### Evaluation with environments

#### Jonathan Lam

2022/01/03

#### 1 Motivation

Evaluation with substitution is not efficient because it forces the re-evaluation of the substituted expression every time it is encountered. A more efficient involves an environment model, where variable values are evaluated and stored in an environment when bound and looked-up when encountered.

(Is evaluation with substitution considered normal order evaluation? This seems similar to normal/applicative order evaluation described in SICP 1.1.5.)

### 2 Overview

The irreducible judgment (for internal expressions) in Hazel is not d val, but rather  $E \vdash d$  final. Thus, final expressions evaluate to themselves. Variables evaluate to the final value that they are bound to (assuming they are bound; otherwise they are free and thus final). Lambdas evaluate to a closure type. The evaluation of a let-expression or function application extends the current environment with the newly-bound variable. For function applications, the current environment is first extended with the closure environment before binding the new variable. When extending an environment  $(E :: E' \text{ or } E, x \leftarrow d)$ , bindings on the right overwrite bindings on the left. The following metatheorem states that environments only include final terms.

**Theorem 1** If the variable binding  $x \leftarrow d$  exists in E, then d final.

This can be proved by induction on an empty environment by observing that all terms added to an environment must be final.

# Big-step semantics

The judgment rules for evaluating variables, lambdas (which evaluate to closures), function application, let-expressions (very similar to function application), and a sample binary operator are shown.

$$E \vdash d \Downarrow d'$$
 Internal expression d evaluates to d' given environment E

$$\frac{E \vdash d \text{ final}}{E \vdash d \Downarrow d} \text{ EvalB-Final } \frac{E}{E, x \leftarrow d \vdash x \Downarrow d} \text{ EvalB-Var}$$

$$E \vdash (\lambda x : \tau . d) \Downarrow [E](\lambda x : \tau . d)$$
 EvalB-Lam

$$\frac{E \vdash d_1 \Downarrow d'_1 \qquad d'_1 \neq ([E']\lambda x : \tau.d) \qquad E \vdash d_2 \Downarrow d'_2}{E \vdash d_1(d_2) \Downarrow d'_1(d'_2)} \text{ EvalB-Ap}_1$$

$$\frac{E \vdash d_1 \Downarrow ([E']\lambda x : \tau.d_1')}{E \vdash d_2 \Downarrow d_2' \qquad E :: E', x \leftarrow d_2' \vdash d_1' \Downarrow d} \text{EvalB-Ap}_2$$

$$\frac{E \vdash d_2 \Downarrow d_2' \qquad E, x \leftarrow d_2' \vdash d_1 \Downarrow d}{E \vdash \mathtt{let} \ x = d_2 \ \mathtt{in} \ d_1 \Downarrow d} \ \mathtt{Eval} \mathtt{B-Let}$$

$$\frac{E \vdash d_1 \Downarrow d_1' \qquad E \vdash d_2 \Downarrow d_2' \qquad (d_1 \neq \underline{n_1} \lor d_2 \neq \underline{n_2})}{E \vdash d_1 + d_2 \Downarrow d_1' + d_2'} \text{ EvalB-Op}_1$$

$$\frac{E \vdash d_1 \Downarrow \underline{n_1} \qquad E \vdash d_2 \Downarrow \underline{n_2}}{E \vdash d_1 + d_2 \Downarrow n_1 + n_2} \text{ EvalB-Op}_2$$

# Small-step semantics

The small-step evaluation judgments equivalent to the above big-step judgments are shown below.

 $E \vdash d \rightarrow d'$  Internal expression d takes an instruction transition to d' given environment E