

**Problem 1. Connection coefficients for spherical polar coordinates (MCP 24.9)**

**1(a)** Consider spherical polar coordinates in 3-dimensional space, and verify that the nonzero connection coefficients, assuming an orthonormal basis, are given by Eq. (11.71).

**Solution.** We follow the procedure on pp. 1171–1172 of MCP for computing the connection coefficients. We first evaluate the commutation coefficients  $c_{\alpha\beta}{}^\rho$  using MCP (24.38a),

$$c_{\alpha\beta}{}^\rho = \vec{e}^\rho \cdot [\vec{e}_\alpha, \vec{e}_\beta], \quad (1)$$

We lower the last index using (24.38b),

$$c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = c_{\alpha\beta}{}^\rho g_{\rho\gamma}.$$

Then we use (24.38c) to compute

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} + g_{\alpha\gamma,\beta} - g_{\beta\gamma,\alpha} + c_{\alpha\beta\gamma} + c_{\alpha\gamma\beta} - c_{\beta\gamma\alpha}), \quad (2)$$

and raise the first index using (24.38d),

$$\Gamma^\mu{}_{\beta\gamma} = g^{\mu\alpha} \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}.$$

From the lecture, the commutator is given by

$$[\vec{A}, \vec{B}] = \nabla_{\vec{A}} \vec{B} - \nabla_{\vec{B}} \vec{A}. \quad (3)$$

We also note that  $g_{\alpha\beta} = \vec{e}_\alpha \cdot \vec{e}_\beta$  [1, p. 1161].

For an orthonormal basis  $\{\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\}$ ,  $g$  is the identity matrix [1, p. 614]. In spherical coordinates, the gradient is

$$\nabla = \hat{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi},$$

and its components are [?] (better double check)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_r \hat{\mathbf{r}} &= \mathbf{0}, & \nabla_\theta \hat{\mathbf{r}} &= \frac{1}{r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, & \nabla_\phi \hat{\mathbf{r}} &= \frac{1}{r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \\ \nabla_r \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \mathbf{0}, & \nabla_\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} &= -\frac{1}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}}, & \nabla_\phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} &= \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \\ \nabla_r \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} &= \mathbf{0}, & \nabla_\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} &= \mathbf{0}, & \nabla_\phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} &= -\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \frac{1}{r} \hat{\mathbf{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Eq. (3) and the above, we find

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}] &= \nabla_r \hat{\mathbf{r}} - \nabla_r \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{0}, & [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] &= \nabla_r \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \nabla_\theta \hat{\mathbf{r}} = -\frac{1}{r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, & [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] &= \nabla_r \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \nabla_\phi \hat{\mathbf{r}} = -\frac{1}{r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \\ [\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}] &= -[\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] = \frac{1}{r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, & [\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] &= \nabla_\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \nabla_\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \mathbf{0}, & [\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] &= \nabla_\theta \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \nabla_\phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = -\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \\ [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}] &= -[\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] = \frac{1}{r} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, & [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] &= -[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, & [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] &= \nabla_\phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} - \nabla_\phi \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g$  is the identity, we can immediately write from Eq. (1)

$$\begin{aligned} c_{rrr} &= [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} = 0, & c_{r\theta r} &= [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} = 0, & c_{r\phi r} &= [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} = 0, \\ c_{\theta rr} &= -c_{r\theta r} = 0, & c_{\theta\theta r} &= [\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} = 0, & c_{\theta\phi r} &= [\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} = 0, \\ c_{\phi rr} &= -c_{r\phi r} = 0, & c_{\phi\theta r} &= -c_{\theta\phi r} = 0, & c_{\phi\phi r} &= [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{rr\theta} &= [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = 0, & c_{r\theta\theta} &= [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = -\frac{1}{r}, & c_{r\phi\theta} &= [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = 0, \\
c_{\theta r\theta} &= -c_{r\theta\theta} = \frac{1}{r}, & c_{\theta\theta\theta} &= [\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = 0, & c_{\theta\phi\theta} &= [\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = 0, \\
c_{\phi r\theta} &= -c_{r\phi\theta} = \frac{1}{r}, & c_{\phi\theta\theta} &= -c_{\theta\phi\theta} = 0, & c_{\phi\phi\theta} &= [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = 0, \\
c_{rr\phi} &= [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\mathbf{r}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = 0, & c_{r\theta\phi} &= [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = 0, & c_{r\phi\phi} &= [\hat{\mathbf{r}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = -\frac{1}{r}, \\
c_{\theta r\phi} &= -c_{r\theta\phi} = 0, & c_{\theta\theta\phi} &= [\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = 0, & c_{\theta\phi\phi} &= [\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = -\frac{1}{r \sin \theta}, \\
c_{\phi r\phi} &= -c_{r\phi\phi} = \frac{1}{r}, & c_{\phi\theta\phi} &= -c_{\theta\phi\phi} = \frac{1}{r \sin \theta}, & c_{\phi\phi\phi} &= [\hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From Eq. (2) we again use the fact that  $g$  is the identity to write

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{rrr} &= \frac{c_{rrr} + c_{rrr} - c_{rrr}}{2} = 0, & \Gamma_{rr\theta} &= \frac{c_{rr\theta} + c_{r\theta r} - c_{r\theta r}}{2} = 0, & \Gamma_{rr\phi} &= \frac{c_{rr\phi} + c_{r\phi r} - c_{r\phi r}}{2} = 0, \\
\Gamma_{r\theta r} &= \frac{c_{r\theta r} + c_{rr\theta} - c_{\theta rr}}{2} = 0, & \Gamma_{r\theta\theta} &= \frac{c_{r\theta\theta} + c_{r\theta\theta} - c_{\theta\theta r}}{2} = -\frac{1}{r}, & \Gamma_{r\theta\phi} &= \frac{c_{r\theta\phi} + c_{r\phi\theta} - c_{\theta\phi r}}{2} = 0, \\
\Gamma_{r\phi r} &= \frac{c_{r\phi r} + c_{rr\phi} - c_{\phi rr}}{2} = 0, & \Gamma_{r\phi\theta} &= \frac{c_{r\phi\theta} + c_{r\theta\phi} - c_{\phi\theta r}}{2} = 0, & \Gamma_{r\phi\phi} &= \frac{c_{r\phi\phi} + c_{r\phi\phi} - c_{\phi\phi r}}{2} = -\frac{1}{r},
\end{aligned}$$

**1(b)** Repeat the exercise in 1(a) assuming a coordinate basis with

$$\mathbf{e}_r \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad \mathbf{e}_\theta \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \quad \mathbf{e}_\phi \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}.$$

**1(c)** Repeat both computations in 1(a) and 1(b) using symbolic manipulation software on a computer.

**Problem 2.** Let  $V$  be a vector field. Prove the covariant divergence formula valid in a coordinate basis

$$\nabla_\alpha V^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_\alpha (\sqrt{|g|} V^\alpha),$$

where  $g$  is the determinant of the metric.

**Problem 3.** In this problem you will explore the geometry of a sphere  $S^2$  of radius  $R$ .

**3(a)** A vector  $\vec{V} = V^\theta \vec{e}_\theta + V^\phi \vec{e}_\phi$  is defined at a point  $(\theta, \phi)$  on the sphere. It is then parallel transported around the circle of constant  $\theta$  with  $\phi \rightarrow \phi + 2\pi$ . What are its resulting components? What is its length?

**3(b)** Write the geodesic equation in  $(\theta, \phi)$  angular coordinates. Show that the solutions are *great circles*, i.e. circles on the sphere of largest diameter.

**3(c)** Consider a disk of radius  $\epsilon$  on the sphere. Working in the limit of small  $\epsilon$ , compute the area of the disk to order  $\epsilon^4$ . Compare your results to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the flat metric.

**3(d)** A spherical triangle is made from three points on the sphere pairwise connected by geodesics. Let the angles on the triangle be  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ . By drawing pictures, show that  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma$  can be larger than  $\pi$ .

**3(e)** Define the excess angle  $E$  of a spherical triangle by  $E = \alpha + \beta + \gamma - \pi$ . Prove that the area of the triangle is  $R^2 E$ .

**Problem 4.** In this problem you will explore the geometry on the space of possible inertial velocities.

**4(a)** Suppose two inertial frames move with 3-velocities  $\vec{v}_1$  and  $\vec{v}_2$  relative to a fixed inertial frame. Show that their relative velocity  $\vec{v}$  has magnitude  $v$  given by

$$v^2 = \frac{(\vec{v}_1 - \vec{v}_2)^2 - (\vec{v}_1 \times \vec{v}_2)^2}{(1 - \vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v}_2)^2}.$$

**4(b)** We define a metric on the space of all possible 3-velocities by defining the distance between two nearby velocities to be their relative velocity. Using the result from 4(a), show that this metric is

$$ds^2 = d\chi^2 + \sinh^2(\chi)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta) d\phi^2),$$

where  $\chi$  is the rapidity  $v = \tanh(\chi)$ , and  $\theta, \phi$  are polar and azimuthal angles defined relative to  $\vec{v}$ .

**4(c)** Show that the geodesics of this metric are paths of minimum fuel use for a rocket ship changing its velocity.

**4(d)** A rocket ship in interstellar travel with velocity  $\vec{v}_1$  relative to earth changes to a new velocity  $\vec{v}_2$  in a manner that uses the least amount of fuel. What is the ship's smallest velocity relative to earth during the change?

## References

[1] K. S. Thorne and R. D. Blandford, “Modern Classical Physics”. Princeton University Press, 2017.