

File 20080710.1400: Regular weekly meeting with Dr Martin today. We talked about methodology and literature search. I am trying to track down the original source of the assertion (in several versions of the Common Criteria) that evaluation of an existing system is impossible at higher than EAL4. It appears in the CC but I would like to find out who originally said it, and where.

We talked about writing papers, and techniques therefore. Dr Martin gave me the advice that he often starts with the introduction, then skips over the boring parts, starting to write directly the meaty parts, and then goes back later to fill in the parts he doesn't want to write later. In other words, out of sequence writing. I shall have to try that.

NOTE: I need to go through the Examination Regulations to make sure I can satisfy all the rules for the Transfer Report. Dr Martin specifically said to look for *maximum page limit* on the TR (30 pages?).

Also, add a new paragraph to the T.R. citing 'coursework taken'. Cite the LASER Summer School, SDE, and SCS. Cite FP and CAFV as partially done.

References