Regularized Anderson Acceleration for Off-Policy Deep Reinforcement Learning

Wenjie Shi, Shiji Song, Hui Wu, Ya-Chu Hsu, Cheng Wu, Gao Huang
Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

NEURAL INFORMATION PROCESSING SYSTEMS

Tsinghua University

MOTIVATION

- Sample inefficiency remains a major limitation of RL for problems with continuous and high dimensional state spaces.
- Sample inefficiency makes learning in real physical systems impractical and severely prohibits the applicability of RL approaches in challenging scenarios.

Existing methods

- To learn the model of system dynamics.
- Applying off-policy training scheme to reuse past experience.

Our method

- RL is closely linked to fixed-point iteration: the optimal policy can be found by solving a fixed-point problem of Bellman operator.
- Anderson acceleration is a method capable of speeding up the computation of fixed point iterations.

METHOD

◆ Anderson Acceleration for Policy Iteration

For a policy iteration, suppose that estimates have been computed up to iteration k, and that in addition to the current estimate Q^{π_k}, the $m-1$ previous estimates $Q^{\pi_{k-1}}$,..., $Q^{\pi_{k-m+1}}$ are also known. Then, a linear combination of estimates Q^{π_i} with coefficients a_i reads

$$Q_\alpha^k = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i Q^{\pi_{k-m+i}} \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1.$$

We define combined Bellman operator $\mathcal{T}_c$ as follows

$$\mathcal{T}_c Q_\alpha^k = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \mathcal{T} Q^{\pi_{k-m+i}}.$$

Then, one searches a vector α^k that minimizes the objective function defined as the combined Bellman residuals among the entire state-action space $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{T}$,

$$\alpha^k = \underset{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\alpha) = \underset{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (\mathcal{T} Q^{\pi_{k-m+i}} - Q^{\pi_{k-m+i}}) \right\|, \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1.$$

◆ Regularized variant with function approximation

Challenges

- Sweeping entire state-action space is intractable.
- Function approximation errors are unavoidable.
- The solution may suffer from ill-conditioning when the squared Bellman residuals matrix is rank-deficient.

Adding a regularization term to the objective function,

$$\tilde{\alpha}^k = \underset{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^m}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (\mathcal{T} Q^{\pi_{k-m+i}} - Q^{\pi_{k-m+i}} + e_{k-m+i}) \right\| + \lambda \|\alpha\|^2, \text{ s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1,$$

where e_{k-m+i} represents the perturbation induced by function approximation errors, λ controls the scale of regularization. The solution can be obtained analytically

$$\tilde{\alpha}^k = \frac{(\tilde{\Delta}_k^T \tilde{\Delta}_k + \lambda I)^{-1} \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}^T (\tilde{\Delta}_k^T \tilde{\Delta}_k + \lambda I)^{-1} \mathbf{1}}, \qquad \tilde{\Delta}_k = [\tilde{\delta}_{k-m+1}, ..., \tilde{\delta}_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{N_A \times m}, \quad \tilde{\delta}_i = \mathcal{T} Q^{\pi_i} - Q^{\pi_i} + e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{N_A}$$

Proposition 1: *Consider two identical PIs $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$with function approximation. $\mathcal{I}_2$ is implemented with RAA and takes into account approximation errors, whereas $\mathcal{I}_1$ is only implemented with vanilla Anderson acceleration. Let α^k and $\tilde{\alpha}^k$ be the coefficient vectors of $\mathcal{I}_1$ and $\mathcal{I}_2$ respectively. Then, we have the following bounds*

$$\|\tilde{\alpha}^k\| \le \sqrt{\frac{\lambda + \|\tilde{\Delta}_k\|^2}{m\lambda}}, \quad \|\tilde{\alpha}^k - \alpha^k\| \le \frac{\|\tilde{\Delta}_k^T \tilde{\Delta}_k - \Delta_k^T \Delta_k\| + \lambda}{\lambda} \|\alpha^k\|.$$

◆ Regularized Anderson acceleration for actor-critic

Under the paradigm of off-policy deep RL, RAA variant of policy iteration degrades into the Bellman equation

RAA-Dueling-DQN: $Q_\theta(s_t, a_t) = \mathbb{E}_{s_{t+1}, r_t} \left[r_t + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{\alpha}_i \max_{a_{t+1}} Q_{\theta^i}(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) \right]$,

RAA-TD3: $Q_\theta(s_t, a_t) = \mathbb{E}_{s_{t+1}, r_t} \left[r_t + \gamma \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{\alpha}_i \widehat{Q}_{\theta^i}(s_{t+1}, \pi_{\phi'}(s_{t+1}) + \epsilon) \right]$, $\widehat{Q}_{\theta^i}(s_t, a_t) = \min_{j=1,2} Q_{\theta_j^i}(s_t, a_t)$.

EXPERIMENTS



Figure 1: Learning Curves of Dueling-DQN, TD3 and their RAA variants on discrete and continuous control tasks.



Figure 2: Sensitivity of RAA-Dueling-DQN to the scaling of regularization on discrete control tasks.



Figure 3: Learning Curves of RAA-TD3 on Walker2d-v2 with different m.



Figure 4: Performance comparison on Walker2d-v2 with different learning rates.