ECE491 Advanced compilers final report Implementing a lazy functional language

Jonathan Lam

2022/05/12

Contents

1	\mathbf{Pro}	ject overview	3				
	1.1	Motivation and overview	3				
	1.2	Commentary on the tutorial	3				
	1.3	Implementation setup	3				
2	Background 4						
	2.1	Definition of a programming language	4				
	2.2	Implementations of programming language	4				
	2.3	The untyped λ -calculus	4				
	2.4	Functional programming	4				
3	The	e Core language					
	3.1	Terminology	5				
	3.2	Syntax	5				
	3.3	Dynamic semantics	5				
	3.4	Sample programs	5				
	3.5	Data structures	5				
	3.6	Lexer	5				
	3.7	Parser	5				
	3.8	Pretty-print utility	5				
4	Template instantiation (TI) evaluator						
	4.1	The TI abstract machine	6				
	4.2	Sample evaluations	6				
5	G-Machine (GM) compiler 7						
	5.1	The GM abstract machine	7				
		5.1.1 List of opcodes	7				
	5.2	Compilation schemes	7				
	5.3	Evaluator	7				
	5.4		7				

6	Future work	8
7	Conclusions	ę
8	References	10

	Purely functional	Non-purely functional
Untyped	Core	Scheme ¹
Typed	$Hazel^2$	C_3

Table 1: Summary of programming language implementation projects

1 Project overview

1.1 Motivation and overview

This project was the semester-long project for the ECE491 independent study on advanced compilers. It is the implementation of a simple lazy (normal-order) evaluation functional language similar to Haskell. The work follows the tutorial, "Implementing functional languages: a tutorial" by Simon Peyton Jones at Microsoft Research [2]. This was published two years after Haskell 1.0 was defined in 1990 [1], to which SPJ was a major contributor.

This project is the culmination of my studies in programming languages and functional programming over the past two years. These studies include writing a C compiler in C (Spring 2021), a LISP interpreter in LISP (Summer 2021), and this project, a Core interpreter and compiler in Haskell (Spring 2022). Additionally, my Master's thesis (2021-2022 school year) was about updating the evaluation model of Hazel, a programming language implemented in OCaml. We can summarize these languages in Table 1.

1.2 Commentary on the tutorial

1.3 Implementation setup

TODO: github repo (all three, including this one)

2 Background

2.1 Definition of a programming language

TODO: syntax, semantics

TODO: notation used for dynamic semantics here: state machine?

2.2 Implementations of programming language

TODO: compilers, interpreters

2.3 The untyped λ -calculus

2.4 Functional programming

TODO: haskell and miranda

3 The Core language

3.1 Terminology

TODO: lazy evaluation (different terms), supercombinators, etc.

- 3.2 Syntax
- 3.3 Dynamic semantics
- 3.4 Sample programs
- 3.5 Data structures
- 3.6 Lexer
- 3.7 Parser
- 3.8 Pretty-print utility

- 4 Template instantiation (TI) evaluator
- 4.1 The TI abstract machine
- 4.2 Sample evaluations

- 5 G-Machine (GM) compiler
- 5.1 The GM abstract machine
- 5.1.1 List of opcodes
- 5.2 Compilation schemes
- 5.3 Evaluator
- 5.4 Sample compilations and evaluations

6 Future work

TODO: garbage collection

TODO: last marks of TI and GM

TODO: 3-address machine and parallel g-machine

TODO: lambda lifting

TODO: study implementation of STG

7 Conclusions

8 References

- [1] Paul Hudak et al. "A history of Haskell: being lazy with class". In: Proceedings of the third ACM SIGPLAN conference on History of programming languages. 2007, pp. 12–1.
- [2] Simon L Peyton Jones and David R Lester. "Implementing functional languages: a tutorial". In: Department of Computer Science, University of Glasgow (2000).