

Question 1

A consumer chooses consumption and savings to maximize their welfare subject to a budget constraints.

$$\max_{\{c_t, F_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t) \quad (1)$$

$$\text{s.t. } F_{t+1} = R_t (F_t + y_t - c_t), \text{ for all } t \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

$$c_t \geq 0, \text{ for all } t \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \beta^T u'(c_T) F_{T+1} = 0 \quad (\text{Transversality Condition}) \quad (4)$$

Where $u(c_t) = \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $\gamma > 0$, $F_0 = 0$, and $\{y_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is an exogenous, deterministic sequence of labor income with at least some positive y_t . $\{R_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ are the gross interest rate on financial assets, and are an exogenous, deterministic sequence known to the consumer.

- Setup the Lagrangian for this problem, being clear on Lagrange Multipliers and equality/inequality constraints.¹
- Show that the $c_t \geq 0$ constraint can never bind, then find the Euler equation for the consumer at all $t \geq 0$.²
- For some $\delta \geq 0$ and $\phi \geq 0$, let the labor income process be

$$y_t = \begin{cases} y_0 \delta^t & t = 0, \dots, T \\ y_0 \delta^T \phi^{t-T} & t = T+1, \dots, \infty \end{cases}$$

It just happens that $\{R_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is such that the consumer optimally sets $c_t = y_t$ and $F_{t+1} = 0$ for all t (i.e., this is a particular sequence of R_t which rationalizes this behavior). Find a formula for $\{R_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ and justify your formula.³

- Interpret your formula for R_t in terms of (i) the consumer's impatience, and (ii) the consumer's income growth.

¹Hint: You can be sloppy and skip the multiplier on the Transversality condition, as we have done in class. As always, I strongly suggesting using present-value Lagrange multipliers to simplify algebra.

²Hint: The only change from our standard problem is the time varying interest rate. You will need to be careful with the timing when taking first order conditions. To proof that $c_t > 0$, you will need to use the marginal utility and use the fact that there is at least some positive income.

³Hint: What does optimality mean? Also, be a little careful around T for the calculation of R_t

Question 2

There are two consumers ($i = 1, 2$) with potentially different consumption and income processes (c_t^i and y_t^i), initial financial wealth $F_0^i = 0$, and identical preferences subject to an intertemporal budget constraint,

$$\max_{\{c_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u(c_t^i) \quad (5)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t c_t^i = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t y_t^i \quad (6)$$

where $u'(c) > 0$, $u''(c) < 0$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$, and $\beta R = 1$. Assume that the two income processes are

$$y_t^1 = \{0, 1, 0, 1, \dots\} \quad (7)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \text{ even} \\ 1 & \text{if } t \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$$y_t^2 = \{1, 0, 1, 0, \dots\} \quad (9)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{if } t \text{ odd} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

- (a) Apply the permanent income result to find c_t^i for both agents.⁴
- (b) For every t , compare $c_t^1 + c_t^2$ vs. $y_t^1 + y_t^2$. Would this comparison change if $\beta R \neq 1$? (no need to solve for the exact c_t^i in that case)
- (c) Assuming that both agents start with no financial wealth, i.e. $F_0^1 = F_0^2 = 0$, compute the asset trades between consumer 1 and 2 to support the c_t^i where the period-by-period budget constraint for $i = 1, 2$ is

$$F_{t+1}^i = R(F_t^i + y_t^i - c_t^i)$$

⁴Hints: Note that if $a_t = \{1, 0, 1, 0, \dots\}$ then $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t a_t = 1 + \beta^2 + \beta^4 + \dots = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (\beta^2)^t$.

Question 3

A consumer chooses consumption and savings to maximize their welfare subject to a budget constraints.

$$\max_{\{c_t, F_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^T} \sum_{t=0}^T \beta^t c_t \quad (11)$$

$$\text{s.t. } F_{t+1} = R(F_t - c_t), \text{ for } t = 0, \dots, T \quad (12)$$

$$c_t \geq 0, \text{ for } t = 0, \dots, T \quad (13)$$

$$F_{T+1} = 0 \quad (14)$$

where $T < \infty$, $F_0 > 0$, $R > 0$, and $\beta \in (0, 1)$.⁵ Note that there is positive initial wealth, but no labor income. They are choosing how to spend their wealth

- (a) Check if the utility function is concave.
- (b) Setup the Lagrangian and find the first-order necessary conditions.⁶
- (c) Using the first-order necessary conditions, find the optimal path of $\{c_t, F_{t+1}\}_{t=0}^T$. Is the solution always unique, and if not, why?
- (d) Interpret how does the optimal allocation depends on the relationship between R and β .

⁵Hint: This has **not** assumed any relationship between β and R . Consider that $\beta R \leq 1$ as potentially having different behaviors, which might require analyzing different cases since you don't have the luxury to pick a single R which is convenient. The $\beta R = 1$ case will be very familiar.

⁶Hint: You will have to be very careful with Lagrange multipliers here and cannot just directly use our formulas. The complementarity conditions will be important for the c_t constraints. And remember that linear objectives and linear constraints usually means corners, so the $c_t \geq 0$ constraint may actually be important.