File 20101012.1111: Notes from Systems Engineering meeting:

Got the TSABI letter. Won't get first install of SABI for a while. Need first SABI installation in order to get a SABI accreditation letter that is a necessary part of the CDTAB BOE for getting SABI approval. The site has to go to DSAWG first with their proposed SABI installation plan.

There will be a CDTAB at the end of October, more TORAs. SABI customers will go before DSAWG in December, then DSAWG will approve, then installation will occur at SABI sites.

The following is not for release: because of recent experiences with the RM 5.0 CT&E, UCDMO will soon sent out a memo saying that SABI is dead.

TMAN say they can do everything. TMAN has a new director. Every time they get a new director, this happens. This time, maybe something different will happen—that's the rumour, anyway.

LM does not own RM, and doesn't seem to realise this. RM is a Navy product, although LM does own MAG.

The chief technical person in LM wants to have one guard and it's neither TMAN nor RM.

DCGS has asked for a single guard. They current;y have RM, TMAN, and Raytheon High Speed Guard in their architecture. DCGS represents about 20 percent of all RM installations. Almost all of TMAN's installations are in DCGS.

The 5.01 patch addresses almost all the UCDMO findings—the developer still hopes to get their technical risk rating lowered from High to Medium.

Not for attribution: at the highest level, LM directors are not making their numbers this quarter—so Bryan Rollins has told TISS to spend infrastructure money to hire people. Nobody on programme agrees with it, but it is beyond our control.

# References