# ▼ Image Classification with PyTorch

# → Data loading

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import torch
import torch.nn as nn
import torch.nn.functional as F
import torch.optim as optim
from torch.utils.data import DataLoader
import torchvision
from torchvision import datasets, transforms
# torchvision contains convinience functions for popular datasets
ds_train = datasets.MNIST('data', train=True, download=True)
            Downloading <a href="http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/train-images-idx3-ubyte.gz">http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/train-images-idx3-ubyte.gz</a>
            \label{localing} \underline{\text{Nonloading $\underline{\text{http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/train-images-idx3-ubyte.gz}}} \ \ \text{to data/MNIST/raw/train-images-idx3-ubyte.gz} \ \ \text{to data/MNIST/raw/train-images-idx3-ubyte.gz}
                                              9912422/9912422 [00:00<00:00, 23651401.79it/s]
            Extracting data/MNIST/raw/train-images-idx3-ubyte.gz to data/MNIST/raw
            Downloading <a href="http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/train-labels-idx1-ubyte.gz">http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/train-labels-idx1-ubyte.gz</a>
            Downloading <a href="http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/train-labels-idx1-ubyte.gz">http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/train-labels-idx1-ubyte.gz</a> to data/MNIST/raw/train-labels-idx1-ubyte.gz 100% | 28881/28881 [00:00<00:00, 132533581.86it/s]
            Extracting data/MNIST/raw/train-labels-idx1-ubyte.gz to data/MNIST/raw
           Extracting data/MNIST/raw/t10k-images-idx3-ubyte.gz to data/MNIST/raw
            Downloading <a href="http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/t10k-labels-idx1-ubyte.gz">http://yann.lecun.com/exdb/mnist/t10k-labels-idx1-ubyte.gz</a>
            \label{lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-lower-low
                                                  4542/4542 [00:00<00:00, 23260718.89it/s]Extracting data/MNIST/raw/t10k-labels-idx1-ubyte.gz to data/MNI ### 4542/4542
```

Each sample is a 28x28 image

```
# if we index this dataset, we get a single data point: a PIL image and an Integer
print(ds_train[0])
ds_train[0][0].resize((120,120))
```

(<PIL.Image.Image image mode=L size=28x28 at 0x7F0F212F4F40>, 5)



ds\_train.train\_data.shape

/usr/local/lib/python3.10/dist-packages/torchvision/datasets/mnist.py:75: UserWarning: train\_data has been renamed data warnings.warn("train\_data has been renamed data") torch.Size([60000, 28, 28])

Let's transform the data to something that our Pytorch models will understand for this purpose, we can supply a transform function to the datase

```
transform = transforms.Compose([
    transforms.ToTensor(),
])
ds_train = datasets.MNIST('data', train=True, download=True, transform=transform)
```

The image is now a torch. Tensor

```
type(ds_train[0][0])
```

The normalization is something you learned about in the lecture. Normalizing with  $\mu=0, \sigma=1$  corresponds to no normalization. Let's compute the proper normalization constants!

```
# lets get only the images
ims_train = ds_train.data
ims_train = ims_train.float() / 255.
ims_train.shape
   torch.Size([60000, 28, 28])
\# TODO: calculate the mean and std of MNIST images
# hint: to look for operations on pytorch tensor, refer to the official PyTorch docs
# https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/
std, mu = torch.std_mean(ims_train, dim=(1,2,0))
print(std.shape, mu.shape)
print(std, mu)
   torch.Size([]) torch.Size([])
   tensor(0.3081) tensor(0.1307)
std = ims_train.std()
mean = ims_train.mean()
std, mean
```

We normalize the data as below.

(tensor(0.3081), tensor(0.1307))

```
transform = transforms.Compose([
    # transforms.Grayscale(),
    transforms.ToTensor(),
    transforms.Normalize(mean=mu, std=std),
])
ds_train = datasets.MNIST('data', train=True, download=True, transform=transform)
ds_test = datasets.MNIST('data', train=False, download=True, transform=transform)

ds_train[0][0].min(), ds_train[0][0].max(), ds_train.data.float().mean()/255
    (tensor(-0.4241), tensor(2.8215), tensor(0.1307))
```

#### ▼ Note

Something has gone wrong in calculating the mean and std, we should have values centered around 0, and std of 1.

However, we have mean value of 0.1307 with larger std than expected, and values are not centred around 0.

```
print(ds_train[0][0].shape)
plt.imshow(ds_train[0][0][0], cmap="gray")
plt.colorbar()
```

```
torch.Size([1, 28, 28])
<matplotlib.colorbar.Colorbar at 0x7f0e548c32b0>
0-
```

Next, we want to receive mini-batches, not only single data points. We use PyTorch's DataLoader class. Build a dataloader with a batch size of 64 and 4 workers (number of subprocess that peform the dataloading). Important: you need to shuffle the training data, not the test data.

**NOTE**: if you encounter some unexpected errors in data loading, try setting NUM WORKERS =  $\theta$ 

# → MLP in Pytorch

Ok, the dataloading works. Let's build our model, PyTorch makes this very easy. We will build replicate the model from our last exercises. However, now, we add another variable called <code>nLayer</code> that indicates how many linear layers that in your network. Please adapt your code from last exercise accordingly to allow different number of layers.

```
# These are the parameters to be used
nInput = 784
nOutput = 10
nLayer = 2
nHidden = 16
act_fn = nn.ReLU()
# TODO: Implement the __init__ of the MLP class.
# insert the activation after every linear layer. Important: the number of
# hidden layers should be variable!
class MLP(nn.Module):
   def __init__(self, nInput, nOutput, nLayer, nHidden, act_fn):
       super(MLP, self).__init__()
       layers = []
       ##### implement this part #####
       if nLayer == 1:
          layers.append(nn.Linear(nInput, nOutput))
       else: # nLayer >= 2
          layers.append(nn.Linear(nInput, nHidden))
          layers.append(act_fn)
          for i in range(nLayer-1): # Already appended one
              if i+1==nLayer-1: # if last layer
                  layers.append(nn.Linear(in_features=nHidden, out_features=nOutput))
                 print(f"{i}: Appended last layer")
                 # Don't append activation to last layer
              else:
                 layers.append(nn.Linear(in_features=nHidden, out_features=nHidden))
                 layers.append(act_fn)
                 print(f"{i}: Appended hidden")
       self.model = nn.Sequential(*layers)
   def forward(self, x):
       x = torch.flatten(x, 1)
       return self.model(x)
# Let's test if the forward pass works
# this should print torch.Size([1, 10])
t = torch.randn(1,1,28,28)
print(t.size())
mlp = MLP(nInput, nOutput, nLayer, nHidden, act_fn)
mlp(t).shape
    torch.Size([1, 1, 28, 28])
    0: Appended last layer
    torch.Size([1, 10])
```

```
MLP(
    (model): Sequential(
        (0): Linear(in_features=784, out_features=16, bias=True)
        (1): ReLU()
        (2): Linear(in_features=16, out_features=10, bias=True)
        )
    )
}
```

We already implemented the test function for you

```
def test(model, dl_test, device='cpu'):
    model.eval()
    test_loss = 0
    correct = 0
    with torch.no_grad():
        for data, target in dl_test:
            data, target = data.to(device), target.to(device)
            output = model(data)
            test_loss += F.cross_entropy(output, target, reduction='sum').item()  # sum up batch loss
            pred = output.argmax(dim=1, keepdim=True)  # get the index of the max log-probability
            correct += pred.eq(target.view_as(pred)).sum().item()

test_loss /= len(dl_test.dataset)

print('\nTest set: Average loss: {:.4f}, Accuracy: {}/{} ({:.3f}%)\n'.format(
            test_loss, correct, len(dl_test.dataset),
            100. * correct / len(dl_test.dataset)))
```

Now you only need to implement the training and you are good to go

```
# TODO: Implement the missing part of the training function. As a loss function we want to use cross entropy
# It can be called with F.cross_entropy().
# Hint: Pass through the model -> Backpropagate gradients -> Take gradient step
def train(model, dl_train, optimizer, epoch, log_interval=100, device='cpu'):
   model.train()
   model.to(device)
   correct = 0
   for batch_idx, (data, target) in enumerate(dl_train):
       data, target = data.to(device), target.to(device)
       # first we need to zero the gradient, otherwise PyTorch would accumulate them
       optimizer.zero_grad()
       ##### implement this part #####
       # Forward
       output = model(data)
       # Backward pass & updates
       loss = F.cross_entropy(output, target)
      loss.backward()
       optimizer.step()
       # stats
       pred = output.argmax(dim=1, keepdim=True) # get the index of the max log-probability
       correct += pred.eq(target.view_as(pred)).sum().item()
       if batch_idx % log_interval == 0:
          print('Train Epoch: {} [{}/{} ({:.0f}%)]\tLoss: {:.6f}'.format(
              epoch, batch_idx * len(data), len(dl_train.dataset),
              100. * batch_idx / len(dl_train), loss.item()))
   print('\nTrain\ set:\ Average\ loss:\ \{:.4f\},\ Accuracy:\ \{\}/\{\}\ (\{:.1f\}\%)\n'.format(
       loss, correct, len(dl_train.dataset),
       100. * correct / len(dl_train.dataset)))
```

Ok, the setup is almost done. The onoly missing part is the optimizer. We are going to use Adam.

```
# reinitialize the mlp, so we can play with parameters right here
mlp = MLP(nInput, nOutput, nLayer, nHidden, act_fn)
optimizer = optim.Adam(mlp.parameters())
```

0: Appended last layer

```
epochs = 10
for epoch in range(1, epochs + 1):
   train(mlp, dl_train, optimizer, epoch, log_interval=100)
    test(mlp, dl_test)
print ('Training is finished.')
    Train Epoch: 7 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                            Loss: 0.102910
    Train Epoch: 7 [51200/60000 (85%)]
                                            Loss: 0.045599
    Train Epoch: 7 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                           Loss: 0.301372
    Train set: Average loss: 0.3170, Accuracy: 57315/60000 (95.5%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.1834, Accuracy: 9474/10000 (94.740%)
    Train Epoch: 8 [0/60000 (0%)]
                                   Loss: 0.194895
                                         Loss: 0.046270
    Train Epoch: 8 [6400/60000 (11%)]
    Train Epoch: 8 [12800/60000 (21%)]
                                            Loss: 0.089866
    Train Epoch: 8 [19200/60000 (32%)]
                                           Loss: 0.207410
    Train Epoch: 8 [25600/60000 (43%)]
                                            Loss: 0.084285
    Train Epoch: 8 [32000/60000 (53%)]
                                           Loss: 0.185919
    Train Epoch: 8 [38400/60000 (64%)]
                                            Loss: 0.187741
    Train Epoch: 8 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                           Loss: 0.227145
                                            Loss: 0.029857
    Train Epoch: 8 [51200/60000 (85%)]
    Train Epoch: 8 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                            Loss: 0.176388
    Train set: Average loss: 0.0822, Accuracy: 57412/60000 (95.7%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.1680, Accuracy: 9515/10000 (95.150%)
    Train Epoch: 9 [0/60000 (0%)]
                                   Loss: 0.167456
    Train Epoch: 9 [6400/60000 (11%)]
                                            Loss: 0.186205
    Train Epoch: 9 [12800/60000 (21%)]
                                           Loss: 0.022938
                                           Loss: 0.087416
    Train Epoch: 9 [19200/60000 (32%)]
    Train Epoch: 9 [25600/60000 (43%)]
                                           Loss: 0.161131
    Train Epoch: 9 [32000/60000 (53%)]
                                           Loss: 0.226196
    Train Epoch: 9 [38400/60000 (64%)]
                                            Loss: 0.127987
    Train Epoch: 9 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                           Loss: 0.109540
    Train Epoch: 9 [51200/60000 (85%)]
                                            Loss: 0.165348
    Train Epoch: 9 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                            Loss: 0.093846
    Train set: Average loss: 0.0197, Accuracy: 57508/60000 (95.8%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.1755, Accuracy: 9465/10000 (94.650%)
    Train Epoch: 10 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.104708
    Train Epoch: 10 [6400/60000 (11%)]
                                            Loss: 0.048414
    Train Epoch: 10 [12800/60000 (21%)]
                                           Loss: 0.046636
    Train Epoch: 10 [19200/60000 (32%)]
                                            Loss: 0.115201
    Train Epoch: 10 [25600/60000 (43%)]
                                           Loss: 0.384288
    Train Epoch: 10 [32000/60000 (53%)]
                                            Loss: 0.168952
    Train Epoch: 10 [38400/60000 (64%)]
                                           Loss: 0.148816
    Train Epoch: 10 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                            Loss: 0.118317
    Train Epoch: 10 [51200/60000 (85%)]
                                            Loss: 0.094993
    Train Epoch: 10 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                            Loss: 0.213566
    Train set: Average loss: 0.0574, Accuracy: 57560/60000 (95.9%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.1696, Accuracy: 9499/10000 (94.990%)
    Training is finished.
```

After training, you should see test accuracies of > 94% - By they way, here we report test accuracy, the last exercises reported test error. Accuracy is simply (1 - error). Both metrics are commonly reported, there is no clear preference in literature for one or the other.

Now, can you do some parameter tuning to boost the test accuracy to > 97%?

```
epochs = 15
for epoch in range(1, epochs + 1):
    train(mlp, dl train, optimizer, epoch, log interval=100, device=device)
   test(mlp, dl_test, device=device)
print ('Training is finished.')
    Train Epoch: 12 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                             Loss: 0.072009
    Train Epoch: 12 [51200/60000 (85%)]
                                             Loss: 0.047842
    Train Epoch: 12 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                             Loss: 0.034302
    Train set: Average loss: 0.0244, Accuracy: 59430/60000 (99.0%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.0952, Accuracy: 9719/10000 (97.190%)
    Train Epoch: 13 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.115214
    Train Epoch: 13 [6400/60000 (11%)]
                                             Loss: 0.006994
    Train Epoch: 13 [12800/60000 (21%)]
                                             Loss: 0.007343
    Train Epoch: 13 [19200/60000 (32%)]
                                            Loss: 0.005871
    Train Epoch: 13 [25600/60000 (43%)]
                                             Loss: 0.036143
    Train Epoch: 13 [32000/60000 (53%)]
                                             Loss: 0.076983
    Train Epoch: 13 [38400/60000 (64%)]
                                             Loss: 0.067518
    Train Epoch: 13 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                             Loss: 0.013043
    Train Epoch: 13 [51200/60000 (85%)]
                                             Loss: 0.021312
    Train Epoch: 13 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                            Loss: 0.003378
    Train set: Average loss: 0.0009, Accuracy: 59471/60000 (99.1%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.0916, Accuracy: 9747/10000 (97.470%)
    Train Epoch: 14 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.004959
    Train Epoch: 14 [6400/60000 (11%)]
                                             Loss: 0.014343
    Train Epoch: 14 [12800/60000 (21%)]
                                             Loss: 0.003528
    Train Epoch: 14 [19200/60000 (32%)]
                                             Loss: 0.020069
    Train Epoch: 14 [25600/60000 (43%)]
                                            Loss: 0.011409
    Train Epoch: 14 [32000/60000 (53%)]
                                            Loss: 0.035863
    Train Epoch: 14 [38400/60000 (64%)]
                                            Loss: 0.021117
    Train Epoch: 14 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                             Loss: 0.005079
    Train Epoch: 14 [51200/60000 (85%)]
                                             Loss: 0.049489
    Train Epoch: 14 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                            Loss: 0.007104
    Train set: Average loss: 0.0125, Accuracy: 59562/60000 (99.3%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.0931, Accuracy: 9752/10000 (97.520%)
    Train Epoch: 15 [0/60000 (0%)] Loss: 0.002734
    Train Epoch: 15 [6400/60000 (11%)]
                                            Loss: 0.007606
    Train Epoch: 15 [12800/60000 (21%)]
                                             Loss: 0.005781
                                             Loss: 0.001259
    Train Epoch: 15 [19200/60000 (32%)]
    Train Epoch: 15 [25600/60000 (43%)]
                                             Loss: 0.017580
    Train Epoch: 15 [32000/60000 (53%)]
                                             Loss: 0.019352
    Train Epoch: 15 [38400/60000 (64%)]
                                             Loss: 0.011877
    Train Epoch: 15 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                             Loss: 0.011792
    Train Epoch: 15 [51200/60000 (85%)]
                                             Loss: 0.008487
    Train Epoch: 15 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                             Loss: 0.004290
    Train set: Average loss: 0.0044, Accuracy: 59608/60000 (99.3%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.1035, Accuracy: 9723/10000 (97.230%)
    Training is finished.
```

· Made network deeper and wider to increase representational power, and lowered learning rate to stabilise learning

Before you move on to the next exercise, you can further play with the other parameters (learning rate, epochs, a different optimizer, etc.) to get a feeling what can improve or hamper performance.

#### → CNN

Alright, we matched our prior performance. Let's surpass it! You will soon see the power of CNN by building a small one yourself. The structure should be as follows

# CNN Architecture

```
Conv: C_{in}=1, C_{out}
=32, K=3,
S=1, P=0
```

ReLU

CNN Architecture  $C_{in}=32, C_{out}$ 

```
= 64, K = 3.
     S=1, P=0
 ReLU
 {\it MaxPool2d:}\ K=2, S=2,
        P = 0
 {\rm Dropout:}\, p=0.25
 C_{out}=128
 ReLU
 {\rm Dropout:}\, p=0.5
 Linear: C_{in} = 128,
      \mathcal{C}_{out}=10
The layers you will need are:
nn.Conv2d, nn.Linear, nn.Dropout, nn.MaxPool2d, nn.Flatten
For layers without parameters you can alternatively use function in the forward pass:
F.max_pool2d, torch.flatten
\mbox{\# TODO: Implement the } \underline{\mbox{ \_init}} \mbox{\_ and forward method of the CNN class.}
# Hint: do not forget to flatten the appropriate dimension after the convolutional blocks.
# A linear layers expect input of the size (B, H) with batch size B and feature size H
class CNN(nn.Module):
   def __init__(self):
       super(CNN, self).__init_
       self.model = nn.Sequential(
           nn.Conv2d(in\_channels=1, out\_channels=32, kernel\_size=(3,3), stride=(1,1), padding=(0,0)),
           nn.ReLU().
           nn.MaxPool2d(kernel_size=(2,2), stride=(2,2), padding=(0,0)),
           nn.Flatten(),
           nn.Dropout(p=0.25), # Dropout after flattening
           nn.Linear(in_features=9216, out_features=128),
           nn.ReLU(),
           nn.Dropout(p=0.5),
           nn.Linear(in_features=128, out_features=10)
    def forward(self, x):
       # print(f"x.shape: {x.shape}")
       return self.model(x)
# Let's test if the forward pass works
# this should print torch.Size([1, 10])
t = torch.randn(1,1,28,28)
cnn = CNN()
cnn(t).shape
    torch.Size([1, 10])
cnn
    CNN (
      (model): Sequential(
        (0): Conv2d(1, 32, kernel_size=(3, 3), stride=(1, 1))
        (1): ReLU()
        (2): Conv2d(32, 64, kernel_size=(3, 3), stride=(1, 1))
        (3): ReLU()
        (4): MaxPool2d(kernel\_size=(2, 2), stride=(2, 2), padding=(0, 0), dilation=1, ceil\_mode=False)
        (5): Flatten(start_dim=1, end_dim=-1)
(6): Dropout(p=0.25, inplace=False)
        (7): Linear(in_features=9216, out_features=128, bias=True)
        (8): ReLU()
        (9): Dropout(p=0.5, inplace=False)
        (10): Linear(in_features=128, out_features=10, bias=True)
```

Alright, let's train!

```
optimizer = optim.Adam(cnn.parameters())
epochs = 5
for epoch in range(1, epochs + 1):
    train(cnn, dl_train, optimizer, epoch, log_interval=100, device=device)
   test(cnn, dl_test, device=device)
    Train Epoch: 2 [38400/60000 (64%)]
                                             Loss: 0.026496
    Train Epoch: 2 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                             Loss: 0.167773
    Train Epoch: 2 [51200/60000 (85%)]
                                             Loss: 0.142578
    Train Epoch: 2 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                             Loss: 0.125159
    Train set: Average loss: 0.2602, Accuracy: 58425/60000 (97.4%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.0402, Accuracy: 9868/10000 (98.680%)
    Train Epoch: 3 [0/60000 (0%)]
                                    Loss: 0.049732
    Train Epoch: 3 [6400/60000 (11%)]
                                             Loss: 0.143663
    Train Epoch: 3 [12800/60000 (21%)]
                                             Loss: 0.023654
    Train Epoch: 3 [19200/60000 (32%)]
                                             Loss: 0.019535
    Train Epoch: 3 [25600/60000 (43%)]
                                             Loss: 0.027258
    Train Epoch: 3 [32000/60000 (53%)]
                                             Loss: 0.027080
    Train Epoch: 3 [38400/60000 (64%)]
                                             Loss: 0.025758
    Train Epoch: 3 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                             Loss: 0.096416
    Train Epoch: 3 [51200/60000 (85%)]
                                             Loss: 0.013278
    Train Epoch: 3 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                             Loss: 0.037945
    Train set: Average loss: 0.0079, Accuracy: 58828/60000 (98.0%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.0319, Accuracy: 9902/10000 (99.020%)
    Train Epoch: 4 [0/60000 (0%)]
                                    Loss: 0.031041
    Train Epoch: 4 [6400/60000 (11%)]
                                             Loss: 0.053597
    Train Epoch: 4 [12800/60000 (21%)]
                                             Loss: 0.192419
    Train Epoch: 4 [19200/60000 (32%)]
                                             Loss: 0.007639
    Train Epoch: 4 [25600/60000 (43%)]
                                            Loss: 0.210701
    Train Epoch: 4 [32000/60000 (53%)]
                                             Loss: 0.036232
    Train Epoch: 4 [38400/60000 (64%)]
                                             Loss: 0.059894
    Train Epoch: 4 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                             Loss: 0.043570
    Train Epoch: 4 [51200/60000 (85%)]
                                             Loss: 0.008980
    Train Epoch: 4 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                             Loss: 0.027518
    Train set: Average loss: 0.0054, Accuracy: 58962/60000 (98.3%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.0365, Accuracy: 9889/10000 (98.890%)
    Train Epoch: 5 [0/60000 (0%)]
                                    Loss: 0.017895
    Train Epoch: 5 [6400/60000 (11%)]
                                            Loss: 0.017580
    Train Epoch: 5 [12800/60000 (21%)]
                                             Loss: 0.016567
    Train Epoch: 5 [19200/60000 (32%)]
                                             Loss: 0.021148
    Train Epoch: 5 [25600/60000 (43%)]
                                             Loss: 0.013810
    Train Epoch: 5
                   [32000/60000 (53%)]
                                             Loss: 0.064169
    Train Epoch: 5 [38400/60000 (64%)]
                                             Loss: 0.044386
    Train Epoch: 5 [44800/60000 (75%)]
                                             Loss: 0.026764
    Train Epoch: 5 [51200/60000 (85%)]
                                             Loss: 0.055242
    Train Epoch: 5 [57600/60000 (96%)]
                                             Loss: 0.017173
    Train set: Average loss: 0.0022, Accuracy: 59145/60000 (98.6%)
    Test set: Average loss: 0.0318, Accuracy: 9898/10000 (98.980%)
```

This will probably take a bit longer to train, as a convolutional network is not very efficient on a CPU. The current settings should get you around 99% accuracy. Nice! Again, you should try different hyperparameters and see how far you can push the performance.

#### Inline Question

If your model weight is randomly initalized, and no training is done as above. What accuracy do you think the model will get for a 10-class classification task in theory?

**Your answer**: The model should output a random class from 0-9 (there will be a random largest logit in the output layer)  $\implies \frac{1}{10}$  chance to randomly be correct, i.e. 10% accuracy.

### Training on CIFAR10

Now we are going to move to something more challenging - CIFAR10. We can reuse most of the code above. Thankfully, CIFAR is also a popular dataset, so we can again make use of a PyTorch convience function.

```
ds_train = datasets.CIFAR10(root='./data', train=True, download=True)

Downloading https://www.cs.toronto.edu/~kriz/cifar-10-python.tar.gz
100%| 170498071/170498071 [00:01<00:00, 91924544.26it/s]
Extracting ./data/cifar-10-python.tar.gz to ./data
```

This dataset is not normalized yet, so we need to calculate the normalization constants.

For CIFAR we want to make use of data augmentation to improve generalization. You will find all data augmentations data are included in torchvision here:

https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/torchvision/transforms.html

```
BATCH SIZE = 128
# TODO: Implement the proper transforms for the training and test dataloaders.
# Then build train and test dataloaders with batch size 128 and 4 workers
# Train:
# - Apply a random crop with size 32 on a padded version of the image with P=4
\# - Flip the image horizontally with a probability of 40 \%
# - Transform to a Tensor
# - Normalize with the constants calculated above
# Test:
# - Transform to a Tensor
# - Normalize with the constants calculated above
transform train = transforms.Compose([
   transforms.RandomCrop(size=32, padding=4),
   transforms.RandomHorizontalFlip(p=0.4),
   transforms.ToTensor(),
   transforms.Normalize(mean=mu, std=std)
1)
transform_test = transforms.Compose([
   transforms.ToTensor(),
   transforms.Normalize(mean=mu, std=std)
1)
{\tt ds\_train = datasets.CIFAR10('./data', train=True, download=True, transform\_train)}
ds\_test = datasets.CIFAR10('./data', train=False, download=True, transform=transform\_test)
dl_train = DataLoader(ds_train, batch_size=BATCH_SIZE, num_workers=NUM_WORKERS, shuffle=True)
dl test = DataLoader(ds test, batch size=BATCH SIZE, num workers=NUM WORKERS)
   Files already downloaded and verified
   Files already downloaded and verified
   /usr/local/lib/python3.10/dist-packages/torch/utils/data/dataloader.py:557: UserWarning: This DataLoader will create 4 w
     warnings.warn(_create_warning_msg(
```

Setting up the optimizer, this time we use SGD. The scheduler adapts the learning rate during traing (you can ignore it)

```
cnn = CNN()
optimizer = optim.SGD(cnn.parameters(), lr=0.1, momentum=0.9, weight_decay=5e-4)
scheduler = torch.optim.lr_scheduler.CosineAnnealingLR(optimizer, T_max=200)

epochs = 5
for epoch in range(1, epochs + 1):
    train(cnn, dl_train, optimizer, epoch, log_interval=100)
    test(cnn, dl_test)
    scheduler.step()
```

```
RuntimeError
                                           Traceback (most recent call last)
<ipython-input-65-ba9429f4ef5e> in <cell line: 2>()
      1 \text{ epochs} = 5
      2 for epoch in range(1, epochs + 1):
----> 3
            train(cnn, dl_train, optimizer, epoch, log_interval=100)
     4
            test(cnn, dl test)
      5
            scheduler.step()
                             - 🗘 10 frames
/usr/local/lib/python3.10/dist-packages/torch/nn/modules/conv.py in _conv_forward(self, input, weight, bias)
                                     weight, bias, self.stride,
    454
                                     _pair(0), self.dilation, self.groups)
    455
--> 456
                return F.conv2d(input, weight, bias, self.stride,
    457
                                 self.padding, self.dilation, self.groups)
    458
RuntimeError: Given groups=1, weight of size [32, 1, 3, 3], expected input[128, 3, 32, 32] to have 1 channels, but got 3
SEARCH STACK OVERFLOW
```

This will not work. You should see the following error message

```
Given groups=1, weight of size [32, 1, 3, 3], expected input[128, 3, 32, 32] to have 1 channels, but got 3 channels instead
```

This error is telling us that something is not right in the definition of our model. Copy the CNN class from above and make changes, so the training works.

```
# TODO: Adapt the definition from the CNN class above to work on CIFAR.
# You can copy and run the following prompt for evaluation:
# CNN()(torch.randn(1,3,32,32)).shape
# It should print 'torch.Size([1, 10])
# Hint: You need to change 2 things.
class CNN(nn.Module):
                   def __init__(self):
                                      super(CNN, self). init
                                      self.model = nn.Sequential(
                                                          nn.Conv2d(in\_channels=3, out\_channels=32, kernel\_size=(3,3), stride=(1,1), padding=(0,0)), linear (3,2), linear 
                                                          nn.ReLU(),
                                                          nn.Conv2d (in\_channels=32, out\_channels=64, kernel\_size=(3,3), stride=(1,1), padding=(0,0)), linear (3,3), l
                                                         nn.ReLU().
                                                         nn.MaxPool2d(kernel\_size=(2,2), stride=(2,2), padding=(0,0)),
                                                         nn.Dropout(p=0.25), # Dropout after flattening
                                                         nn.Linear(in_features=12544, out_features=128),
                                                          nn.ReLU(),
                                                         nn.Dropout(p=0.5),
                                                         nn.Linear(in_features=128, out_features=10)
                    def forward(self, x):
                                      # print(f"x.shape: {x.shape}")
                                      return self.model(x)
```

Let's try again

```
cnn = CNN()
optimizer = optim.SGD(cnn.parameters(), lr=0.1, momentum=0.9, weight_decay=5e-4)
scheduler = torch.optim.lr_scheduler.CosineAnnealingLR(optimizer, T_max=200)

epochs = 10
for epoch in range(1, epochs + 1):
    train(cnn, dl_train, optimizer, epoch, log_interval=100, device=device)
```

```
test(cnn, dl_test, device=device)
scheduler.step()
Train Epoch: 5 [38400/50000 (77%)]
                                         Loss: 1.693543
Train set: Average loss: 1.6703, Accuracy: 19652/50000 (39.3%)
Test set: Average loss: 1.4070, Accuracy: 4863/10000 (48.630%)
Train Epoch: 6 [0/50000 (0%)]
                                Loss: 1.481947
Train Epoch: 6 [12800/50000 (26%)]
                                        Loss: 1.656423
Train Epoch: 6 [25600/50000 (51%)]
                                        Loss: 1.522030
Train Epoch: 6 [38400/50000 (77%)]
                                        Loss: 1.629586
Train set: Average loss: 1.5950, Accuracy: 20472/50000 (40.9%)
Test set: Average loss: 1.4753, Accuracy: 4665/10000 (46.650%)
Train Epoch: 7 [0/50000 (0%)]
                                Loss: 1.886494
Train Epoch: 7 [12800/50000 (26%)]
                                        Loss: 1.770185
Train Epoch: 7 [25600/50000 (51%)]
                                        Loss: 1.620141
Train Epoch: 7 [38400/50000 (77%)]
                                       Loss: 1.504474
Train set: Average loss: 1.6257, Accuracy: 20556/50000 (41.1%)
Test set: Average loss: 1.3518, Accuracy: 5239/10000 (52.390%)
Train Epoch: 8 [0/50000 (0%)]
                                Loss: 1.711290
Train Epoch: 8 [12800/50000 (26%)]
                                        Loss: 1.641759
Train Epoch: 8 [25600/50000 (51%)]
                                        Loss: 1.675023
Train Epoch: 8 [38400/50000 (77%)]
                                        Loss: 1.501010
Train set: Average loss: 1.7511, Accuracy: 21232/50000 (42.5%)
Test set: Average loss: 1.3499, Accuracy: 5129/10000 (51.290%)
Train Epoch: 9 [0/50000 (0%)]
                                Loss: 1.482178
Train Epoch: 9 [12800/50000 (26%)]
                                        Loss: 1.503869
Train Epoch: 9 [25600/50000 (51%)]
                                        Loss: 1.585268
Train Epoch: 9 [38400/50000 (77%)]
                                        Loss: 1.637139
Train set: Average loss: 1.6004, Accuracy: 21391/50000 (42.8%)
Test set: Average loss: 1.4196, Accuracy: 4851/10000 (48.510%)
Train Epoch: 10 [0/50000 (0%)] Loss: 1.649418
Train Epoch: 10 [12800/50000 (26%)]
                                       Loss: 1.578653
Train Epoch: 10 [25600/50000 (51%)]
                                        Loss: 1.628335
Train Epoch: 10 [38400/50000 (77%)]
                                        Loss: 1.434548
Train set: Average loss: 1.6352, Accuracy: 21053/50000 (42.1%)
Test set: Average loss: 1.3469, Accuracy: 5107/10000 (51.070%)
```

This should give 40 - 50 % - and if you are not already on Colab it will give you a stressed out laptop. The performance is a lot better than random, but we can definitely do better.

### ▼ Have fun with GPUs

You can already call it a day until this point because we won't grade the rest of the excecise. You can have more fun with the rest :)

If you didn't already, move to colab. To use a GPU, follow on the collaboratory menu tabs, "Runtime" => "Change runtime type" and set it to GPU. Then run the same training loop but now on GPU.

It as easy as:

```
device = 'cuda'
if device == 'cuda': torch.backends.cudnn.benchmark = True # additional speed up

cnn = CNN()
optimizer = optim.SGD(cnn.parameters(), lr=0.1, momentum=0.9, weight_decay=5e-4)
scheduler = torch.optim.lr_scheduler.CosineAnnealingLR(optimizer, T_max=200)
cnn = cnn.to(device)
epochs = 10
```

```
for epoch in range(1, epochs + 1):
    train(cnn, dl_train, optimizer, epoch, log_interval=100, device=device)
    test(cnn, dl_test, device=device)
    scheduler.step()
```

This should be way faster now. But the true advantage of the GPU is that we can use much bigger models now and still train them in a reasonable amount of time. PyTorch is again very handy. The torchvision library comes with varies state-of-the-art model architectures, some of which you have seen in the lecture.

```
from torchvision.models import resnet18

cnn = resnet18()
print(cnn)
```

Looks scary! But the only thing you need to change to make it work on CIFAR is the last layer. Currently the last layer is:

```
(fc): Linear(in_features=512, out_features=1000, bias=True)
```

out\_features is the number of classes. This models are developed for Imagenet, a dataset with 1000 classes. So this part of the model you need to adapt. Additionally, you need to add a log-softmax layer again, as we us negative log-likelihood as the training criterion.

This should get us well above 75%, the best we got was ~ 80%.

Now, use different torchvision architectures, different optimizers (Adam is always a good choice), data augmentation techniques, and hyperparameter search to achieve a test accuracy of >90 %