

Module 3: Metric Spaces and Sequences I

Operational math bootcamp



Statistical Sciences
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Emma Kroell

University of Toronto

July 13, 2022

Outline



Definition

Two sets A and B have same cardinality, $|A| = |B|$, if there exists bijection $f : A \rightarrow B$.

Example

Which is bigger, \mathbb{N} or \mathbb{N}_0 ?

Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein

Definition

We say that the cardinality of a set A is less than the cardinality of a set B , denoted $|A| \leq |B|$ if there exists an injection $f : A \rightarrow B$.

Theorem (Cantor-Bernstein)

Let A, B , be sets. If $|A| \leq |B|$ and $|B| \leq |A|$, then $|A| = |B|$.

Example

$$|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}|$$

Proof.



Definition

Let A be a set.

- ① A is *finite* if there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a bijection $f : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow A$
- ② A is *countably infinite* if there exists a bijection $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$
- ③ A is *countable* if it is finite or countably infinite
- ④ A is *uncountable* otherwise

Example

The rational numbers are countable, and in fact $|\mathbb{Q}| = |\mathbb{N}|$.

Let's look at $\mathbb{Q}^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : x > 0\}$. The fact that the rationals are countable relies on this famous way of listing the rational numbers:

$$\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{5} & \dots \\ 2 & \frac{2}{2} & \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{4} & \frac{2}{5} & \dots \\ 3 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{3}{3} & \frac{3}{4} & \frac{3}{5} & \dots \\ 4 & \frac{4}{2} & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{4}{4} & \frac{4}{5} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{array}$$

Example

This is a map from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{Q}^+ . As long as we skip any fraction that is already in our list as we go along, it is injective. Since we can find an injection from \mathbb{Q}^+ to $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ (exercise), we have that $|\mathbb{Q}^+| = |\mathbb{N}|$. We can extend this to \mathbb{Q} . To do so, let $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^+$ be a bijection (which exists by the previous part). Then we can define another bijection $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by setting $g(1) = 0$ and

$$g(n) = \begin{cases} f(n) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ -f(n) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

for $n > 1$.

Theorem

The cardinality of \mathbb{N} is smaller than that of $(0, 1)$.

Proof.

First, we show that there is an injective map from \mathbb{N} to $(0, 1)$.

Next, we show that there is no surjective map from \mathbb{N} to $(0, 1)$. We use the fact that every number $r \in (0, 1)$ has a binary expansion of the form $r = 0.\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3\ldots$ where $\sigma_i \in \{0, 1\}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Proof.

Now we suppose in order to derive a contradiction that there does exist a surjective map f from \mathbb{N} to $(0, 1)$., i.e. for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $f(n) = 0.\sigma_1(n)\sigma_2(n)\sigma_3(n) \dots$. This means we can list out the binary expansions, for example like

$$f(1) = 0.\textcolor{red}{0}0000000 \dots$$

$$f(2) = 0.1\textcolor{red}{1}11111111 \dots$$

$$f(3) = 0.01\textcolor{red}{0}1010101 \dots$$

$$f(4) = 0.101\textcolor{red}{0}101010 \dots$$

We will construct a number $\tilde{r} \in (0, 1)$ that is not in the image of f . □

Proof.

Define $\tilde{r} = 0.\tilde{\sigma}_1\tilde{\sigma}_2\dots$, where we define the n th entry of \tilde{r} to be the opposite of the n th entry of the n th item in our list:

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma_n(n) = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma_n(n) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then \tilde{r} differs from $f(n)$ at least in the n th digit of its binary expansion for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $\tilde{r} \notin f(\mathbb{N})$, which is a contradiction to f being surjective. This technique is often referred to as Cantor's diagonal argument. □

Proposition

$(0,1)$ and \mathbb{R} have the same cardinality.

Proof.

The map $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0,1)$ defined by $x \mapsto \frac{1}{\pi} (\arctan(x) + \frac{\pi}{2})$ is a bijection. □

We have shown that there are different sizes of infinity, as the cardinality of \mathbb{N} is infinite but still smaller than that of \mathbb{R} or $(0,1)$. In fact, we have

$$|\mathbb{N}| = |\mathbb{N}_0| = |\mathbb{Z}| = |\mathbb{Q}| < |\mathbb{R}|.$$

Because of this, there are special symbols for these two cardinalities: The cardinality of \mathbb{N} is denoted \aleph_0 , while the cardinality of \mathbb{R} is denoted \mathfrak{c} .

References

Runde ,Volker (2005). *A Taste of Topology*. Universitext. url:
<https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/0-387-28387-0>

Zwiernik, Piotr (2022). *Lecture notes in Mathematics for Economics and Statistics*.
url: <http://84.89.132.1/piotr/docs/RealAnalysisNotes.pdf>