

# Modern Optimization

Jean-Luc Bouchot

School of Mathematics and Statistics  
Beijing Institute of Technology  
jlouchot@bit.edu.cn

Spring 2021

# 1 Constrained optimization: Projected methods

# Outline

## 1 Constrained optimization: Projected methods

### Remark 2.1

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a closed convex body, we are interested in the following optimization problems:

$$\begin{aligned} \min f_0(x) \\ \text{s.t. } x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

### Definition 2.1

The **projected gradient descent** is defined as the following sequence of rules

$$\begin{aligned}y^{k+1} &= x^k - \gamma_k \nabla f(x^k) \\ x^{k+1} &= P_{\Omega}(y^{k+1}),\end{aligned}$$

where  $P_{\Omega}$  corresponds to the projection onto the convex set  $\Omega$  (POCS: Projection Onto Convex Sets is not unrelated...).

### Remark 2.2

Let us review the main ideas behind the projected gradient descent:

- The first step is a basic gradient step
- The second step corrects the gradient step if it reaches a point out of the feasible set
- $\gamma_k$  the step size may or may not vary
- The projection step might not be cheap!:

$$P_{\Omega}(x) := \operatorname{argmin}_{v \in \Omega} \|x - v\|.$$

### Proposition 2.1 (Left as exercise)

*Let  $\Omega$  be a closed convex body. Then the projection  $P_\Omega$  are well defined (and unique for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ).*

### Remark 2.3

Note that the first step assumes a point  $x^0 \in \Omega$ . This means simply doing a first projection on the input point (or even 0).



### Exercise 2.1

Let  $\Omega = B_{x^*}^2(R)$  be the  $\ell^2$  ball centered at a given point  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and of radius  $R > 0$ . What is  $P_\Omega(x)$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

## Lemma 1

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a closed convex body. Let  $x \in \Omega$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . It holds

- ①  $\langle x - P_\Omega(y), y - P_\Omega(y) \rangle \leq 0$ .
- ②  $\|x - P_\Omega(y)\|^2 + \|y - P_\Omega(y)\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2$ .

### Theorem 2.1

*Let  $f : \text{dom}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex differentiable function. Assume furthermore that  $\Omega \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$  is a closed convex subset,  $x^*$  is a minimizer of  $f$  over  $\Omega$ ,  $\|x^0 - x^*\| \leq R$  for some  $R > 0$  and  $x^0 \in \Omega$ . If the gradient of  $f$  is bounded:  $\|\nabla f(x)\| \leq B$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , then choosing a gradient step of*

$$\gamma := \frac{R}{B\sqrt{K}}$$

*ensures that the iterates generated by the projected gradient descent starting at  $x^0$  satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} \left( f(x^k) - f(x^*) \right) \leq \frac{RB}{\sqrt{K}}.$$

### Lemma 2 (Descent direction of projected gradient)

*Let  $f : \text{dom}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex differentiable  $L$ -smooth function over a closed and convex set  $\Omega \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$ . Given a constant stepsize*

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{L},$$

*the sequence of iterates of the projected gradient, starting at  $x^0 \in \Omega$  satisfies*

$$f(x^{k+1}) \leq f(x^k) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x^k)\|^2 + \frac{L}{2} \|y^{k+1} - x^{k+1}\|^2.$$

### Lemma 3

*Let  $x^k$  be the sequence of iterates generated by the projected gradient descent of an  $L$ -smooth convex differentiable function  $f$  over a closed convex domain  $\Omega$ . Then, using a fixed gradient step*

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{L}$$

*we have*

$$f(x^{k+1}) \leq f(x^k) - \frac{L}{2} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|^2$$

### Theorem 2.2

*Let  $f : \text{dom}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex differentiable  $L$ -smooth function over a closed and convex set  $\Omega \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$  and assume the existence of a minimizer  $x^* \in \Omega$  of  $f$  in  $\Omega$ . Given a constant stepsize*

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{L},$$

*the sequence of iterates of the projected gradient, starting at  $x^0 \in \Omega$  satisfies*

$$f(x^K) \leq f(x^*) + \frac{L}{2K} \|x^0 - x^*\|^2, \quad K > 0.$$

### Exercise 2.2

Let  $\Omega = B_{x^*}^{\ell_1}(R) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x - x^*\|_1 \leq R \text{ for some } R \leq 0\}$ . Then  $P_\Omega(v) = x^* + S_\theta(v - x^*)$ , for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  where

- $\theta$  is a parameter which will be defined in the proof
- $S_\theta(v)$  is the soft thresholding operator defined as

$$S_\theta(v)_i = \text{sign}(v_i)(|v_i| - \theta)_+.$$

## Remark 2.4

We are trying to solve the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\ell_1, R}(u) = \operatorname{argmin} \|x - u\|_2 \\ \text{s.t. } \|x - x^*\|_1 \leq R \end{aligned}$$

where  $x^*$  is a given centre and  $R > 0$  a given radius.



**Remark 2.5**

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x^* = 0$ .

### Remark 2.6

Without loss of generality, we may work with the following conditions:

- ❶  $R = 1$ ,
- ❷  $u_i \geq 0$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$ ,
- ❸  $\sum_{i=1}^d u_i > 1$ .

### Proposition 2.2

*If  $R = 1$  and  $u_i \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$  then  $y = P_{\ell_1}(u)$  satisfies*

- ①  *$y_i \geq 0$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and*
- ②  *$\sum_{i=1}^d y_i = 1$ .*

### Remark 2.7

Up to reshuffling of the indices, we may consider the entries of the vector  $u$  to be ordered:

$$u_1 \geq u_2 \geq \cdots \geq u_d.$$

### Proposition 2.3

*Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y = P_{\ell_1}(u)$ , with the remarks / assumptions from the previous results valid. Then there exists a unique  $p \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  such that*

- $y_i > 0$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq p$  and
- $y_i = 0$ , for  $p < i \leq d$ .

### Lemma 4

Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and define  $y = P_{\ell_1}(u)$  with the conditions on  $u$  from the previous remarks. We have

$$y_i = u_i - \theta_p, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p,$$

where

$$\theta_p = \frac{1}{p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p -1 \right).$$

### Proposition 2.4

Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be such that  $u_i \geq 0$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^d u_i > 1$ . For  $p \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ , define  $y(p)$  as

$$y(p)_i = u_i - \theta_p, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p, \quad \text{and } 0 \text{ for } i > p.$$

Then

$$y(p^*) = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \Delta_d} \|x - u\|_2$$

where

$$p^* = \max\left\{p : u_p - \frac{1}{p} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p u_i - 1 \right) > 0\right\}$$

and  $\Delta_d$  defines the  $d$  dimensional unit simplex:

$$\Delta_d = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_i \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^d x_i = 1 \right\}.$$

### Theorem 2.3

*The projection onto a  $\ell_1$  ball can be computed in  $\mathcal{O}(d \log(d))$  operations.*



### Remark 2.8

The sorting problem can be reduced to a  $\mathcal{O}(d)$ . See John Duchi, Shai Shalev-Shwartz, Yoram Singer, and Tushar Chandra: *Efficient projections onto the  $\ell_1$ -ball for learning in high dimensions*, in Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Machine Learning, 2008.