mettre le numro personnel de Lucas

Project Assignment 2: Report

Johannes Lemonde 960911-T357 and Lucas Streit 970606-T???

I. Introduction

The main concern of this project is to decode a signal which was distorted by an unknown time-invariant finite impulse response filter and which includes also some white noise. Such filters are commonly used by communication channels; our role here is to take a glimpse into the maths behind the receptor – in other words, to elaborate the algorithm able to decode this distorted signal back to the original signal.

We are provided with such a distorted signal consisting of a large sequence of real numbers, knowing that the original binary signal – before it was distorted – starts with a given binary sequence, which we also know.

The knowledge of this sequence at the beginning of the signal is needed by the receptor to compute a set of constant parameters, comparing the original and distorted signals – through a system of linear equations to solve –, which would then be used to determine the further bits of the original signal.

To make this project fancier, we are dived into a situation where the original signal is a key to decipher a picture. We are given an encrypted picture and the ciphering/deciphering algorithms, and the better the recovered key, the more accurate the deciphered picture.

II. FORMALISATION OF THE PROBLEM

The original binary signal – the key – is composed by a sequence $b(k) \in \{-1,1\}$, $k \in [1,M] \subset \mathbb{N}$, where M is the length of the key. The values $b(1), \ldots, b(N)$ – referred to as the training sequence – are known to us, with N=32. Before it was transmitted, the key has been subjected to an unknown filter h of order 3 and to the white noise n(k) such as

$$r(k) = \sum_{l=0}^{3} h(l) \ b(k-l) + n(k), \quad k \in [1, M] \subset \mathbb{N}.$$

These values of r are known to us, and we are asked to reconstruct the sequence b.

III. RESOLUTION

In order to do so, we apply an equaliser (an other filter) of order L such as

$$\hat{b}_r(k) = \sum_{l=0}^{L} w(l) \ r(k-l) \approx b(k),$$
 (2)

where the coefficients $w(0), \ldots, w(L+1)$ must be initialised using the training sequence. To do so, we define a matrix \mathbf{R} , a vector \mathbf{w} and a vector \mathbf{b} so that $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b}$ represent the equations in (2). Since r(k) does not have values for k being null or negative, and since we have this subtraction of l in the argument of l in (2), we can only use (2) for l in the argument of l in thus gives l in l in the argument of l in the argument of l in the argument of l in (2), we can only use (3) for l in the argument of l in (2), we can only use (3) for l in the argument of l in l in the argument of l in l in

$$\begin{pmatrix} r(L+1) & r(L) & \cdots & r(1) \\ r(L+2) & r(L+1) & \cdots & r(2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ r(k) & r(k+1) & \cdots & r(k-L) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ r(N) & r(N-1) & \cdots & r(N-L) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} w(0) \\ w(1) \\ \vdots \\ w(L) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b(L+1) \\ b(L+2) \\ \vdots \\ b(k) \\ \vdots \\ b(N) \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

Notice that \mathbf{R} is not square for any value of L, so the system might have much more equations than unknowns. Thus, resolving the system for \mathbf{w} results in resolving it in the approximation of the least squares: $\mathbf{w} \approx (\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{R})^{-1} \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{b}$. Matlab does this automatically for non-square matrices with the command $\mathbf{w} = \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{b}$.

Once these coefficients w are computed, we can freely use the equation (2) to get the estimated values $\hat{b}_r(k)$ of the whole signal (for $k \leq N$, and especially for $k \leq L$, we use the known training sequence directly, instead), but we still need to convert them to a binary sequence. In order to do so, we apply a sign detector on the real-valued estimator of b, which gives: $\hat{b}(k) = sign(\hat{b}_r(k)) = \{^{+1}_{-1}, \, \hat{b}_r(k) > 0 \}$

IV. OPTIMISATION OF THE EQUALISER'S ORDER L

We have now everything needed to reconstruct the key, excepted from L. [[[[######As the accuracy of the recovering of the key will depend on the choice made for the order of the equaliser, we have to ########]]]

REFERENCES

[1] P. Handel, R. Ottoson, H. Hjalmarsson, Signal Theory, KTH, 2012