Problem 2. $\forall i((0 \le i < N) \to (p_i \land q_i)) \to \forall i((0 \le i \le 2N) \to (x_0 \le x_i))$ holds for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$

Proof: We prove this statement using induction on N.

Basis: For the basis of induction, we establish that $\forall i ((0 \leq i < 0) \rightarrow (p_i \land q_i)) \rightarrow \forall i ((0 \leq i \leq 0) \rightarrow (x_0 \leq x_i))$. The antecedent of this conditional is vacuously true, since $0 \leq i < 0$ is false for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, it will suffice to prove that the consequent, $\forall i ((0 \leq i \leq 0) \rightarrow (x_0 \leq x_i))$, is true. Suppose that $0 \leq m \leq 0$. Then m = 0. Consequently, $x_0 \leq x_m$ holds trivially, because $x_0 \leq x_0$. Thus, the theorem holds when N = 0.

IH: For the induction hypothesis, suppose that $\forall i ((0 \le i < N) \to (p_i \land q_i)) \to \forall i ((0 \le i \le 2N) \to (x_0 \le x_i)).$

IS: Suppose that $\forall i ((0 \le i < N+1) \to p_i \land q_i))$. Furthermore, suppose that $0 \le m \le 2(N+1)$. Either m < N+1 or $m \ge N+1$. We consider each case in detail:

- i) If m < N+1, then, since $\forall i ((0 \le i < N+1) \to p_i \land q_i))$ holds by assumption, we must have $\forall i ((0 \le i < N) \to p_i \land q_i))$ trivially. Since $\forall i ((0 \le i < N) \to p_i \land q_i))$, $\forall i ((0 \le i \le 2N) \to (x_0 \le x_i))$ holds by the *IH*. Since $0 \le m < N+1$, we must have $0 \le m \le 2N$. Therefore, because $0 \le m \le 2N$ and $\forall i ((0 \le i \le 2N) \to (x_0 \le x_i))$, we have $x_0 \le x_m$.
- ii) If $m \ge N+1$, then it is easy to check that either m=2i+1 or m=2i+2 for some i < N+1. Since i < N+1, $p_i \wedge q_i$ by our initial supposition. If m=2i+1, then, since p_i holds, $x_{2i} \le x_m$. If m=2i+2, then, since q_i holds, $x_{2i} \le x_m$ as well. Thus, in either of our two cases, $x_{2i} \le x_m$. Since i < N+1, $2i \le 2N$ trivially, which means that $x_0 \le x_{2i}$ by the *IH*. Since $x_0 \le x_{2i}$ and $x_{2i} \le x_m$, we must have $x_0 \le x_m$.

In both of the two cases, (i) and (ii), we see that $x_0 \leq x_m$, which means that $\forall i ((0 \leq i \leq 2(N+1)) \rightarrow (x_0 \leq x_i))$.

Problem 3. $\forall i ((i \geq 0) \rightarrow (p_i \land q_i))$ is inductive.

Proof: We have already shown that $\forall i ((i \ge 0) \to p_i)$ is inductive, so we must prove the same thing for the predicate q.

Suppose that in a certain state, we satisfy $\forall i ((i \geq 0) \rightarrow (p_i \land q_i))$. Suppose that $i \geq 0$. Then, q_i holds by supposition, which means that $x_{2i} \leq x_{2i+2}$. Now, suppose that we transition to a new state. We want to show that in this new state, $x'_{2i} \leq x'_{2i+2}$. This transition was either a push or a pop. We consider each case:

- i) If the transition was a push, $x'_{2i} = min(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1})$ and $x'_{2i+2} = x'_{2(i+1)} = min(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1})$. So, we want to show that $min(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1}) \le min(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1})$. This gives us four more sub-cases:
- a) First of all, $x_{2i} \le x_{2i+2}$ by the fact that q_i holds. Therefore, if $min(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1}) = x_{2i}$ and $min(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1}) = x_{2i+2}$, then $min(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1}) \le min(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1})$.
- b) Now, if $min(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1}) = x_{2i-1}$ and $min(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1}) = x_{2i+2}$, then $x_{2i-1} \le x_{2i}$ trivially. We have already seen from case (a) that $x_{2i} \le x_{2i+2}$. Since $x_{2i} \le x_{2i+2}$ and $x_{2i-1} \le x_{2i}$, we must have $x_{2i-1} \le x_{2i+2}$,

which means $min(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1}) \leq min(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1})$.

- c) Since p is an inductive property, we must have $x_{2i} \le x_{2i+1}$. Therefore, if $min(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1}) = x_{2i}$ and $min(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1}) = x_{2i+1}$, then $min(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1}) \le min(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1})$.
- d) Finally, $x_{2i-1} \le x_{2i+1}$, since q holds by supposition. Therefore, if $min(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1}) = x_{2i-1}$ and $min(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1}) = x_{2i+1}$, then $min(x_{2i}, x_{2i-1}) \le min(x_{2i+2}, x_{2i+1})$.

In all four cases, we showed that $x'_{2i} \leq x'_{2i+2}$, which means that q_i is an inductive property across all push operations.

- ii) If the transition was a pop, then we want to show that $min(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \leq min(x_{2i+3}, x_{2i+4})$. Again, we can split this up into four sub-cases:
- a) First of all, $x_{2i+2} \le x_{2i+3}$ since p_i holds by assumption, so if $min(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) = x_{2i+2}$ and $min(x_{2i+3}, x_{2i+4}) = x_{2i+3}$, then $min(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \le min(x_{2i+3}, x_{2i+4})$.
- b) Also, $x_{2i+2} \le x_{2i+4}$ since q_i holds by assumption, so if $min(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) = x_{2i+2}$ and $min(x_{2i+3}, x_{2i+4}) = x_{2i+4}$, then $min(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \le min(x_{2i+3}, x_{2i+4})$.
- c) If $min(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) = x_{2i+1}$ and $min(x_{2i+3}, x_{2i+4}) = x_{2i+4}$, then $x_{2i+1} \le x_{2i+2}$. We already showed in case (b) that $x_{2i+2} \le x_{2i+4}$, so this means that $x_{2i+1} \le x_{2i+2}$. Thus, $min(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \le min(x_{2i+3}, x_{2i+4})$.
- d) Finally, if $min(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) = x_{2i+1}$ and $min(x_{2i+3}, x_{2i+4}) = x_{2i+3}$, then again we have $x_{2i+1} \le x_{2i+2}$. In case (a) we showed $x_{2i+2} \le x_{2i+3}$, so $x_{2i+1} \le x_{2i+3}$. Therefore, $min(x_{2i+1}, x_{2i+2}) \le min(x_{2i+3}, x_{2i+4})$ in this case as well.

Whether we push or pop, the property q is preserved. Since $p_i \wedge q_i$ holds at the initial state and $p_i \wedge q_i$ holds at every state we can transition into from a state satisfying $p_i \wedge q_i$, we conclude that the property is inductive.

Problem 4. First, we expand the formula $\varphi = pRFq$ into disjunctive normal form:

```
\begin{array}{l} pRFq \Longleftrightarrow Fq \wedge (p \vee X(pRFq)) \\ Fq \wedge (p \vee X(pRFq)) \Longleftrightarrow (q \vee X(Fq)) \wedge (p \vee X(pRFq)) \\ (q \vee X(Fq)) \wedge (p \vee X(pRFq)) \Longleftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge X(Fq)) \vee (q \wedge X(pRFq)) \vee (X(Fq) \wedge X(pRFq)) \\ \Longleftrightarrow (p \wedge q \wedge X(true)) \vee (p \wedge X(Fq)) \vee (q \wedge X(pRFq)) \vee (true \wedge X(Fq \wedge pRFq)) \end{array}
```

Now, we can create the corresponding Buchi automata: