

PES, Section 2.8  
Rounding and Overflow

1. The following program was used in Section 2.8 of PES to illustrate the problem of rounding, which occurs in Fahrenheit-to-Celsius conversion:

```
#include "RIMS.h"
unsigned char C2F_uc(unsigned char C) {
    unsigned char F;
    F = (9/5)*C + 32;
    return F;
}
void main() {
    while (1) {
        B = C2F_uc(A);
    }
}
```

The following Table shows the actual Fahrenheit values (real numbers), the closest integer approximation (i.e., round-up, round-down), the results computed by the above program, and a variation that performs division later:  $F = (9 \times C)/5 + 32$ .

Celsius	Fahrenheit (actual)	Fahrenheit (integer)	Fahrenheit (from above program)	Fahrenheit (late division)
0	32	32	32	32
1	33.8	34	33	33
2	35.6	36	34	35
3	37.4	37	35	37
4	39.2	39	36	39
5	41	41	37	41
6	42.8	43	38	42
7	44.8	45	39	44
8	46.4	46	40	46
9	48.2	48	41	48

Rewrite the function C2F\_uc to compute the values in the table listed as Fahrenheit (integer).

```
unsigned char C2F_uc(unsigned char C) {
    unsigned char F;
    F = (9*C)/5 + 32;
    if( (9*C)%5 >= 3)
        F++;
    return F;
}
```

2. In the preceding example, we replaced  $F = (9/5)*C + 32$  with  $F = (9*C)/5 + 32$ . Making this change could introduce a new type of error that would not have occurred originally. What is the error?

**$9*C$  could overflow, depending on the value of  $C$ .**

**$(9/5)*C$  could not overflow, because  $(9/5)$  simplifies to 1, and  $1*C$  does not overflow (presuming that  $C$  is an unsigned char to begin with).**

3. When computing  $(a + b + c)/3$ , the possibility of integer overflow occurs. One proposed remedy was to compute the division earlier, i.e.,  $(a/3) + (b/3) + (c/3)$ . Give an example, assuming that overflow does not occur, where  $(a + b + c)/3$  computes a more accurate result than  $(a/3) + (b/3) + (c/3)$ .

$$a = b = c = 1$$

$$(a + b + c)/3 = (1 + 1 + 1)/3 = 3/3 = 1$$

$$(a/3) + (b/3) + (c/3) = (1/3) + (1/3) + (1/3) = 0 + 0 + 0 = 0$$