

# SEC 10-K Risk Factor Intelligence

## NLP Portfolio Project Outline

### Thesis

*"Can we automatically classify corporate risk disclosures into meaningful categories and identify which risks are boilerplate vs. materially substantive?"*

This frames NLP as a decision-support tool for analysts, compliance teams, or investors—more practical and differentiated than typical sentiment-to-returns approaches.

### Strategic Value: Differentiation

Common Approach	Your Differentiated Approach
Sentiment scoring → predict returns	Risk taxonomy classification
Aggregate tone metrics	Document-level + sentence-level analysis
Backward-looking correlation	Forward-looking: detect new/emerging risks
Single model	Progressive complexity: TF-IDF → BERT

### Dataset

**EDGAR-CORPUS** on Hugging Face

- URL: <https://huggingface.co/datasets/eloukas/edgar-corpus>
- 10-K filings from 1993–2020, pre-parsed and ready to load
- Focus on **Item 1A: Risk Factors** section (required since 2005)

**Alternative:** Use `edgar-crawler` (<https://github.com/nlpauieb/edgar-crawler>) to pull more recent filings (2021–2024) for current data.

# Project Phases

## Phase 1: Data Acquisition & Exploration

### Tasks:

- Load EDGAR-CORPUS from Hugging Face
- Filter to 10-K filings, 2006–2020 (Item 1A required from 2005)
- Extract Item 1A (Risk Factors) section
- Sample 3–5 filings manually to understand structure
- Basic EDA: document lengths, word counts by year, filing volume by industry (SIC codes)

**Deliverable:** Clean dataset of ~50,000+ Risk Factor sections with metadata (ticker, year, industry)

## Phase 2: Text Preprocessing Pipeline

### Tasks:

- Lowercase, remove HTML artifacts
- Handle legal boilerplate markers ("Item 1A", section headers)
- Sentence segmentation (critical—risk factors are paragraph-dense)
- Tokenization choices: word-level vs. subword (for transformers later)
- Optional: Remove tables/numeric content or flag them

**Key Decision:** Work at both document-level and sentence-level. Sentence-level enables classification of individual risks.

**Deliverable:** Preprocessing module (reusable Python code)

## Phase 3: Risk Taxonomy Development

### Tasks:

- Review SEC guidance and academic literature on risk categories
- Define 8–12 risk categories (examples below)
- Manually label 500–1,000 sentences as training data

Risk Category	Risk Category
Regulatory/Legal	Cybersecurity/Technology
Competitive/Market	Macroeconomic
Operational	Supply Chain
Financial/Liquidity	Reputational
Environmental/Climate	Key Personnel

**Deliverable:** Labeled training set + taxonomy documentation

## Phase 4: Baseline Models

### Tasks:

- **TF-IDF + Logistic Regression** — explainable baseline
- **TF-IDF + SVM** — often strong for text classification
- **TF-IDF + Random Forest** — for comparison
- Evaluation: accuracy, macro F1, confusion matrix
- Error analysis: which categories are confused?

**Why this matters:** Interviewers will ask "why did you use BERT?" You need to show you tried simpler methods first.

**Deliverable:** Baseline results table, confusion matrices

## Phase 5: Word Embeddings & Neural Approaches

### Tasks:

- **Word2Vec / GloVe embeddings** + LSTM or simple feedforward
- Compare pre-trained embeddings vs. domain-specific
- Optional: Train embeddings on your corpus
- Optional: CNN for text classification

**Deliverable:** Embedding-based model results, comparison to baselines

## Phase 6: Transformer Fine-Tuning

### Tasks:

- Fine-tune **DistilBERT** or **RoBERTa** on labeled data
- Use Hugging Face transformers library
- Handle long documents: chunking strategy (10-K risk sections can exceed 5,000 words; BERT max is 512 tokens)
- Hyperparameter tuning: learning rate, batch size, epochs
- Evaluate: F1, precision/recall by category

**Stretch goal:** Try FinBERT (pre-trained on financial text) and compare to generic BERT

**Deliverable:** Fine-tuned model, performance comparison table

## Phase 7: Boilerplate vs. Material Risk Detection

*This is your key differentiator.*

### Approach Options:

1. **Year-over-year change detection:** Compare company's 2020 vs. 2019 risk factors. Flag sentences that are new or significantly modified.
2. **Similarity scoring:** Compute cosine similarity of a company's risk section to industry peers. High similarity = boilerplate.
3. **Novelty detection:** Use embeddings to identify sentences that are outliers relative to the corpus.

### Tasks:

- Implement change detection for a sample of companies
- Visualize: "What new risks did Company X disclose in 2020 vs. 2019?"
- Quantify: % boilerplate vs. material by industry

**Deliverable:** Boilerplate detection module, case study examples

## Phase 8: Business Value & Interpretation

### Tasks:

- Frame results for a business audience
- Example: "An analyst reviewing 50 10-Ks could reduce review time by X% using automated classification"
- Example: "Model correctly identified 3 companies that disclosed new cyber risks before breach announcements"
- Calculate potential efficiency gains
- Discuss limitations honestly

**Deliverable:** Executive summary section for write-up

## Phase 9: Deployment Artifact

**Options (pick one):**

### Option A: Streamlit App (Recommended)

- User inputs ticker + year range
- App displays: risk category breakdown, year-over-year changes, boilerplate score
- Interactive visualizations

### Option B: Jupyter Notebook Report

- Polished, narrative-driven notebook suitable for sharing
- Clear visualizations, minimal code visible

### Option C: FastAPI Endpoint

- POST text → returns risk categories + confidence scores
- Demonstrates production-readiness

## Technical Stack

Component	Tool
Data loading	Hugging Face datasets, pandas
Preprocessing	spaCy, NLTK, regex
Traditional ML	scikit-learn
Deep Learning	PyTorch, Hugging Face transformers
Embeddings	Gensim (Word2Vec), Hugging Face
Visualization	matplotlib, seaborn, plotly
Deployment	Streamlit
Version control	Git/GitHub

## Skills Demonstrated

Skill	Evidence
NLP preprocessing	Custom pipeline for messy legal text
Feature engineering	TF-IDF, embeddings, chunking strategies
Classical ML	Logistic regression, SVM baselines
Deep learning	LSTM, transformer fine-tuning
Hugging Face ecosystem	Loading datasets, fine-tuning models
Handling long documents	Chunking/aggregation for BERT limits
Model evaluation	F1, confusion matrices, error analysis
Domain expertise	Financial text, SEC filings
Deployment	Interactive Streamlit app
Communication	Business value framing

## Final Deliverables

1. **GitHub repository** — clean code, README, requirements.txt

2. **Streamlit app** — deployed on Streamlit Cloud
3. **Technical write-up** — methodology, results, limitations (README or separate PDF)
4. **Portfolio page** — summary for your website

## Risks & Mitigations

Risk	Mitigation
Manual labeling is slow	Start with 500 labels; use active learning or semi-supervised if needed
Long documents exceed BERT limits	Chunking + aggregation strategy (mean pooling, hierarchical attention)
Weak classification results	Frame as exploratory; baseline comparison still shows methodology
Scope creep	Strict phase gates; cut boilerplate detection if behind

## Key Resources

- **Dataset:** <https://huggingface.co/datasets/eloukas/edgar-corpus>
- **Data Crawler:** <https://github.com/nlpauieb/edgar-crawler>
- **SEC EDGAR:** <https://www.sec.gov/search-filings/edgar-search-assistance/accessing-edgar-data>
- **Loughran-McDonald Dictionary:** Standard financial sentiment lexicon for benchmarking