

File 20090519.0804: The methodology has changed. *How* has it changed?

- Number of validations: 3 would be good. One of them can be from a retired accreditor or a tame accreditor (notes, 20090121.1115).
- *What can be done now that couldn't be done before?*
- Retrospective study / ethnographic study / participant study (not enough time—save for future work)
- Valaidation of the new method is crucial.
- Two case studies. First, a survey of people's opinions of the CC. Second, a retrospective study of RTG 1.0.
- **Midpoint report** describes how the focus of the presentation of the research has been turned around: it is 'about the development of a methodology justified by a failure, rather than analysis of a failure accompanied by a potential solution.'

References