# Modern Optimization

Jean-Luc Bouchot

School of Mathematics and Statistics Beijing Institute of Technology jlbouchot@bit.edu.cn

Spring 2021

Convex functions and analysis- review

# Outline

Convex functions and analysis- review

### Proposition 2.1 (Cauchy Schwarz)

Remember the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality: let  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we have

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \le \|\mathbf{u}\|\mathbf{v}\|.$$

This allows, if needed, to define an angle between the two vectors (assuming non zero vectors):

$$\cos(\alpha) = \frac{\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle}{\|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|}.$$

#### Definition 2.1 (Convex sets)

Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that C is **convex** if for any two points  $x,y \in C$ , for any  $\lambda \in [0,1]$ ,

$$\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in C.$$

Let's doodle some examples.

### Remark 2.1

Let  $\mathcal I$  be a countable index set and  $\{C_i\}_{i\in\mathcal I}$  a family of convex sets. Then

 $\cap_{i\in\mathcal{I}}C_i$ 

is convex.

Definition 2.2 (Graph-Epigraph)

Let  $f : dom(f) \to \mathbb{R}$ .

- The graph of f is the set of points  $\{(x, f(x)), x \in \text{dom}(f)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ .
- The **epigraph** of f is the set of points above the graph:

$$\mathrm{epi}(f) = \{(x,y), x \in \mathrm{dom}(f), y \geq f(x)\}.$$

Let's see what this looks like

# Definition 2.3 (Convex function)

Let  $f : dom(f) \to \mathbb{R}$ . We say that f is **convex** if:

- $\bullet$  dom(f) is convex, and
- ② for all  $x, y \in dom(f)$ , for all  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \le \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y).$$

Let  $f(x) = a^T x + c$  for a given vector  $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . f is convex.

#### Remark 2.2

The previous example is very specific in that it is convex with, in fact, equality instead of inequality.

If a function has a strict inequality, we will talk about strict convexity (albeit limiting to  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ ). We will come back to this in the near future.

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be a positive definite matrix and define  $f(x) = x^T Q x$ . f is a convex function.

# Proposition 2.2

Let  $f : dom(f) \to \mathbb{R}$ . f is convex  $\Leftrightarrow epi(f)$  is convex.

# Proposition 2.3 (Jensen's inequality)

Let  $f: \mathrm{dom}(f) \to \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function. Let  $x_1, \cdots, x_n$  be n points in  $\mathrm{dom}(f) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$  be n nonnegative numbers such that  $\sum \lambda_i = 1$ . Then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i x_i\right) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i f(x_i).$$

### Proposition 2.4

Let f be a convex function on the open set dom(f). Then f is continuous.

Definition 2.4 (Lipschitz continuity)

A function  $f: dom(f) \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be **Lipschitz continuous** with Lipschitz constant L (sometimes expressed as L-Lipschitz or, if context is clear, simply f is Lipschitz) if

$$||f(x) - f(y)|| \le L||x - y||, \quad \forall x, y \in \text{dom}(f).$$

#### Theorem 2.1

Let  $f: \text{dom}(f) \to \mathbb{R}^m$  be a differentiable function and let  $X \subset \text{dom}(f)$  be an open convex set. Let  $L \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- f is L-Lipschitz.
- ② The differentials of f are bounded by L, i.e.

$$||Df(x)|| \le L, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

where D denotes the differential operator (or Jacobian), defined as the unique operator A such that for all y in a neighbourhood of x, we have

$$f(y) = f(x) + A(y - x) + r(y - x),$$

with

$$\lim_{v \to 0} \frac{\|r(v)\|}{\|v\|} = 0.$$

Example 2.3 (in-class)

Consider all the assumptions from the previous theorem except that X is just convex. What can be said about the conclusion?

#### Theorem 2.2

Let  $f: dom(f) \to \mathbb{R}$  with dom(f) open. Assume furthermore that f is differentiable on dom(f). Then f is convex if and only if

- dom(f) is convex and
- the inequality  $f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y-x)$  holds for all  $x, y \in \text{dom}(f)$ .

Use the first order condition to show the convexity of  $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ .

#### Theorem 2.3

Let f be a twice continuously differentiable function. Then f is convex if and only if  $\mathrm{dom}(f)$  is convex and for all  $x \in \mathrm{dom}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  the Hessian  $H_f(x) = \nabla^2 f(x)$  is positive semidefinite.

#### Lemma 1

A function  $f : dom(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex if and only  $g_{x,y}(t) := f(x+ty)$  is (univariate) convex as a function of f.

Note that the domain of g is dependent on the variables  $x, y \in dom(f)$ .

The negative entropy function f defined as

$$f: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}_{>0} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ x & \mapsto & x \log(x) \end{array} \right.$$

is a convex function.

Let f be the function defined as

$$f: \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} & \to & \mathbb{R} \\ (x,y) & \mapsto & \frac{x^2}{y}. \end{array} \right.$$

f is convex.

# Definition 2.5 (Convex hull)

For a set C, its convex hull is defined as the set of all convex combinations of points in C, i.e.

$$\mathrm{conv}(C) := \left\{ y = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i x_i \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}, \{x_i\}_i \in C^k, \alpha_i \geq 0, \sum \alpha_i = 1 \right\}.$$

Definition 2.6 (Cones)

A set C is called a **cone** if it is nonnegative homogeneous, i.e.

$$\forall \alpha \geq 0, x \in C, \alpha x \in C.$$

Definition 2.7 (Convex cones)

A set C is called a convex cone if it is a cone and convex, i.e.

$$\forall \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, x, y \in C, \alpha x + \beta y \in C.$$

Let  $\Sigma_s^n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_0 := \#\{i : x_i \neq 0\} \leq s\}$  be the set of s sparse vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $s \leq n$ .  $\Sigma_s^n$  is a cone but not a convex cone.

# Exercise 2.1 (in-class)

We work in unit spheres and balls:

- Show that  $\mathbb{S}^{d-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||x|| = 1\}$  is not convex.
- Let  $x \neq y$  be two vectors such that  $\|x\|_2 = \|y\|_2 = 1$ . Show that  $z_{\lambda} := \lambda x + (1 \lambda)y$  is such that  $\|z_{\lambda}\|_1 = 1$  if and only if  $\lambda = 1$  or 0.
- Similarly, consider  $\lambda=1/2$ . Show that  $\|z_{1/2}\|_2=1$  if and only if x=y (which we prevented)
- Show that this is no longer true if we use the  $\ell_1$  norm.
- What happens when using the  $\ell_{\infty}$  norm?

# Proposition 2.5

Let f be a convex function in two variables x and y and let C denote a non empty set. Then,

$$g(x) := \inf_{y \in C} f(x, y)$$

is convex provided  $g(x) > \infty$ .

Moreover, its domain is defined as the projection along a coordinate of C:

$$dom(g) = \{x : \exists y \in C, (x, y) \in dom(f)\}.$$

Definition 2.8 (Conjugate function)

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ . Its **convex conjugate** (sometimes called Fenchel conjugate) is defined as the function  $f^*: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f^*(y) = \sup_{x \in \text{dom}(f)} \left( y^T x - f(x) \right)$$

Find the analytical expressions of the convex conjugate of the following functions

- Linear/affine functions:  $f(x) = a^T x + b$
- ② Let Q be a positive definite matrix. Define  $f(x) = x^T Q x$ .
- **3**  $f = \chi_S$  where  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is a subset and  $\chi_S$  denotes the indicator function:

$$\chi_S(x) = 0$$
, if  $x \in S$ ,  $\infty$ , elsewhere.

#### Exercise 2.2 (in-class)

Find the Fenchel conjugate of the following functions:

- Maximum function:  $f(x) = \max_i(x_i)$ .
- Piecewise linear function: assume given 2m numbers  $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_m$  and  $b_1, \cdots, b_m$ , and define  $f(x) = \max_i (a_i x + b_i)$ .

# Proposition 2.6

The Fenchel/convex conjugate enjoys the following properties:

- $f^*$  is convex, independently of the convexity (or lack thereof) of f.
- Fenchel's inequality holds:

$$f(x) + f^*(y) \ge x^T y.$$

• if f is convex and its epigraph is closed,  $f^{**} = f$ .

# Proposition 2.7 (Legendre transform)

If f is convex, differentiable, and  $\mathrm{dom}(f)=\mathbb{R}^d$ , its Fenchel conjugate is called Legendre transform and

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{R}^d, f^*(y) = z^T \nabla f(z) - f(z),$$

for  $y = \nabla f(z)$ .

# Definition 2.9 (Convex optimization)

The minimization (optimization) problem

$$\min f_0(x)$$
  
s.t.  $f_i(x) \leq 0, i \in \mathcal{I},$   
and  $f_i(x) = 0, i \in \mathcal{E},$ 

is said to be a **convex optimization problem** if the objective function  $f_0$  is convex and the feasible set is convex.

# Definition 2.10 (Standard form)

A convex optimization problem is said to be in standard form if

- $f_i$  for  $i \in \mathcal{I}$  is a convex function and
- $f_i$  for  $i \in \mathcal{E}$  is an affine function.

Is the following problem in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  convex? If yes, write it in standard form.

$$\min f_0(x) = ||x||^2$$
s.t.  $f_1(x) = \frac{x_1}{1 + x_2^2} \le 0$ ,
and  $f_2(x) = (x_1 + x_2)^2 = 0$ .

#### Definition 2.11

Given a function f, a point  $x^{\ast}$  is called a local minimizer of f if there exists a R>0 such that

$$f(x^*) \le f(y)$$

for all feasible y with  $||x^* - y|| \le R$ .

#### Remark 2.3

It is easy to see that a local optimum point  $\boldsymbol{x}^*$  solves the following optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min & f_0(z) \\ \text{s.t.} & f_i(z) \leq 0, i \in \mathcal{I}, \\ & f_i(z) = 0, i \in \mathcal{E}, \\ & \|z - x^*\| \leq R, \end{aligned}$$

for some R > 0.

#### Definition 2.12

A feasible point  $x^*$  is said to be a global optimum to a convex (un/constrained) optimization problem if

$$f(x^*) \le f(y)$$

for all feasible point  $y \in C$ . (C being the feasible set)

#### Lemma 2

Let  $(\mathcal{P})$  be a convex minimization problem and let  $x^*$  be a local optimum solution. Then  $x^*$  is globally optimal.

#### Exercise 2.3

#### True or false:

- A convex optimization problem always has a minimizer.
- If a convex objective function is bounded below, the associated unconstrained minimization problem admits at least one solution.
- The optimal set (set of globally optimal points) of a convex optimization problem is convex.
- The optimal set S of an unconstrained convex problem is limited to (at most) a singleton (i.e. either  $S=\varnothing$  or  $S=\{x^*\}$  for a unique optimal point  $x^*$ .)

# Theorem 2.4 (First order optimality)

Let  $(\mathcal{P})$  be a convex constrained optimization problem and let define C define the feasible set. Assume moreover that  $f_0$  is differentiable. Then the point  $x^* \in C$  is optimal if and only if

$$\nabla f_0(x^*)^T(y-x) \ge 0$$
, for all  $y \in C$ .

Consider the following optimization problem with box constraints:

$$\min f_0(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T P x + q^T x + r$$
  
s.t.  $-1 \le x, y, z \le 1$ ,

with

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 12 & -2 \\ 12 & 17 & 6 \\ -2 & 6 & 12 \end{bmatrix}, \quad q = \begin{bmatrix} -22 \\ -14.5 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix}, \quad r = 1.$$

Show that  $\mathbf{x}^* = [1, 1/2, -1]^T$  solves this problem.

#### Remark 2.4

Consequences of the previous theorem:

lacktriangledown if  $(\mathcal{P})$  is unconstrained, the first order optimality condition becomes

$$\nabla f(x^*) = 0.$$

② if the constraints are only linear equality constraints (Ax = b,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times d}$ ), then the first order optimality condition reads

$$\nabla f_0(x^*) + A^T v = 0$$
, for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .