

# MODELING STRAKES AND FOIL SECTIONS IN VIVA

**M.S. Triantafyllou**

Department of Ocean Engineering  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, Massachusetts, 02139

## 1 Effectiveness of Strakes and Modeling of Forces

Scrutton & Walshe (1957) and Woodgate & Maybree (1959) proposed and studied the effect of helical strakes as means for suppressing the wind-excited vibrations of stacks. They considered triple sharp-edged helical strakes with pitch  $P$  to diameter  $D$  ratio of  $P/D = 15$  and various strake heights  $h$ . Starting with  $h/D = 0.029$ , they found that vibrations are reduced; further improvement was found as they increased the height to  $h/D = 0.029$ ,  $h/D = 0.059$ ,  $h/D = 0.088$ , and  $h/D = 0.118$ . They provided graphs showing the unstable regime over which vibrations occur, as function of the reduced velocity  $U^* = \frac{U}{f_n D}$  and

the mass-damping ratio  $\xi$ , where  $\xi = \frac{2M\delta}{\rho D^2}$ . The region of unstable response reduces as function of  $h/D$  and the reduced velocity of peak response moves to higher values:

- for a bare cylinder they find peak response at about  $U^* = 5.0$ ;
- for  $h/D = 0.029$  at  $U^* = 5.1$ ;
- for  $h/D = 0.059$  at  $U^* = 6.7$ ,
- for  $h/D = 0.088$  at  $U^* = 7.1$ ,
- for  $h/D = 0.118$  at  $U^* = 8.4$ .

The basic finding is that beyond  $h/D$  of 0.10, the response is reduced substantially, while the peak response occurs at  $U^*$  above 8.0.

Woodgate & Maybree (1959) found that the optimal pitch to diameter ratio is close to a value of  $P/D = 5$ , away from the originally proposed value of  $P/D = 15$ . However, the optimal reduction is rather weak, and the pitch to diameter ratio is not a strong parameter of the problem.

## 2 Modeling of Faired Sections

Foil sections have small oscillatory lift coefficient, and smaller drag coefficient than smooth circular cylinder sections. When there is a misalignment between the direction of the current and the orientation of the foil, there is a steady lift coefficient as well; this is not modeled herein.

The fairing drag coefficient depends on:

- the Reynolds number based on the chord length  $c$
- the chord to thickness ratio  $c/t$

The fairing drag coefficient  $c_D$ , based on the projected front area, is given as:

$$c_D = c_f \left( 4 + 2 \frac{c}{t} + 120 \left( \frac{t}{c} \right)^3 \right) \quad (1)$$

For  $c_f$  we use either the laminar value, for  $Re < 10^6$ :

$$c_f = \frac{1.328}{\sqrt{Re}} \quad (2)$$

or the turbulent value, for  $Re > 10^6$ :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_f}} = 3.46 \log(Re) - 5.6 \quad (3)$$

The drag per unit riser length,  $F_D$  is found as:

$$F_D = \frac{1}{2} \rho c_D t U^2 \quad (4)$$

## 3 Definition of Symbols

$D$  = cylinder diameter (m)

$c$  = chord length (m) for fairings

$c_f$  = frictional coefficient (per wetted surface)

$c_D$  = drag coefficient (per frontal area)

$f_n$  = natural frequency of oscillation of a compliantly mounted cylinder (Hz)

$F_D$  = Drag force on fairings (N)

$h$  = height of the helix (m)

$M$  = mass of the cylinder per unit length (kg/m)

$P$  = Pitch of the helix (m)

$Re$  = Reynolds number =  $U D/\nu$   
 $t$  = thickness (m) for fairings  
 $U$  = Velocity of the steady stream (m/s)  
 $\delta$  = damping ratio  
 $\nu$  = kinematic viscosity of water ( $m^2/s$ )  
 $\xi$  = mass-damping ratio  
 $\rho$  = density of water ( $kg/m^3$ )

## 4 References

1. T. Albrecht, F.H. Barnes, A.J. Baxendale & I. Grant, 1988, "Vortex shedding from two cylinders in tandem", *J. Wind Engin. Industrial Aerodynamics*, 28, 201-208.
2. P.W. Bearman, 1969, "On vortex shedding from a circular cylinder in the critical Reynolds number regime", *J. Fluid Mech.*, 37 (3), 577-585.
3. J.C.K. Cheung & W.H. Melbourne, 1983, "Turbulence effects on some aerodynamic parameters of a circular cylinder at supercritical Reynolds numbers", *J. Wind Engin. Industrial Aerodynamics*, 14, 399-410.
4. C.F. Cowdrey & J.A. Lawes, 1959, "Drag measurements at high Reynolds numbers of a circular cylinder fitted with helical strakes", National Phys. Lab., Aero Report 384.
5. I.S. Gartshore, J. Khanna & S. Laccinole, 1978, "The effectiveness of vortex spoilers on a circular cylinder in smooth and turbulent flows", *Proc. 5th Intern. Conf. Wind Engineering*, Fort Collins, Co.
6. S. Hoerner, 1972, "Fluid Dynamic Drag", edited by the author.
7. H. van Koten, 1984, "Wind induced vibrations of chimneys: the rules of the CICIND code for steel chimneys", *Eng. Struct.*, 6, 350- 356.
8. E. Naudascher & D. Rockwell, 1984, *Flow-induced Vibrations*, Balkema, Rotterdam.
9. M.P. Paidoussis, S.J. Price & B. Mark, 1988, "Current-induced oscillations and instabilities of a multi-tube flexible riser", *J. Fluids Struct.*, 2, 503-513.
10. S.J. Price, M.P. Paidoussis, B. Mark & W.N. Mureithi, 1989, "Current-induced oscillations and instabilities of a multi-tube flexible riser: Water tunnel experiments", *Eighth Intern. Conf. Offshore Mechanics Arctic Engin.*, The Hague, March 19-23, 1989.
11. S.J. Price & C.D. Sedulla, 1995, "Flow visualization of vortex shedding around multi-tube marine risers", *Flow-Induced Vibration*, ed. Bearman et al., Balkema, Rotterdam.
12. B.W. Roberts, 1966, "Low frequency, aeroelastic vibrations in a cascade of circular cylinders", *Mechanical Engineering Science*, Monograph No. 4, Sept. 1966, Institution of Mechanical Engineers, London.
13. H. Ruscheweyh, 1972, "Tip effect on vortex excited oscillation of a model stack with and without efflux stream", *Symp. Flow Induced Struct. Vibr.*, Karlsruhe, Germany (supplement).
14. H. Ruscheweyh, 1981, "Straked in-line steel stacks with low mass-damping parameter", *J. Wind Engin. Industrial Aerodynamics*, 8, 203-210.

15. R.M.C. So & S. Savkar, 1981, "Buffeting forces on rigid circular cylinders in cross flows", *J. Fluid Mech.*, 105, 397-425.
16. D.W. Sallet, 1979, "Suppression of flow-induced motions of a submerged moored cylinder", *In Practical Experience with Flow-induced Vibrations*, Eds. E. Naudascher & D. Rockwell.
17. C. Scrutton & D.E.J. Walshe, 1957, "A means for avoiding wind-excited oscillations of structures with circular or nearly circular cross section", National Phys. Lab., Aero Report 335.
18. B.J. Vickery, 1979, "Wind effects on buildings and structures - Critical unresolved problems", *In Practical Experience with Flow-induced Vibrations*, Eds. E. Naudascher & D. Rockwell.
19. B.J. Vickery & R.D. Watkins, 1962, "Flow-induced vibrations of cylindrical structures", *Proc. of the First Australian Conference*, Univ. Western Australia.
20. L. Woodgate & J.F.M. Maybree, 1959, "Further experiments on the use of helical strakes for avoiding wind excited oscillations of structures of circular or nearly circular cross section", National Phys. Lab., Aero Report 381.
21. M.M. Zdravkovich, 1981, "Review and classification of various aerodynamic and hydrodynamic means for suppressing vortex shedding", *J. Wind Engin. Industrial Aerodynamics*, 7, 145-189.
22. M.M. Zdravkovich, 1985, "Flow induced oscillations of two interfering circular culinders", *J. Sound Vibr.*, 101(4), 511-521.

**Contents:**

1	Effectiveness of Strakes and Modeling of Forces.....	1
2	Modeling of Faired Sections.....	2
3	Definition of Symbols.....	2
4	References.....	3