3/16/2020 - Campus Labs

EC 102 (A6): Macroeconomics

Fall19 | Julio Ortiz

27 | Students Enrolled 11 | Students Responded 40.74% | Response Rate

Quantitative

	(1) Low (2)		(3)		(4)		(5) High		<u>N</u>	DNA	<u>SD</u>	M	
Relevance of assigned readings	27.27% (3)	0% (0)		18.18% (2)		9.09% (1)		45.45% (5)		11	0	1.67	3.45
	Easy	Moderate Easy	ly Neither nor Diff				oderately fficult		Difficult		DNA	<u>SD</u>	M
Difficulty of course	0% (0)	9.09% (1)		54.55% (6)		36.36% (4)		0% (0)		11	0	0.62	3.27
	Light	Light Ligh		Neither Light no Heavy	or Heav		oderately eavy		Heavy		DNA	SD	M
Workload in course	18.18% (2)	9.09% (1) 72		72.73%	72.73% (8)		0% (0)		o (0)	11	0	0.78	2.55
Course Evaluation	Poor	Fair	God	od	Very	Good	Exceller	nt	N/A	<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	M
Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)	0% (0)	0% (0)	9.09	9% (1)	9.09%	(1)	72.73% (9.09% (1)	11	0	0.64	4.7
Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		27.27	% (3)	(3) 36.36%		36.36% (4)	11	0	0.49	4.57
Usefulness of assignments and papers	0% (0)	9.09% (1)	9.09% (1)		27.27	% (3)	45.45% (9.09% (1)	11	0	0.98	4.2
Overall course rating	0% (0)	0% (0)	18.1	18% (2)	36.36	% (4)	45.45% ((5)	0% (0)	11	0	0.75	4.27
Faculty Evaluation	Poor	Fair	God	od	Very	Good	Exceller	nt		<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	M
Effectiveness in explaining concepts	0% (0)	0% (0)	18.1	18% (2)	36.36	% (4)	4) 45.45%			11	0	0.75	4.27
Ability to stimulate interest in subject	0% (0)	9.09% (1)	27.2	27% (3)	9.09%	(1)	54.55% (6)			11	0	1.08	4.09
Encouragement of class participation	0% (0)	9.09% (1)	36.3	36% (4)	9.09%	(1)	45.45% ((5)		11	0	1.08	3.91
Fairness in grading	0% (0)	0% (0)	18.1	18% (2)	27.27	% (3)	54.55% ((6)		11	0	0.77	4.36
Promptness in returning assignments	0% (0)	0% (0)	18.1	18% (2)	18.18	% (2)	63.64% ((7)		11	0	0.78	4.45
Quality of feedback to students	0% (0)	0% (0)	27.2	27% (3)	27.27	% (3)	45.45% ((5)		11	0	0.83	4.18
Availability outside of class	9.09% (1)	0% (0)	45.4	45% (5)	9.09%	(1)	36.36% ((4)		11	0	1.23	3.64
Overall rating of instructor	0% (0)	0% (0)	27.2	27% (3)	27.27	% (3)	45.45% ((5)		11	0	0.83	4.18

Qualitative

Strengths of the course and of the instructor: -

- observe each student and make sure everybody understand the material
- Able to explain difficult topics to students who are having difficulty, gave some tips on different ways to study for midterms/final exams. Really cared that the students do well in exams and homework. Encourage students to seek help in Office Hours
- Knows topic well and actually motivates me to come back for discussion.
- Very helpful, dedicated to his students
- Good discussion leader.

Weaknesses of the course and of the instructor: -

- Could review more practice problems.
- I wish his office hours were more often
- Was not able to have students participate often
- N/A

3/16/2020 - Campus Labs

General Comments -

- I really enjoyed Julio as a TF in Macroeconomics. He knows his stuff and is able to explain difficult topics easily to students in a 100-level class.
- Fantastic TA!
- N/a

3/16/2020 - Campus Labs

EC 102 (A8): Macroeconomics

Fall19 | Julio Ortiz

27 | Students Enrolled 12 | Students Responded 44.44% | Response Rate

Quantitative

	(1) Low	Low (2)		(3)		(4)		(5) High		<u>N</u>	<u>DNA</u>	SD	M
Relevance of assigned readings	0% (0)	0% (0)		33.33% (4)		33.33% (4)		33.33% (4)		12	0	0.82	4
	Easy	Moderate Easy		ely Neither nor Diff				Difficult		<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	M
Difficulty of course	0% (0)	25% (3)		50% (6)		16.67% (2)		8.33% (1)		12	0	0.86	3.08
	Light	Moderately Light		Neither Light nor Heavy		Moderately Heavy		Heavy		N	DNA	<u>SD</u>	M
Workload in course	0% (0)	25% (3) 75%		75% (9)	5% (9)		0% (0)		0 (0)	12	0	0.43	2.75
Course Evaluation	Poor	Fair	God	od	Very (Good	Exceller	nt	N/A	<u>N</u>	DNA	SD	М
Overall rating of discussion instructor (if applicable)	0% (0)	0% (0)	33.	33% (4)	16.679	% (2)	41.67% (8.33% (1)	12	0	0.9	4.09
Overall rating of lab instructor (if applicable)	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.67% (2)		8.33%	% (1) 16.67		(2)	58.33% (7)	12	0	0.89	4
Usefulness of assignments and papers	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.	67% (2)	33.33% (4)		41.67% ((5)	8.33% (1)	12	0	0.75	4.27
Overall course rating	0% (0)	0% (0)	25%	6 (3)	25% (3	3)	41.67% ((5) 8.33% (1)		12	0	0.83	4.18
Faculty Evaluation	Poor	Fair	God	od	Very (Good	Exceller	nt		N	DNA	SD	M
Effectiveness in explaining concepts	0% (0)	0% (0)	18.	18% (2)	45.45	% (5)	36.36% ((4)		11	0	0.72	4.18
Ability to stimulate interest in subject	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	16.	67% (2)	41.679	% (5)	33.33% ((4)		12	0	0.91	4
Encouragement of class participation	0% (0)	8.33% (1)	16.	67% (2)	41.679	% (5)	33.33% ((4)		12	0	0.91	4
Fairness in grading	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.	67% (2)	50% (6	5)	33.33% ((4)		12	0	0.69	4.17
Promptness in returning assignments	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.	67% (2)	50% (6	5)	33.33% ((4)		12	0	0.69	4.17
Quality of feedback to students	0% (0)	0% (0)	259	6 (3)	41.679	% (5)	33.33% ((4)		12	0	0.76	4.08
Availability outside of class	0% (0)	0% (0)	16.	67% (2)	50% (6	5)	33.33% ((4)		12	0	0.69	4.17
Overall rating of instructor	0% (0)	0% (0)	259	6 (3)	41.679	% (5)	33.33% ((4)		12	0	0.76	4.08

Qualitative

Strengths of the course and of the instructor: -

- Explains concepts extremely well and guides us through detailed math problems
- Instructor provides many resources to help students understand concepts more in depth and to help students study.
- Good discussion for the course.

Weaknesses of the course and of the instructor: -

- Sometimes slow paced but not the teachers fault.
- · Sometimes instructor goes a little fast and does not fully explain all the information provided on slides.

General Comments -

- Overall the instructor was good.
- Worked well to learn topics if needed. Not necessary to attend but useful.