let  $i_{\omega_1} : \Omega_2 \rightarrow \Omega$  be the injection mapping defined by

$$i_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) = (\omega_1, \omega_2).$$

Show that

$$A_{\omega_1} = i_{\omega_1}^{-1}(A), \quad X_{\omega_1} = X \circ i_{\omega_1}.$$

*Proof.* For the first part, notice

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\omega_1} &= \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in A\} \\ &= \{\omega_2 \in \Omega_2 : i_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) \in A\} \\ &= (i_{\omega_1})^{-1}(A) \\ &= i_{\omega_1}^{-1}(A) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Also, for all  $\omega_2 \in \Omega_2$  it is the case that

$$X_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) = X(\omega_1, \omega_2) = X(i_{\omega_1}(\omega_2)) = X \circ i_{\omega_1}(\omega_2).$$

Hence  $X_{\omega_1} = X \circ i_{\omega_1}$  as desired.  $\square$

4. Let  $\Psi$  be an uncountable set, and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the  $\sigma$ -field in  $\Psi$  generated by the singletons. ( $\mathcal{B}$  consists of the countable and co-countable subsets of  $\Psi$ .) Take  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1) = (\Psi, \mathcal{B}) = (\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$ . Consider the diagonal  $\Delta := \{(\psi, \psi) : \psi \in \Psi\}$  of  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . Show that every section of  $\Delta$  is in  $\mathcal{B}$ , but  $\Delta \notin \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is generated by the singletons of  $\Psi$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  is generated by sets of the form  $\{\psi\} \times \Psi$  and  $\Psi \times \{\psi\}$  for all  $\psi \in \Psi$ . Let

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\{\psi\} \times \Psi \mid \psi \in \Psi\} \cup \{\Psi \times \{\psi\} \mid \psi \in \Psi\},$$

and with the new notation,  $\mathcal{A} = \sigma(\mathcal{S})$ .

Suppose, by way of contradiction,  $\Delta \in \sigma(\mathcal{S})$ . Problem 2.9 in Billingsley states

**Theorem.** *If  $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ , then there exists a countable subclass  $\mathcal{A}_B$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $B \in \sigma(\mathcal{A}_B)$ .*

In the current solution, by problem 2.9, there exists some countable subclass  $\mathcal{S}_\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $\Delta \in \sigma(\mathcal{S}_\Delta)$ . Now it is possible to write out the members of  $\mathcal{S}_\Delta$ . We conclude

$$\mathcal{S}_\Delta = \{\{\psi_i\} \times \Psi \mid i \in I_1\} \cup \{\Psi \times \{\psi_i\} \mid i \in I_2\},$$

Where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are at most countable indexing sets and could possibly be empty. Let  $Q = \{\psi_i \mid i \in I_1 \cup I_2\}$  so that  $Q$  is the set of all elements of  $\Psi$  that form a singleton cylinder in  $\mathcal{S}_\Delta$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the class containing  $Q^c$  and the singletons  $\{\psi_i\}$  where  $i \in I_1 \cup I_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}'$  be the class of countable unions of sets (or blocks) in the partition  $[P_1 \times P_2 : P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}]$  (partition of  $\Psi \times \Psi$ ) and let  $\mathcal{P}'$  include the empty set. (An example, for demonstration, of an element in  $\mathcal{P}'$  is the set  $\{\psi_1\} \times \{\psi_1\} \cup \{\psi_2\} \times Q^c$ ). We claim (i)  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a  $\sigma$ -field and (ii)  $\sigma(\mathcal{S}_\Delta) \subset \mathcal{P}'$ .

- (i) By definition,  $\mathcal{P}'$  includes the empty set. Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is countable, then the blocks of the partition  $[P_1 \times P_2 : P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}]$  are countable. The union of all those blocks is  $\Psi \times \Psi$ , which is therefore contained in  $\mathcal{P}'$ . A set of  $\mathcal{P}'$  is the countable union of blocks of the partition, and that set's complement is the countable union of all the other blocks. Thus  $\mathcal{P}'$  is closed under complementation. Finally,  $\mathcal{P}'$  is closed under countable union by definition. Hence  $\mathcal{P}'$  has the three properties of a  $\sigma$ -field.
- (ii) The elements of  $\mathcal{S}_\Delta$  are contained in  $\mathcal{P}'$  since

$$\begin{aligned}\{\psi_i\} \times \Psi &= \left( \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \{\psi_i\} \times P \right) \in \mathcal{P}' \quad \text{and similarly} \\ \Psi \times \{\psi_i\} &= \left( \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P \times \{\psi_i\} \right) \in \mathcal{P}'.\end{aligned}$$

By (i),  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a  $\sigma$ -field containing  $\mathcal{S}_\Delta$ . Thus  $\sigma(\mathcal{S}_\Delta) \subset \mathcal{P}'$  and  $\Delta \in \mathcal{P}'$ .

Now we seek the contradiction. Set

$$D = \Delta \setminus \left( \bigcup_{\psi \in Q} \{\psi\} \times \{\psi\} \right) \subset Q^c \times Q^c.$$

We have  $D \in \mathcal{P}'$  by the closure properties of  $\mathcal{P}'$ . Since  $Q^c$  is uncountable, there exist  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  in  $Q^c$  with  $\psi_1 \neq \psi_2$ . It follows that  $(\psi_1, \psi_2)$  is an element of  $Q^c \times Q^c$  but it is not an element of  $D$ . Thus  $D$  is a strict subset of  $Q^c \times Q^c$ . Since  $D \in \mathcal{P}'$  it is equal to  $U$ , some countable union of sets in the partition from above. One of those sets in the union that is  $U$  must be  $Q^c \times Q^c$  (if not, then  $D$  is not in  $U$ ). However,  $D$  is a strict subset  $Q^c \times Q^c \subset U$ . Thus  $D \neq U$ . This is our contradiction. Therefore  $\Delta \neq \sigma(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{A}$  as desired. However, for all  $\psi_0 \in \Psi$ , the section

$$\Delta_{\psi_0} = \{\psi : (\psi_0, \psi) \in \Psi \times \Psi\} = \{\psi : (\psi, \psi_0) \in \Psi \times \Psi\} = \{\psi_0\}$$

is an element of  $\mathcal{B}$ . □

5. A transition probability is defined as follows:

A mapping  $T : \Omega_1 \times \mathcal{A}_2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a transition probability from  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$  to  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$  (briefly, from  $\Omega_1$  to  $\Omega_2$ ) if

- (1)  $T(\omega_1; \cdot)$  is a probability measure on  $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$  for each  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , and
- (2)  $T(\cdot; A_2)$  is  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable for each  $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$ .

Show that given (1), then (2) holds if  $T(\cdot; A_2)$  is  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable for all  $A_2$  in some  $\pi$ -system generating  $\mathcal{A}_2$ .

*Proof.* Let (1) be true. Let  $T(\cdot; A_2)$  be  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable for all  $A_2$  in some  $\pi$ -system  $\mathcal{P}$  generating  $\mathcal{A}_2$ . Define

$$\mathcal{G} = \{A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2 : T(\cdot; A_2) \text{ is } \mathcal{A}_1\text{-measurable}\}$$

We now show that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system. **Contains  $\Omega_2$ :** By (1), we have  $T(\omega_1; \Omega_2) = 1$  for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ . Thus  $T(\cdot; \Omega_2)$  is a constant function. Hence it is  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable, and  $\Omega_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ . **Closure under proper difference:** Now suppose  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $B_1 \subset B_2$ . By (1), it follows  $T(\omega_1; B_2 \setminus B_1) = T(\omega_1; B_2) - T(\omega_1; B_1)$  for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ . Since,  $T(\cdot; B_1)$  and  $T(\cdot; B_2)$  are  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable, then, by the corollary to Theorem 3.1.5 in Chung (the closure theorem), their difference,  $T(\cdot; B_2 \setminus B_1)$ , is  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable and is therefore a member of  $\mathcal{G}$ . **Closure under increasing union:** Finally, let  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  be a sequence of sets in  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $B_n \subset B_{n+1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . By (1) and the properties of a probability measure (i.e. monotone sequential continuity from below), for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$  it is true that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(\omega_1; B_n) = T(\omega_1; \cup_n B_n)$$

and the limit exists because it is the limit of a bounded monotone increasing. By Theorem 13.4 in Billingsley, since the limit of  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable  $T(\cdot; B_n)$  exists everywhere (on  $\Omega_1$ ), then that limit,  $T(\cdot; \cup_n B_n)$ , is  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable. Thus it is in  $\mathcal{G}$ . We can conclude that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system.

By hypothesis,  $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{G}$ , whence by the  $\pi$ - $\lambda$  theorem we have  $\sigma(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{A}_2 \subset \mathcal{G}$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{G}$ , it is clear  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{A}_2$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{A}_2$ , which demonstrates (2).  $\square$

6. Let  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1), (\Omega_2, \mathcal{A}_2)$  be measurable spaces,  $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_2$ . Let  $M$  be a probability on  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ , and let  $(T_{\omega_1})_{\omega_1 \in \Omega_1}$  be a transition probability from  $\Omega_1$  to  $\Omega_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \omega_1 \mapsto T_{\omega_1}(A_{\omega_1}) \text{ is } \mathcal{A}_1\text{-measurable}\}$ . Show that

$$T_{\omega_1}((A_1 \times A_2)_{\omega_1}) = I_{A_1}(\omega_1)T_{\omega_1}(A_2). \quad (3)$$

Also show  $\mathcal{G}$  contains the  $\pi$ -system  $\mathcal{R}$ .

*Proof.* Calculating,

$$T_{\omega_1}((A_1 \times A_2)_{\omega_1}) = T(\omega_1; (A_1 \times A_2)_{\omega_1}) = \begin{cases} T(\omega_1; \emptyset) = 0 & \text{if } \omega_1 \notin A_1 \\ T(\omega_1; A_2) = T_{\omega_1}(A_2) & \text{if } \omega_1 \in A_1 \end{cases}.$$

Since,

$$I_{A_1}(\omega_1)T_{\omega_1}(A_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \omega_1 \notin A_1 \\ T_{\omega_1}(A_2) & \text{if } \omega_1 \in A_1 \end{cases},$$

we have (3), as desired.

To show  $\mathcal{G}$  contains  $\mathcal{R}$ , let  $R = A_1 \times A_2 \in \mathcal{R}$ . Our task is to show that the function on  $\Omega_1$  that sends  $\omega_1 \mapsto T_{\omega_1}(R_{\omega_1})$  is a  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable function. By the (3), we have

$$T_{\omega_1}(R_{\omega_1}) = T_{\omega_1}((A_1 \times A_2)_{\omega_1}) = I_{A_1}(\omega_1)T_{\omega_1}(A_2).$$

Since  $T_{\omega_1}$  is a transition probability, for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , we have  $T_{\omega_1}(A_2)$  is constant. The product of  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable functions (an indicator and a constant function) is measurable by the corollary to Theorem 3.1.5 in Chung (the closure theorem). Hence  $T_{\omega_1}(R_{\omega_1})$  is measurable and  $R \in \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{G}$ .  $\square$

7. Notations are the same as Problem 6. Show that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system.

*Proof. Contains  $\Omega$ :* Since for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$ , we have  $T_{\omega_1}(\Omega_{\omega_1}) = T_{\omega_1}(\Omega_2) = 1$  is constant, then  $\omega_1 \mapsto T_{\omega_1}(R_{\omega_1})$  is  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable. **Closure under complementation:** Let  $A \in \mathcal{G}$ . Thus  $\omega_1 \mapsto T_{\omega_1}(A_{\omega_1})$  is  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable. Then, since sectioning commutes with set operations,

$$\omega_1 \mapsto T_{\omega_1}((A^c)_{\omega_1}) = T_{\omega_1}((A_{\omega_1})^c) = 1 - T_{\omega_1}(A_{\omega_1})$$

is  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable by the closure theorem. **Closure under countable union of disjoint sets:** Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots$  be mutually disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then for all  $\omega_1 \in \Omega_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 \mapsto T_{\omega_1} \left( \left( \lim_{I \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^I B_i \right)_{\omega_1} \right) &= T_{\omega_1} \left( \lim_{I \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^I (B_i)_{\omega_1} \right) \quad \text{since sectioning commutes} \\ &= \lim_{I \rightarrow \infty} T_{\omega_1} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^I (B_i)_{\omega_1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

by monotone sequential continuity from below, and that limit exists because it is the limit of a bounded, monotone increasing sequence. Thus by Theorem 13.4 in Billingsley, we have  $\omega_1 \mapsto T_{\omega_1} \left( \left( \lim_{I \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^I B_i \right)_{\omega_1} \right)$  is measurable. Hence,  $\lim_{I \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^I B_i \in \mathcal{G}$ . We conclude  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\lambda$ -system.  $\square$

8. Notations are the same as Problem 6. Let  $M$  be a probability on  $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1)$ . The set function  $MT$ , defined on  $\mathcal{A}$  is

$$MT(A) := \int_{\Omega_1} T_{\omega_1}(A_{\omega_1}) M(d\omega_1).$$

Furthermore, for any nonnegative  $\mathcal{A}$ -random variable  $X$  on  $\Omega$ , the map  $TX$  on  $\Omega_1$  is defined as

$$\omega_1 \mapsto \int_{\Omega_2} X_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) T_{\omega_1}(d\omega_2)$$

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  denote the collection of  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable nonnegative random variables  $X$  such that  $\omega_1 \mapsto \int_{\Omega_2} X_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) T_{\omega_1}(d\omega_2)$  is  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable and  $\langle MT, X \rangle = \langle M, TX \rangle$ . Show that  $I_A \in \mathcal{G}$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . By problem 2 of this homework, we have  $(I_A)_{\omega_1} = I_{A_{\omega_1}}$ . Thus

$$\omega_1 \mapsto \int_{\Omega_2} (I_A)_{\omega_1}(\omega_2) T_{\omega_1}(d\omega_2) = \int_{\Omega_2} I_{A_{\omega_1}}(\omega_2) T_{\omega_1}(d\omega_2) \quad (4)$$

$$= \int_{A_{\omega_1}} T_{\omega_1}(d\omega_2) \quad (5)$$

$$= T_{\omega_1}(A_{\omega_1}) \quad (6)$$

is  $\mathcal{A}_1$ -measurable by the reasoning that follows after problem 7 in the course slides (that  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{A}$ ).

For the second part, calculating gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle MT, I_A \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} I_A(\omega) MT(d\omega) \\ &= \int_A MT(d\omega) \\ &= MT(A) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} T_{\omega_1}(A_{\omega_1}) M(d\omega_1) \quad \text{by the definition of } MT \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} \left( \int_{\Omega_2} I_{A_{\omega_1}}(\omega_2) T_{\omega_1}(d\omega_2) \right) M(d\omega_1) \quad \text{by (4) = (6)} \\ &= \int (TI_A) dM \quad \text{by the definition of } TI_A \\ &= \langle M, TI_A \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $I_A \in \mathcal{G}$ . □

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Tianchen for TeXing some of the problems. That saved time. I am grateful to Jason for spending time with me to explain problems 4 and 5, and for proving Problem 2.9 in Billingsley in Fall 2012. Also, I explained problem 4 to most of the biostats people, so they may have similar notation and methods to mine.