File 20120529.1900: Notes for meeting with Dr Fléchais tomorrow:

- CS-2: The R' developer had no process or procedures (policies and procedures, P&P) for preparing a Common Criteria evaluation package. This developer is normally entirely P&P driven, in accordance with ISO 9000 and SEI CMM Level 3–5 audits. The CCTL also did not impose a process or procedure beyond the formulæ in CCv2.3[1] and that it was hampered by the lack of a Protection Profile (PP). The only guidance was the CEM and the EAL.

- CS-1: In contrast, the certification of R'' also lacked a clearly defined process and procedures, in this case it was because it had not been done before, but the certifier and developer were both aware of this fact and worked together to a greater extent to ensure the success of the inaugural certification effort under the new criteria. In fact, it worked so well that we recommend future certifications use the same process and develop policies and procedures from the observed sequence of events.

References

---

[1] CCv2.1?