

Total $\frac{35}{50}$

Homework 2

Julian Lehrer

The overall reasoning is fine, but these equality chains are invalid.

Question 1. Suppose A is symmetric, so $A = A^T$. Let $A = Q^{-1}UQ$ be the Schur decomposition of A . Then $QAQ^{-1} = QQ^{-1}UQ = IUQ = UQ \Rightarrow QAQ^{-1} = UQQ^{-1} = U$. That is, $Q^{-1}AQ = U$, so by definition A is diagonalizable. We now show that U is diagonal. First, note that $U^T = (QAQ^{-1})^T = Q^T A^T Q^{-T} = Q^T A Q^{-T}$ is symmetric. Since U is symmetric, we have that $u_{ij}, i > j = u_{ij}, i < j$. But $a_{ij}, i < j = 0$ so $u_{ij} = 0, i \neq j$. Therefore U is diagonal. Finally, we have that $D = \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ since by definition, A is diagonalizable.

-1
7/10 Need to show that Q from Schur is same as Q for diag.

Question 2. Consider the perturbation of the given system with $c\epsilon > 1$, so we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ c\epsilon & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2c \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

only scale last row. -1

Then solving via Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ c\epsilon & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2c \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \\ \checkmark \begin{pmatrix} c\epsilon & c \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} c \\ 2c \end{pmatrix} = \\ \begin{pmatrix} c\epsilon & c \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} c \\ 2c - 1/\epsilon \end{pmatrix} = \end{aligned}$$

8/10

Therefore, we have that

$$y = \frac{2c - 1/\epsilon}{1 - 1/\epsilon}$$

Need to round this before plugging into next eq.

And the first equation gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} (c\epsilon)x + cy &= c \\ (c\epsilon)x + c \left(\frac{2c - 1/\epsilon}{1 - 1/\epsilon} \right) &= c \\ (c\epsilon)x &= c \left(1 - \frac{2c - 1/\epsilon}{1 - 1/\epsilon} \right) \\ x &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(1 - \frac{2c - 1/\epsilon}{1 - 1/\epsilon} \right) \end{aligned}$$

-2 This doesn't follow prev. sentence.
can just use that A is symm $\Rightarrow A$ diagonal.

you are given
 $\epsilon \gg 1 \gg \epsilon_{mach} - 1$

Now consider the case if ~~$c\epsilon < \epsilon_{mach}$~~ . We'll have that $x = 0$.

Next, consider the implicit pivoting algorithm, where we first divide each term of the augmented matrix (i.e. both the LHS and RHS) by the largest absolute value in each row. This gives us the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2c} & \frac{1}{2c} \\ \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using Gaussian elimination, we obtain that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2c} & \frac{1}{2c} \\ \epsilon & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2c} & \frac{1}{2c} \\ 0 & 1 - \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 - 2c\epsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

So we have that

$$y(1 - \epsilon) = 1 - 2c\epsilon \implies y = \frac{1 - 2c\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}$$

Substituting this into our equation for x , we obtain that

$$\frac{x}{2c} + \frac{\left(\frac{1 - 2c\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}\right)}{2c} = 1$$

$$\frac{x}{2c} + \frac{1 - 2c\epsilon}{2c(1 - \epsilon)} = 1$$

$$\frac{x}{2c} = 1 - \frac{1 - 2c\epsilon}{2c(1 - \epsilon)}$$

$$x = 2c - \frac{1 - 2c\epsilon}{1 - \epsilon}$$

We can see that in our first attempt via Gaussian elimination with partial pivoting, there are numerical issues in the elimination step of row two. Since we are dividing by $1/\epsilon$, the bottom right value may have numerical issues. If we manage to solve for y okay, x will be unstable since its value is multiplied by $1/\epsilon$ as well. The implicit pivoting algorithm is better in this sense. Since we subtract epsilon in the elimination step, there should be no numerical issues. Indeed, solving for y should also be stable, and since x is a simple substitution it should be okay as well. Therefore, the implicit pivoting algorithm solves numerical instability in this problem.

Question 3. Let A be symmetric and positive-definite, so $x^*Ax > 0$. First, note that since A is symmetric, $a_{ii} = a_{ii}^*$, so a_{ii} is real since the only way a complex number can be equal to its conjugate transpose is if the imaginary part is zero. Then let $x = e_i$, the i th basis vector. So $e_i^*Ae_i = e_i^T Ae_i = a_{ii} > 0$, therefore the diagonals ~~are~~ are both real and strictly positive.

Question 4.

7/10

a. We have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -A_{21}A_{11}^{-1} & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} IA_{11} + 0A_{12} & IA_{12} + 0A_{22} \\ -A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{11} + A_{21} & -A_{12}A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{11} + A_{22} \end{pmatrix} \checkmark$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} - A_{21}(A_{11}^{-1}A_{11}) & A_{22} - A_{12}A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} - A_{12}A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

Not doing blockwise GE. Need to slow N steps regular GE does this. -3

b. We want that the first block matrix of the second row A_{21} is eliminated. Therefore, we must multiply the first row by A_{11}^{-1} so the first block entry is $A_{11}^{-1}A_{11} = I$. Then we also multiply by A_{21} , so upon subtraction of row 1 from row 2 that $A_{21} = 0$. Therefore, we subtract by $[A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{11} \quad A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}]$ and obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & C \\ 0 & A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$

as desired.

Question 5.

3/10

a. Let $A = A_1 + iA_2$, $b = b_1 + ib_2$ and $x = x_1 + ix_2$. Then consider the system $(A_1 + iA_2)(x_1 + ix_2) = b_1 + ib_2$. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be our solution vector where $x_i = \alpha_i + i\beta_i$. Then consider $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n})$, where the elements x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{2n} correspond to the $\beta_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. And similarly for b' . Then we have that the system

This is linear in complex.

$[A_1, A_2]$ is $N \times 2N$

$$[A_1 A_2]x' = b'$$

what happens w/ cross terms? -2

is a system of a $2n \times 2n$ matrix with vectors x and b of length $2n$.

b. Suppose our Gaussian elimination for an $n \times n$ system takes n steps. Note that since complex numbers $x_1 = \alpha_1 + i\beta_1, x_2 = \alpha_2 + i\beta_2$, then x_1x_2 actually has a total of 4 multiplication operations, not one. The exact computational cost for the real valued system is $16n^3 = O(n^3)$ operations, where as the big-O computational cost of the complex system will be $O(n^4)$. Therefore, we have that for large n , it is better to use the real-valued equivalent of the system.

not $2N \times 2N$ -1

$$\frac{(2n)^3}{3} = \frac{8n^3}{3}$$

it does not grow from cubic to quartic. -1

Section 2, question 5. Here, we want our points to all sit in some plane whose coefficients we must define. Therefore, consider the equation of a plane, $ax + by + cz + d = 0$. With the three points we currently have, this gives us an underdetermined system of equations. However, by using a new vector on the

storage costs? -2

plane given by $(A - B) = (4, 0, -2)$, we obtain the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} a + 2b + 3c + d &= 0 \\ -3a + 2b + 5c + d &= 0 \\ \pi a + eb - \sqrt{2}c + d &= 0 \\ 4a + 0b - 2c + d &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Which gives the system

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ -3 & 2 & 5 & 1 \\ \pi & e & -\sqrt{2} & 1 \\ 4 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \\ d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Which are contained in the plane given by

$$(4 - 2e)x + (-14 - 4\sqrt{2} + 2\pi)y + (8 - 4e)z + 8\sqrt{2} + 14e - 4\pi = 0$$

Which is plotted numerically in plot.py. The visualization can be seen below.

