

PSET 3

1.5 # 2adlf, 3, 5, 10 (29/30)

~~1.5.5 + 5  
2.1.14 + 4  
q~~

Jonathan Lam  
Prof. Mutchler  
MA325  
Lin. Alg.  
9/26/19

2. Determine whether the sets of vectors are linearly independent or dependent.

a)  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 6 \\ 4 & -8 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  in  $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$

linearly independent  $\Leftrightarrow$  no nontrivial lin. combns. of vectors in the set, i.e., determine if system has nontrivial solutions.

$$a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -2 & 4 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 6 \\ 4 & -8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a(1) + b(-2) = 0$$

$$(a(-3) + b(6) = 0) \rightarrow \text{divide by } (-3) \rightarrow a(1) + b(-2) = 0$$

$$(a(-2) + b(4) = 0) \rightarrow \text{divide by } (-2) \rightarrow a(1) + b(-2) = 0$$

$$(a(4) + b(-8) = 0) \rightarrow \text{divide by } 4 \rightarrow a(1) + b(-2) = 0$$

Now only 1 equation remaining with two variables. Any combination  $(a, b) = (2t, t)$   $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$  is a solution, therefore there are nontrivial sol'n's to this lin. comb  $\Rightarrow$  dependent set.

d)  $\{x^3 - x, 2x^3 + 4, -2x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 6\}$  in  $P_3(\mathbb{R})$

same method as in (2a):

$$a(x^3 - x) + b(2x^3 + 4) + c(-2x^3 + 3x^2 + 2x + 6) = 0 = 0x^3 + 0x^2 + 0x + 0$$

polynomials equal  $\Leftrightarrow$  coefficients match:

$$a - 2c = 0 \rightarrow \text{multiply by } -1 \rightarrow -a + 2c = 0, \text{ same as 3rd eq.}$$

$$2b + 3c = 0 \rightarrow \text{multiply by } 2 \rightarrow 4b + 6c = 0, \text{ same as 4th eq.}$$

$$-a + 2c = 0$$

$$4b + 6c = 0$$

$$\begin{cases} -a + 2c = 0 \\ 2b + 3c = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Let } b = t, \text{ then } 2t + 3c = 0 \Rightarrow c = -\frac{2}{3}t$$

$$-a + 2c = 0 \Rightarrow -a + 2\left(-\frac{2}{3}t\right) = 0 \Rightarrow a = -\frac{4}{3}t$$

This means  $(-\frac{4}{3}t, t, -\frac{2}{3}t)$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$  is a sol'n. Since there are nontrivial lin. cons. that sum to 0, this set is linearly dependent.

2f) Using same method as in (2a), (2d);

$\{(1, -1, 2), (2, 0, 1), (-1, 2, -1)\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

$$a(1, -1, 2) + b(2, 0, 1) + c(-1, 2, -1) = (0, 0, 0)$$

$$a + 2b - c = 0$$

$$-a + 2c = 0 \quad \text{add both sides}$$

$$2a + b - c = 0$$

$$a + 2b - c = 0$$

$$2b + c = 0$$

$$-3b + c = 0$$

$$a + 2b - c = 0$$

$$2b + c = 0$$

$$\frac{5}{2}b = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$$

$$2b + (0) = 0 \Rightarrow 2b = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0$$

$$a + 2(0) - (0) \Rightarrow a = 0$$

The only solution to this system of lin. eqs. is

$(a, b, c) = (0, 0, 0)$ , thus there are only trivial solns to the lin. comb  $\Rightarrow$  the set is linearly independent.

3. CLAIM: the set

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad (\text{in } M_{2 \times 3}(F))$$

is linearly dependent.

PROOF:

$$\text{Since: } 1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + (-1) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + (-1) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then there exists a nontrivial lin. comb. which sums to the zero vector in  $M_{2 \times 3}(F)$ , thus the set is linearly dependent.

PSET 3

1.5 # 5, 16

Jonathan Lam  
Prof. Mutchler  
MA 326  
Lin. Alg.  
9/26/19

5. CLAIM: the set  $S = \{1, x, x^2, \dots, x^n\}$  is linearly independent in  $P_n(F)$ .

probably  
better for index  $\alpha$   
single variable.

PROOF: The linear combination over elements in  $S$ :

$a(1) + b(x) + c(x^2) + \dots + z(x^n)$  is only equal to the zero vector of  $P_n = 0 + 0x + 0x^2 + \dots + 0x^n$  if

all the coefficients are equal, i.e.,  $a=0, b=0, c=0, \dots, z=0$ .

Thus, the only linear combination over the elements in

$S$  that sum to 0 is the trivial lin. comb, so  $S$  is linearly independent.

16. Prove: A set  $S$  of vectors is linearly independent  $\Leftrightarrow$  each finite subset of  $S$  is linearly independent.

CLAIM: ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $S$  is lin. ind., then each finite subset of  $S$  is also linearly ind.

PROOF: Let  $T$  be a subset of  $S$ . By (THM 1.6, cor. 1), since  $T \subseteq S$  and  $S$  is lin. ind.,  $T$  is also lin. ind.  $T$  includes all finite subsets of  $S$ , so all finite subsets of  $S$  are lin. ind.

CLAIM: ( $\Leftarrow$ ) If each finite subset of  $S$  is linearly independent, then  $S$  is also linearly independent.

PROOF: Proof by contrapositive: This claim is logically equivalent to proving  $S$  is linearly dependent  $\Rightarrow$  not all finite subsets of  $S$  are linearly independent. Thus, assume  $S$  is lin. dep.

By (DEF lin. dep.),  $\exists$  (finite)  $T = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n\} \subseteq S$ ,  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \in F$  s.t.

$a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 + \dots + a_nu_n$  yields the zero vector in the vector space. Since  $T \subseteq S$ ,  $T$  is also linearly dep. by definition.

Since  $T$  is a finite subset of  $S$ ,  $\exists$  linearly dep.

subset of  $S \Rightarrow$  not all subsets of  $S$  are linearly ind.

20. Let  $V$  be a v.s. with dimension  $n$ , and let  $S$  be subset of  $V$ ,  $\text{span}(S) = V$ .

a) CLAIM: There exists a subset of  $S$  that is a basis for  $V$ .

PROOF: Let  $\beta = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$  be a basis for  $V$ .

Then  $\beta \subseteq V = \text{span}(V)$ , so each vector  $b_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$  can be represented as the lin. comb.  $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} a_{ij} s_{ij}$ ,

for some  $a_{ij} \in F$ ,  $s_{ij} \in S$ ,  $k_i$  finite (since lin. combos involve a finite # of vectors).

Let  $T = \{s_{ij} \in V : \begin{matrix} 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ 1 \leq j \leq k_i \end{matrix}\}$ , i.e.,  $T$  is the set of all vectors from  $S$  in the linear combinations forming the basis vectors, and  $T$  is finite since  $n$  is finite,  $k_i$  is finite  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Since  $\beta$  generates  $V$ , and  $\beta$  can be represented as a lin. comb. of vectors over  $S$ , then  $\forall v \in V$ ,

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i b_i = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} a_{ij} s_{ij} = c_1 a_{11} s_{11} + c_1 a_{12} s_{12} + \dots + c_1 a_{1k_1} s_{1k_1} + c_2 a_{21} s_{21} + \dots + c_n a_{nk_n} s_{nk_n}$$

which is a linear combination of vectors over  $T$ .

Thus  $V$  is generated by  $T$ , a finite subset of  $S$ . By (THM 1.9), there exists a subset of  $T$  that is a basis for  $V$ .

Since  $T \subseteq V$ , that basis must also be in  $S$ .

b) CLAIM:  $S$  contains at least  $n$  vectors

PROOF: By (THM 1.10, Cor 1), all bases of a v.s. have the same cardinality, which is the dimension of the v.s.,  $n$ .

Since a basis is the subset of  $S$ , then  $S$  must contain at least as many vectors as the basis, i.e.,  $n$  vectors.

PSET 3

1.6 #24

Jonathan Lam  
Prof. Mutchler  
MA 326  
Lin. Alg.  
9/27/19

24. Let  $f(x)$  be a polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ . Prove that

$\forall g(x) \in P_n(\mathbb{R}), \exists a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n$  s.t.

$$g(x) = a_0 f(x) + a_1 f'(x) + \dots + a_n f^{(n)}(x)$$

CLAIM:  $\{f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}\}$  is lin. ind.

PROOF: Let  $\mathbf{z}$  be the zero function in  $P(\mathbb{R})$ , i.e.

$$\mathbf{z} = 0 + 0x + 0x^2 + \dots \text{ Assume a lin. comb. over } \{f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}\}:$$

$$c_0 f + c_1 f' + \dots + c_n f^{(n)} = \mathbf{z}. \text{ By calculus, } \forall h \in P_1(\mathbb{R}),$$

$h' \in P_{n-1}(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $\text{degree}(h') < \text{degree}(h)$ . Since  $f$  is the only function with degree  $n$ , it is the only polynomial with a nonzero  $x^n$  term; thus  $c_0 = 0$  or else the result of the lin. comb. would have a nonzero  $x^n$  coefficient.

Now the lin. comb. over  $\{f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}\}$  is equivalent

$$\text{to } c_1 f + c_2 f' + \dots + c_n f^{(n)} = \mathbf{z}. \text{ By the same reasoning}$$

as above,  $c_1$  must be 0, or else the result of the lin.

comb. would have a nonzero  $x^{n-1}$  term. By induction,

$$c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_n = 0. \text{ Thus, } \{f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}\} \text{ is lin. ind.}$$

CLAIM: Any  $g \in P_n(\mathbb{R})$  can be expressed as a lin. comb over  $\{f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}\}$ ,  $f \in P_n(\mathbb{R})$  w/ degree  $n$ .

PROOF:  $\{f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}\}$  was shown above to be lin. ind., and has cardinality  $n+1$  (by inspection).  $\dim(P_n(\mathbb{R})) = n+1$ .

By (THM 1.10 COR. 2),  $\{f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}\}$  is a basis

for  $P_n(\mathbb{R})$ , and thus  $g \in \text{span}(\{f, f', \dots, f^{(n)}\})$ .

PSET 3

Jonathan Lem  
Prof. Mather  
MA 326  
Lin. Alg.  
10/21/19

29 Given that  $W_1, W_2$  finite-dimensional subspaces of v.s.  $V$ ,

a) then  $W_1 + W_2$  finite-dimensional, and  $\dim(W_1 + W_2) = \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2)$   
 $= \dim(W_1 \cap W_2)$

Let  $\dim(W_1) = n$ ,  $\dim(W_2) = m$ ,  $\dim(W_1 \cap W_2) = l$ .

Note that  $W_1 \cap W_2$  subsp.  $W_1, W_2$  (by THM. 1.4),  
so  $\dim(W_1 \cap W_2) \leq \dim(W_1)$ ,  $\dim(W_2)$  (by THM. 1.11).

Fix a basis  $\beta_L$  of  $W_1 \cap W_2$ ,  $\beta_L = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l\}$ .

By (THM 1.10 cor 2), we can extend  $\beta_L$  (which is a  
linearly ind. subset of  $W_1$ ) to a basis  $\beta_N$  for  $W_1$ ,

$\beta_N = \beta_L \cup \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-l}\}$ . The same argument

applies to extend  $\beta_L$  to a basis  $\beta_M$  for  $W_2$ ;  $\beta_M = \beta_L \cup \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{m-l}\}$ .

Finally, let  $\beta = \beta_N \cup \beta_M = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-l}, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{m-l}\}$ .

CLAIM:  $\beta$  generates  $V$ .

PROOF: If  $v \in V$ ,  $v = v_1 + v_2$ ,  $v_1 \in W_1$ ,  $v_2 \in W_2$ . Then:

$$v_1 = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i u_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-l} b_i v_i,$$

$$v_2 = \sum_{i=1}^l c_i u_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-l} d_i v_i$$

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^l (a_i + c_i) u_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-l} b_i v_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-l} d_i v_i$$

$\therefore v \in \text{span}(\beta)$ , so  $\beta$  generates  $V$ .

CLAIM:  $\beta$  is lin. ind.

PROOF: Assume  $\sum_{i=1}^l a_i u_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-l} b_i v_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-l} c_i w_i = 0$ .

$$\text{Then, let } x = -\sum_{i=l+1}^{n-l} c_i w_i = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i u_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-l} b_i v_i.$$

Since  $x$  can be expressed as a lin. comb over  $W_1$ , then

$x \in W_1$ . Since  $x$  can be expressed as a lin. comb. over

$\beta_N = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_l, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-l}\}$ ,  $x \in W_2$  as well, so

$x \in W_1 \cap W_2$  and can be expressed as a lin. comb over  $\beta_L$ :

$x = \sum_{i=1}^l d_i u_i$ . Substituting this back into the original equation:

$\sum_{i=1}^l (a_i + d_i) u_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-l} b_i v_i = 0$ . since this is a lin. comb over  
the lin. ind. set  $\beta_N$ ,  $b_1 = b_2 = \dots = b_{n-l} = 0$ . Thus the

original lin. comb. is equivalent to  $\sum_{i=1}^l a_i u_i + \sum_{i=l+1}^{n-l} c_i w_i = 0$ . Since

this is a lin. comb. over the lin. ind-set  $\beta_M$ ,  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_l =$

$c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_{m-l} = 0$ . Thus all the coefficients must be 0, so  $\beta$  is fin. ind.

CLAIM:  $\dim(w_1 + w_2) = \dim(w_1) + \dim(w_2) - \dim(w_1 \cap w_2)$ .

PROOF: By its construction,  $\text{card}(\beta) = l + (n-l) + (m-l)$   
 $= n+m-l$ , where  $n = \dim(w_1)$ ,  $m = \dim(w_2)$ , and  
 $l = \dim(w_1 \cap w_2)$ . Since  $\beta$  is a basis for  $w_1 + w_2 = V$ ,  
 $\dim(w_1 + w_2) = \text{card}(\beta) = \dim(w_1) + \dim(w_2) - \dim(w_1 \cap w_2)$ .

5) Let  $W_1, W_2$  be finite-dimensional subsp. of  $V$ , and let  $W_1 + W_2 = V$ . Deduce that  $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \Leftrightarrow \dim(W_1) + \dim(W_2) = \dim(V)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PF } (\Rightarrow) : \text{ By (DEF } \oplus\text{), } w_1 \cap w_2 &= \{0\}. \text{ By (part a),} \\ \dim(V) &= \dim(w_1) + \dim(w_2) - \dim(\{0\}) \\ &= \dim(w_1) + \dim(w_2) - 0 = \dim(w_1) + \dim(w_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\dim(w_1 \cap w_2) = 0 \Rightarrow w_1 \cap w_2 = \{0\}$ . Thus  $w_1 \oplus w_2 = V$  by def.

31) Let  $w_1, w_2$  subsp.  $V$ ,  $\dim(W_1) = m$ ,  $\dim(W_2) = n$ ,  $m \geq n$ .

a) CLAIM:  $\dim(w_1 \cap w_2) \leq n$ .

PROOF:  $w_1 \cap w_2$  subsp.  $V$  (by Thm 1.4), and since  $w_1 \cap w_2 \subseteq w_1$ , it is also a subsp. of  $W_1$ .

By (Thm 1.11),  $\dim(w_1 \cap w_2) \leq \dim(w_1) = n$ .

b) CLAIM:  $\dim(w_1 + w_2) \leq m+n$ .

PROOF: By the result of (1.6 exercise # 29),  
 $\dim(w_1 + w_2) = \dim(w_1) + \dim(w_2) - \dim(w_1 \cap w_2)$   
 $= m+n - \dim(w_1 \cap w_2)$ . Since dimension of any  
 v.s. is nonnegative,  $\dim(w_1 + w_2) \leq m+n$ .

14. Let  $V, W$  v.s.,  $T: V \rightarrow W$  linear.

or a vector  
Space?

a) CLAIM:  $T$  1-1  $\Leftrightarrow T$  carries linearly independent subsets of  $V$   
onto linearly independent subsets of  $W$ .  
*abuse of notation leads to utter confusion.  
is  $V$  a set or a vector*

PROOF ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Let  $T$  be 1-1,  $v \in V$  ind.,  $w = T(v)$ .  
Assume some lin. comb. over  $w = 0$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i w_i = 0$ ,  $0 \leq n \leq \text{card}(w)$ .  
Then:  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i T(v_i) = T\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i v_i\right) = 0 = T(0)$  (by linearity of  $T$ ).  
Since  $T$  is 1-1,  $\sum_{i=0}^n a_i v_i = 0$ , and since this is a  
lin. comb over a lin. ind. set  $V$ ,  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$ .  
Since these are also the coefficients to the lin. comb. over  $w$ ,  
yielding 0,  $w$  is also linearly independent.

Very  
poor  
choice  
of notation  
Please

PROOF ( $\Leftarrow$ ): Proof by contrapositive statement: if  $T$  not 1-1, then  
 $\exists$  a lin. ind. subset  $\{x\}$  of  $V$  such that  $\{T(x)\}$  is  
linearly dependent. Since  $T$  not 1-1, by  
(THM 2.4)  $N(T) \neq \{0\}$ , so  $\exists v_0 \in V \neq 0$  s.t.  $T(v_0) = 0$ .

*Contradiction*  
*Standard basis*  
*Show let  $x = v_0$*   
*Definition*  
*Thus  $\{v_0\}$  is ind., but  $\{T(v_0)\} = \{0\}$  is dep.*

b) Suppose  $T$  1-1,  $S \subseteq V$ .

CLAIM:  $S$  lin. ind.  $\Leftrightarrow T(S)$  lin. ind.

PROOF ( $\Rightarrow$ ): This was proved in (part a).

PROOF ( $\Leftarrow$ ): This proof similar to (part a proof  $\Rightarrow$ ): Assume lin. comb. over  $S$ ,  
i.e.,  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i s_i = 0$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq \text{card}(S)$ . Take the transform, i.e.,  
 $T\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i s_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i T(s_i) = T(0) = 0$ . Since  $T(S)$  lin. ind., all  
coefficients  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$ , thus  $S$  must also be lin. ind.

- c) suppose  $\beta = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$  and  $T$  is 1-1 and onto. Prove that  $T(\beta) = \{T(v_1), T(v_2), \dots, T(v_n)\}$  is a basis for  $W$ .

PROOF: By (part b), since  $\beta$  lin. ind. and  $T$  1-1, then  $T(\beta)$  is lin. ind. By (Thm 2.5), since  $T$  1-1,  $\text{rank}(T) = \dim(T) = \text{card}(\beta) = \text{card}(T(\beta))$ . Since  $T$  is onto,  $R(T) = W$ ; since  $\text{card}(T(\beta)) = \text{rank}(T)$ , by (Thm 1.10 cor 2)  $T(\beta)$  is a basis for  $R(T) = W$ .

26.  $T: V \rightarrow W$  is the projection on  $W_1$  along  $W_2$ .

i.e.,  $W_1 \oplus W_2 = V$ ,  $\forall v \in V$ ,  $v = v_1 + v_2$ ,  $v_1 \in W_1$ ,  $v_2 \in W_2$ ,

$$T(v) = T(v_1 + v_2) = v_1.$$

a) Prove that  $T$  is linear, and  $W_1 = \{x \in V : T(x) = x\}$ .

CLAIM:  $T$  linear.

PROOF:  $\forall x = x_1 + x_2, y = y_1 + y_2, x_1, y_1 \in W_1, x_2, y_2 \in W_2, \forall a \in F$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} T(ax + y) &= T(a(x_1 + x_2) + (y_1 + y_2)) = T(ax_1 + ax_2 + y_1 + y_2) \\ &= T(ax_1 + y_1) + (ax_2 + y_2) \\ &= ax_1 + y_1 = aT(x) + T(y) \end{aligned}$$

CLAIM:  $W_1 = \{x \in V : T(x) = x\}$ .

PROOF: (Proof by containment both ways).

If  $x \in W_1$ , then  $x$  also in  $V$  (since  $W_1 \subseteq V$ ).

Since  $0 \in W_2$ ,  $x = x + 0 \in V$ , and  $T(x) = T(x+0) = x$ .

Thus  $x \in \{x \in V : T(x) = x\}$ , so  $W_1 \subseteq \{x \in V : T(x) = x\}$ .

$\forall x \in \{x \in V : T(x) = x\}$ ,  $x$  lies in the codomain  $W_1$  of  $T$  (since  $T(x) = x$ ). Thus  $x \in W_1$ , so  $\{x \in V : T(x) = x\} \subseteq W_1$ .

By containment both ways,  $W_1 = \{x \in V : T(x) = x\}$ .

b) CLAIM:  $W_1 = R(T)$

PROOF: Since the codomain of  $T$  is  $W_1$ ,  $R(T) \subseteq W_1$ .

By (part a),  $W_1 = \{x \in V : T(x) = x\} \subseteq R(T)$

(since  $W_1$  is a subset of values of  $T(x)$ ). By containment both ways,  $W_1 = R(T)$

CLAIM:  $W_2 = N(T)$

PROOF: Let  $v \in V$ . If  $v \in W_2$ , then since  $0 \in W_1$ ,

$$T(v) = T(0+v) = 0, \text{ so } v \in N(T).$$

If  $v \notin W_2$ , then  $v = v_1 + v_2$ ,  $v_1 \in W_1 \neq 0$ ,  $v_2 \in W_2$ , and  $T(v) = T(v_1 + v_2) = v_1 \neq 0$ , so  $v \notin N(T)$ . Thus  $v \in N(T) \Leftrightarrow v \in W_2$ , so  $W_2 = N(T)$ .

c) Describe  $T$  if  $W_1 = V$ .

By (Part a), then  $\forall x \in V, T(x) = x$ , so  $T = I$  (identity transform).

Some properties of  $T$ :  $\dim(W_1) = \text{rank}(T) = \dim(V)$  (by Thm 1.11), and

by (Thm 2.5) and (Thm 2.4),  $T$  is onto, 1-1, and

$$N(T) = W_2 = \{0\}.$$

d) Describe  $T$  if  $W_1$  is the zero subspace.

Then  $\text{rank}(T) = 0$ , and by the dimension theorem (Thm 2.3),

nullity( $T$ ) =  $\dim(V)$ . Since  $W_2 = N(T)$  (by part b),

by (Thm 1.11),  $N(T) = V$ . This means that  $T$  is the zero transformation, i.e.,  $\forall x \in V, T(x) = 0$ .

2. Let  $\beta, \gamma$  be standard ordered bases for  $\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^m$ , respectively.  
 For each lin. transformation  $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , compute  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$ .

a)  $T: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, T(a_1, a_2) = (2a_1 - a_2, 3a_1 + 4a_2, a_1)$

$$T(1, 0) = (2, 3, 1) = 2(1, 0, 0) + 3(0, 1, 0) + 1(0, 0, 1)$$

$$T(0, 1) = (-1, 4, 0) = -1(1, 0, 0) + 4(0, 1, 0) + 0(0, 0, 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

f)  $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, T(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1)$

$$T(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$$

$$T(0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1, 0)$$

$$T(0, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$$

$$T(0, 0, \dots, 1, 0) = (0, 1, \dots, 0, 0)$$

$$T(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow [T]_{\beta}^{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(i.e., ones on counterdiagonal, 0's elsewhere).

5(b)  $T: P_2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}), T(f(x)) = \begin{pmatrix} f'(0) & 2f'(1) \\ 0 & f''(3) \end{pmatrix}$

(compute  $[T]_{\beta}^{\alpha}$ .  $\beta = \{1, x, x^2\}, \alpha = \{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\}$ )

$$T(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 0\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 0\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 1\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 0\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 0\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T(x^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = 0\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 0\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow [T]_{\beta}^{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

f)  $f(x) = 3 - 6x + x^2$ , compute  $[f(x)]_\beta$ . ( $\beta = \{1, x, x^2\}$ )

$$f(x) = 3(1) + (-6)(x) + (1)x^2,$$

$$\text{so } [f(x)]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ -6 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

II. Let  $V$  be vs,  $\dim(V) = n$ ,  $T: V \rightarrow V$  linear.

Suppose  $W$  is  $T$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ ,  $\dim(W) = k$ .

Show that there is a basis  $\beta$  for  $V$  s.t.  $[T]_\beta$  has the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } A \text{ is } k \times k \text{ matrix, } 0 \text{ is the } (n-k) \times k \text{ zero matrix}$$

PROOF: Let  $\beta' = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  be a basis for  $W$ ,

since  $W$  subspace of  $V$ ,  $\dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$ , so  $k \leq n$ .

By from 1.10 cor 2),  $\beta'$  can be grown into a basis

$$\beta = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n-k}\} \text{ for } V.$$

Construct the matrix representation of  $T$  in the ordered basis  $\beta$

by finding the coordinate vector of each basis vector. Since

$W$  is  $T$ -invariant,  $T(u_i) \in W$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , so

$$T(u_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij}u_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} (0)v_j, \text{ and the coordinate}$$

$$\text{vector is } \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \\ \vdots \\ a_{K1} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } u_i \text{ (first } k \text{ basis vectors),}$$

$\left. \begin{array}{c} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_K \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{array} \right\} K$  where  $a_j$  are arbitrary scalars

$\left. \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{array} \right\} K-n$

This will be the form of the first  $k$  column vectors (corresponding to  $\beta'$ ) in the matrix representation of  $T$  in the ordered basis  $\beta$ :

$$k \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{cccc|cc} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1K} & b_{11} & \dots & b_{1(n-k)} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2K} & b_{21} & \dots & b_{2(n-k)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{K1} & a_{K2} & \dots & a_{KK} & b_{K1} & \dots & b_{K(n-k)} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_{11} & \dots & c_{1(n-k)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & c_{(n-k)1} & \dots & c_{(n-k)(n-k)} \end{array} \right) \right\} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } A \in M_{k \times k}(F), B \in M_{k \times (n-k)}(F), C \in M_{(n-k) \times (n-k)}(F), \text{ and } 0 \text{ is the } (n-k) \times k \text{ zero matrix. Elements in } A, B, C, \text{ are arbitrary.}$$