Knowledge Representation & Propositional Logic CS 161 Spring 2019

Sajad Darabi Shirley. Chen

May 17, 2019

Knowledge Base

• A set of sentences that describe the world in some representational language (e.g. propositional logic)

Knowledge Base

- A set of sentences that describe the world in some representational language (e.g. propositional logic)
- An inference engine is a set of procedures that work upon the representation and can infer new facts or answer KB queries. (e.g. resolution, forward chaining).

Logic

Goals of logic is to

- Represent knowledge about the world
- Reason and do inference with that knowledge

Logic

Goals of logic is to

- Represent knowledge about the world
- Reason and do inference with that knowledge

Components of a logical system:

- Syntax: how to write sentences
- Semantics: how to interpret sentences
- Reasoning/Inference

Propositional Logic

It's another name for boolean logic

- Syntax:
 - Propositional symbols (atomic sentences): A, B, C
 - Logical connectives $\neg \land \lor \rightarrow \leftrightarrow$
- It is common to use standard lower-case roman letters to denote propositions

Propositional Logic

Computing truth value of any sentence is done recursively

- semantic:
 - if f and g are formulas
 - $\neg f$ True iff f is false
 - \bullet $f \vee g$ True iff atleast one of f or g is True
 - $f \wedge g$ True iff both f and g are True
 - ullet f
 ightarrow g False iff f is true and g is false
 - \bullet $f \leftrightarrow g$ True iff both f and g have the same value

Propositional Logic

Computing truth value of any sentence is done recursively

- semantic:
 - if f and g are formulas
 - $\neg f$ True iff f is false
 - \bullet $f \vee g$ True iff atleast one of f or g is True
 - $f \wedge g$ True iff both f and g are True
 - ullet f
 ightarrow g False iff f is true and g is false
 - \bullet $f \leftrightarrow g$ True iff both f and g have the same value

$$f = (\neg A \land B) \leftrightarrow C$$
$$w = \{A : 1, B : 1, C : 0\}$$



$$f = (\neg A \land B) \leftrightarrow C$$
$$w = \{A : 1, B : 1, C : 0\}$$

Draw the truth table for f



Some Definitions

- If a sentence α is true in model m, then we say model m satisfies α .
- $M(\alpha) :=$ is the set of models w for which the sentence α is true.

Some Definitions

- If a sentence α is true in model m, then we say model m satisfies α .
- $M(\alpha)$:= is the set of models w for which the sentence α is true.

Entailement:

- $\alpha \models \beta :=$ if and only if every model in which α is true β is also true.
- $\alpha \models \beta \leftrightarrow M(\alpha) \subseteq M(\beta)$

Some Definitions

- If a sentence α is true in model m, then we say model m satisfies α .
- $M(\alpha) :=$ is the set of models w for which the sentence α is true.

Entailement:

- $\alpha \models \beta :=$ if and only if every model in which α is true β is also true.
- $\alpha \models \beta \leftrightarrow M(\alpha) \subseteq M(\beta)$

We can write $M(\alpha) = \{w : w \models \alpha\}$

A knowledge base consists of $\delta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$ what is $M(\delta)$?



- Knowledgebase is a set of sentences $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ...\}$
- ullet $M(\Delta)$ all possible models where all the facts hold

Satisfiability:

• Knowledge-base KB is satisfiable if $M(\Delta) \neq \emptyset$ (there is some assignment that make all sentences true)

Determine models for the following (variables R, S, C (rainy, sunny, cloudy)

$$KB = R \lor S \lor C;$$

 $R \to C \land \neg S;$
 $C \leftrightarrow \neg S$

Determine models for the following (variables R, S, C (rainy, sunny, cloudy)

$$KB = R \lor S \lor C;$$

$$R \to C \land \neg S;$$

$$C \leftrightarrow \neg S$$

$$KB = \{(R = 1, S = 0, C = 1), (R = 0, C = 1, S = 0), (R = 0, C = 0, S = 1)\}$$



Models

- We say two sentences are α and β equivalent iff $M(\alpha) = M(\beta)$
- α is inconsistent $M(\alpha) = ?$
- α is consistent $M(\alpha) \neq ?$
- ullet α and β are mutually exclusive
 - $M(\alpha) \wedge M(\beta) = ?$
 - $M(\alpha \wedge \beta) = ?$



Models

- We say two sentences are α and β equivalent iff $M(\alpha) = M(\beta)$
- α is inconsistent $M(\alpha) = \emptyset$
- α is consistent $M(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$?
- ullet α and β are mutually exclusive
 - $M(\alpha) \wedge M(\beta) = \emptyset$
 - $M(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \emptyset$



Syntactic Forms

- Conjunction Normal Form (CNF): $(A \lor B) \land (B \lor \neg C \lor \neg D)$
- **Disjunction Normal Form** (DNF): $(A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge \neg C) \vee (A \wedge \neg D)$
- Horn clause: subset of CNF where each clause has at most one positive literal
 - A ∨ B ∨ ¬C X
 - $\neg A \lor B \lor \neg C \checkmark$
 - $\neg A \lor \neg B \lor \neg C \checkmark$

Syntactic Forms

- Conjunction Normal Form (CNF): $(A \lor B) \land (B \lor \neg C \lor \neg D)$
- **Disjunction Normal Form** (DNF): $(A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge \neg C) \vee (A \wedge \neg D)$
- Horn clause: subset of CNF where each clause has at most one positive literal
 - $A \lor B \lor \neg C X$
 - $\neg A \lor B \lor \neg C \checkmark$
 - $\neg A \lor \neg B \lor \neg C \checkmark$

Complete:

- any logic can be represented using CNF, DNF
- Horn is not complete



• KB entails a sentence α denoted as $\Delta \models \alpha$ if $M(\Delta \cup \alpha) = M(\Delta)$

- KB entails a sentence α denoted as $\Delta \models \alpha$ if $M(\Delta \cup \alpha) = M(\Delta)$
- KB is consistent with sentence α if $M(\Delta \cup \alpha)$ is non-empty.

- KB entails a sentence α denoted as $\Delta \models \alpha$ if $M(\Delta \cup \alpha) = M(\Delta)$
- KB is consistent with sentence α if $M(\Delta \cup \alpha)$ is non-empty.
- KB contradicts sentence α if $\Delta \cup \alpha$ is not satisfiable.

- KB entails a sentence α denoted as $\Delta \models \alpha$ if $M(\Delta \cup \alpha) = M(\Delta)$
- KB is consistent with sentence α if $M(\Delta \cup \alpha)$ is non-empty.
- KB contradicts sentence α if $\Delta \cup \alpha$ is not satisfiable.

sanity check: KB entails α iff it contradicts $\neg \alpha$

Inference Methods

Tables:

$$\Delta: \{A, A \vee B \to C\}$$

 α : ${\it c}$

```
(\alpha \wedge \beta) \equiv (\beta \wedge \alpha) commutativity of \wedge
          (\alpha \vee \beta) \equiv (\beta \vee \alpha) commutativity of \vee
((\alpha \wedge \beta) \wedge \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \wedge (\beta \wedge \gamma)) associativity of \wedge
((\alpha \vee \beta) \vee \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \vee (\beta \vee \gamma)) associativity of \vee
            \neg(\neg \alpha) \equiv \alpha double-negation elimination
       (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \equiv (\neg \beta \Rightarrow \neg \alpha) contraposition
       (\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \beta) implication elimination
      (\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta) \equiv ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \land (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha)) biconditional elimination
       \neg(\alpha \land \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \lor \neg \beta) De Morgan
       \neg(\alpha \lor \beta) \equiv (\neg \alpha \land \neg \beta) De Morgan
(\alpha \wedge (\beta \vee \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \wedge \beta) \vee (\alpha \wedge \gamma)) distributivity of \wedge over \vee
(\alpha \vee (\beta \wedge \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \vee \beta) \wedge (\alpha \vee \gamma)) distributivity of \vee over \wedge
```

Figure 7.11 Standard logical equivalences. The symbols α , β , and γ stand for arbitrary sentences of propositional logic.

- $\bullet \ \, \mathsf{Modus} \ \, \mathsf{Ponen:} \quad \frac{\alpha,\alpha\to\beta}{\therefore\beta}$
 - Example: $\Delta = \{A, B, B \lor C, B \rightarrow D\}$

- $\bullet \ \, \mathsf{Modus \ Ponen:} \quad \frac{\alpha,\alpha\to\beta}{\therefore\beta}$
 - Example: $\Delta = \{A, B, B \lor C, B \to D\}$
- Or introduction: $\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\alpha \vee \beta}$

- Modus Ponen: $\frac{\alpha, \alpha \to \beta}{\beta}$
 - Example: $\Delta = \{A, B, B \lor C, B \to D\}$
- Or introduction: $\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\alpha \vee \beta}$
- And introduction $\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\alpha \wedge \beta}$

- Modus Ponen: $\frac{\alpha, \alpha \to \beta}{\beta}$
 - Example: $\Delta = \{A, B, B \lor C, B \rightarrow D\}$
- Or introduction: $\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\alpha \vee \beta}$
- And introduction $\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\alpha \wedge \beta}$
- $\bullet \ \ \text{Resolution} \quad \underset{\cdot \cdot \cdot}{\underline{\alpha \vee \beta, \neg \beta \vee \delta}}$

- Modus Ponen: $\frac{\alpha, \alpha \to \beta}{\beta}$
 - Example: $\Delta = \{A, B, B \lor C, B \to D\}$
- Or introduction: $\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\alpha \vee \beta}$
- And introduction $\frac{\alpha, \beta}{\alpha \wedge \beta}$
- Resolution $\frac{\alpha \vee \beta, \neg \beta \vee \delta}{\alpha \vee \delta}$

Sound: are the rules correct in all cases. For example $\therefore \frac{\alpha, \beta \to \alpha}{\beta}$ is not sound.



$$\Delta : A \lor \neg B \to C$$

$$C \to D \lor \neg E$$

$$E \lor D$$

$$\alpha: A \to D$$



$$\Delta: A \wedge B \rightarrow C, A, C \rightarrow D$$

 α : C



$$\Delta: P \vee Q, P \rightarrow R, Q \rightarrow R$$

 $\alpha: R$



$$B \leftrightarrow (P \lor Q)$$

Convert the above to CNF

$$\Delta: \{(P \to Q) \to Q, (P \to Q) \to R, (R \to S) \to \neg(S \to Q)\}$$

$$\alpha: R$$

Determine if (first convert to CNF) $\Delta \models \alpha$



- John is going to the store
- That guy is going to the store
- John, go to the store
- Did John go to the store?

Either I'll pay for the meal and you'll pay for drinks, or, if John shows up he'll pay for both

Symbolize the above sentence into a proposition

$$A = \{p \rightarrow q, q \rightarrow p, p | q, p \rightarrow \neg q\}$$

$$C = \neg p$$

Determine if the above is satisfiable



Thank You!