## Problem 1. (Jackson 9.8)

**1(a)** Show that a classical oscillating electric dipole **p** with fields given by

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{ck^2}{4\pi} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}) \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{ikr} \right), \quad \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left\{ k^2 (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}) \times \hat{\mathbf{n}} \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} + \left[ 3\hat{\mathbf{n}} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) - \mathbf{p} \right] \left( \frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{ik}{r^2} \right) e^{ikr} \right\}, \quad (1)$$

radiates electromagnetic angular momentum to infinity at the rate

$$\frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt} = \frac{k^3}{12\pi\epsilon_0} \operatorname{Im}[\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{p}].$$

**Solution.** According to Jackson (9.20), the time-averaged angular momentum density is

$$1 = \frac{\text{Re}[\mathbf{x} \times (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*)]}{2c^2}.$$

One of the vector identities on the inside cover of Jackson is  $\mathbf{a} \times (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c})\mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})\mathbf{c}$ , so

$$\mathbf{l} = \frac{(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{H}^*)\mathbf{E} - (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E})\mathbf{H}^*}{2c^2}.$$
 (2)

From Eq. (1), note that

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{H}^* \propto \mathbf{x} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}^*) = \mathbf{p}^* \cdot (\mathbf{x} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}) = \mathbf{0},$$

where we have used the identity  $\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})$  and the fact that  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  points in the  $\mathbf{x}$  direction. For  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}$ , note that

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot [(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}) \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}] = -\mathbf{x} \cdot [\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p})] = -\mathbf{x} \cdot [(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p})\hat{\mathbf{n}} - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})\mathbf{p}] = -(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p})(\mathbf{x} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}$$
$$= -r(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) + \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} = 0,$$

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot [3\hat{\mathbf{n}}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) - \mathbf{p}] = 3(\mathbf{x} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) - \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} = 3r(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) - \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} = 3(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}) - \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p} = 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}),$$

since  $|\mathbf{x}| = r$  and  $\mathbf{x} = r \,\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon_0} (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \left( \frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{ik}{r^2} \right) e^{ikr} = \frac{1}{2\pi\epsilon_0} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \left( \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{ik}{r} \right) e^{ikr}.$$

With these substitutions, Eq. (2) becomes

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{l} &= -\frac{(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{E}) \mathbf{H}^*}{c^2} = -\frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0 c^2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \left( \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{ik}{r} \right) e^{ikr} \frac{ck^2}{4\pi} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}^*) \frac{e^{-ikr}}{r} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{ikr} \right) \\ &= -\frac{k^2}{16\pi^2 \epsilon_0 cr} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}^*) \left( \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{ik}{r} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{i}{kr} \right) = -\frac{k^2}{16\pi^2 \epsilon_0 c} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}^*) \left( \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{i}{kr^3} - \frac{ik}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) \\ &= -\frac{ik^2}{16\pi^2 \epsilon_0 cr} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}^*) \left( \frac{1}{kr^3} + \frac{k}{r^2} \right) = \frac{ik^3}{16\pi^2 \epsilon_0 cr^2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}^*) \left( \frac{1}{k^2 r^2} + 1 \right). \end{split}$$

Let **L** be the angular momentum radiated to a distance R. Then

$$\mathbf{L} = \int_{R} \mathbf{l}(r) \, d^{3}x = \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{R} \mathbf{l}(r) \, r^{2} \sin \theta \, dr \, d\phi \, d\theta,$$

and the time derivative is

$$\frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^R \mathbf{l}(r) \, r^2 \sin\theta \, dr \, d\phi \, d\theta \right) = \frac{dr}{dt} \frac{d}{dr} \left( \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^R \mathbf{l}(r) \, r^2 \sin\theta \, dr \, d\phi \, d\theta \right) 
= c \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathbf{l}(r) \, r^2 \sin\theta \, d\phi \, d\theta = \frac{ik^3}{16\pi^2 \epsilon_0} \left( \frac{1}{k^2 r^2} + 1 \right) \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p}) (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}^*) \sin\theta \, d\phi \, d\theta.$$
(3)

Note that

$$[(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{p})(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}^*)]_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 n_j p_j (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}^*)_i = \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{l=1}^3 \epsilon_{ikl} n_j p_j n_k p_l^*,$$

SO

$$\frac{dL_i}{dt} \propto \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{l=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ikl} p_j p_l^* \int n_j p_k \, d\Omega = \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{l=1}^{3} \epsilon_{ikl} p_j p_l^* \frac{4\pi}{3} \delta_{jk} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \epsilon_{ikl} p_k p_l^* = \frac{4\pi}{3} (\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{p}^*)_i,$$

where we have used Jackson (9.47),  $\int n_{\beta} n_{\gamma} d\Omega = 4\pi \delta_{\beta\gamma}/3$ . Making this substitution into Eq. (3),

$$\frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt} = \frac{ik^3}{6\pi\epsilon_0} \left( \frac{1}{k^2r^2} + 1 \right) (\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{p}^*).$$

Taking the limit as  $r \to \infty$ , we find

$$\frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt} = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{ik^3}{12\pi\epsilon_0}(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{p}^*)\right] = \operatorname{Re}\left[-\frac{ik^3}{12\pi\epsilon_0}(\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{p})\right] = \frac{k^3}{12\pi\epsilon_0}\operatorname{Im}[\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{p}],\tag{4}$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

**1(b)** What is the ratio of angular momentum radiated to energy radiated? Interpret.

**Solution.** According to Jackson (9.24), the total power radiated by an oscillating electric dipole **p** is

$$P = \frac{dE}{dt} = \frac{c^2 Z_0 k^4}{12\pi} |\mathbf{p}|^2.$$

Then the ratio of angular momentum radiated to energy radiated is

$$\frac{d\mathbf{L}/dt}{dE/dt} = \frac{k^3}{12\pi\epsilon_0} \operatorname{Im}[\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{p}] \frac{12\pi}{c^2 Z_0 k^4 |\mathbf{p}|^2} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \operatorname{Im}[\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{p}] \frac{1}{c^2 Z_0 k |\mathbf{p}|^2} = \frac{\operatorname{Im}[\mathbf{p}^* \times \mathbf{p}]}{\omega |\mathbf{p}|^2},$$

where we have used  $Z_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\epsilon_0} = 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_0^2 c^2} = 1/\epsilon_0 c$ ,  $c^2 = 1/(\epsilon_0 \mu_0)$ , and  $\omega = kc$ .

In the limit of high frequency,  $(d\mathbf{L}/dt)/(dE/dt) \to 0$ . In this scenario, the energy radiated dominates over the angular momentum radiated. Likewise, in the limit of low frequency,  $(d\mathbf{L}/dt)/(dE/dt) \to \infty$ , meaning that angular momentum radiation dominates. This is sensible because rotational kinetic energy  $E \propto \omega^2$ , while angular momentum  $L \propto \omega$ .

**1(c)** For a charge e rotating in the xy plane at radius a and angular speed  $\omega$ , show that there is only a z component of radiated angular momentum with magnitude  $dL_z/dt = e^2k^3a^2/6\pi\epsilon_0$ . What about a charge oscillating along the z axis?

**Solution.** We know from Homework 5 that the position of a point charge rotating counterclockwise in the xy plane is

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = a\cos(\omega t)\,\mathbf{x} + a\sin(\omega t)\,\hat{\mathbf{y}}.$$

Then the charge distribution is

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = e\delta[x - a\cos(\omega t)]\,\delta[y - a\sin(\omega t)]\,\delta(z).$$

According to Jackson (4.8), the dipole moment is defined

$$\mathbf{p} = \int \mathbf{x}' \, \rho(\mathbf{x}') \, d^3 x' \,.$$

The components of  $\mathbf{p}$  for the point charge are then

$$p_x = e \iiint x \, \delta[x - a\cos(\omega t)] \, \delta[y - a\sin(\omega t)] \, \delta(z) \, dx \, dy \, dz = ea\cos(\omega t),$$

$$p_y = e \iiint y \, \delta[x - a\cos(\omega t)] \, \delta[y - a\sin(\omega t)] \, \delta(z) \, dx \, dy \, dz = ea\sin(\omega t),$$

$$p_z = e \iiint z \, \delta[x - a\cos(\omega t)] \, \delta[y - a\sin(\omega t)] \, \delta(z) \, dx \, dy \, dz = 0,$$

so we can write  $\mathbf{p} = ea \, e^{-i\omega t} (\hat{\mathbf{x}} + i \, \hat{\mathbf{y}})$ . Substituting into Eq. (4),

$$\frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt} = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{ik^3}{12\pi\epsilon_0}e^2a^2e^{-i\omega t}e^{i\omega t}[(\hat{\mathbf{x}} + i\,\hat{\mathbf{y}}) \times (\hat{\mathbf{x}} - i\,\hat{\mathbf{y}})]\right] = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{ie^2k^3a^2}{12\pi\epsilon_0}(-2i\,\hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \hat{\mathbf{y}})\right] = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{e^2k^3a^2}{6\pi\epsilon_0}\hat{\mathbf{z}}\right]$$

$$= \frac{e^2k^3a^2}{6\pi\epsilon_0}\cos(\omega t)\,\hat{\mathbf{z}},$$

as desired.

A charge oscillating along the z axis with amplitude a has the charge density

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = ea \,\delta(x) \,\delta(y) \,\delta[z - \cos(\omega t)],$$

which gives the dipole moment

$$p_x = ea \iiint x \, \delta(x) \, \delta(y) \, \delta[z - \cos(\omega t)] \, dx \, dy \, dz = 0,$$

$$p_y = ea \iiint y \, \delta(x) \, \delta(y) \, \delta[z - \cos(\omega t)] \, dx \, dy \, dz = 0,$$

$$p_z = ea \iiint z \, \delta(x) \, \delta(y) \, \delta[z - \cos(\omega t)] \, dx \, dy \, dz = ea \cos(\omega t).$$

In complex notation,  $\mathbf{p} = ea e^{-i\omega t} \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ . Substituting into Eq. (4), we find

$$\frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt} = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{ik^3}{12\pi\epsilon_0}e^2a^2e^{-i\omega t}e^{i\omega t}(\hat{\mathbf{z}}\times\hat{\mathbf{z}})\right] = \mathbf{0}.$$

So we see that a charge undergoing linear motion does not lead to a radiated angular momentum, which is sensible.

**1(d)** What are the results corresponding to Probs. 1(a) and 1(b) for magnetic dipole radiation?

**Solution.** The radiation fields for a magnetic dipole are given by Jackson (19.35–36),

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \left\{ k^2 (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{m}) \times \hat{\mathbf{n}} \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} + \left[ 3\hat{\mathbf{n}} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{m}) - \mathbf{m} \right] \left( \frac{1}{r^3} - \frac{ik}{r^2} \right) e^{ikr} \right\}, \quad \mathbf{E} = -\frac{Z_0}{4\pi} k^2 (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{m}) \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{ikr} \right).$$

Comparing with Eq. (1), we see that  $\mathbf{H} \to -\mathbf{E}/Z_0$ ,  $\mathbf{E} \to Z_0\mathbf{H}$ , and  $\mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{m}/c$  as stated in the book [?, p. 413]. Making these substitutions, the results of Probs. 1.1(a) and (b) become

$$\frac{d\mathbf{L}}{dt} = \frac{\mu_0 k^3}{12\pi} \operatorname{Im}[\mathbf{m}^* \times \mathbf{m}], \qquad \frac{d\mathbf{L}/dt}{dE/dt} = \frac{\operatorname{Im}[\mathbf{m}^* \times \mathbf{m}]}{\omega |\mathbf{m}|^2}$$

where we have used  $\mu = 1/\epsilon_0 c^2$ .

## Problem 2. (Jackson 10.1)

2(a) Show that for arbitrary initial polarization, the scattering cross section of a perfectly conducting sphere of radius a, summed over outgoing polarizations, is given in the long-wavelength limit by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = k^4 a^6 \left[ \frac{5}{4} - |\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|^2 - \frac{1}{4} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0)|^2 - \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \right],$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  are the directions of the incident and scattered radiations, respectively, while  $\epsilon_0$  is the (perhaps complex) unit polarization vector of the incident radiation ( $\epsilon_0^* \cdot \epsilon_0 = 1$ ;  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \cdot \epsilon_0 = 0$ ).

**Solution.** Jackson (10.14) gives the differential cross section for scattering off a small, perfectly conducting sphere with initial polarization  $\epsilon_0$  and outgoing polarization  $\epsilon$ :

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}; \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) = k^4 a^6 \left| (\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0 - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) \right|^2.$$
 (5)

We will use the polarization vectors  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(2)}$ , which are defined in Fig. (1) [?, p. 458]. According to the figure,

$$\epsilon^{(2)} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0}{|\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0|} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0}{\sqrt{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2}},$$

$$\epsilon^{(1)} = \epsilon^{(2)} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}} = \frac{-\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)}{\sin \theta} = \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)\hat{\mathbf{n}}}{\sin \theta} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)\hat{\mathbf{n}}}{\sqrt{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2}},$$

which are both real. In the denominator, we have used  $\sin^2 \theta = 1 + \cos^2 \theta = 1 + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2$ . We also note that  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)}$  are in the same plane, and that  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \perp \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)}$ .

The cross section summed over outgoing polarizations is then found by plugging  $\epsilon = \epsilon^{(1)}$  and  $\epsilon = \epsilon^{(2)}$  into Eq. (5), and taking the sum. For the first term,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)}; \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) = k^4 a^6 \left| \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)^*} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0 - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)^*}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) \right|^2 \\
= k^4 a^6 \left| \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0) \hat{\mathbf{n}}}{\sqrt{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0) \hat{\mathbf{n}}}{\sqrt{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2}} \right) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) \right|^2 \\
= \frac{k^4 a^6}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2} \left| -(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0) (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) \right|^2.$$




Figure 1: (Jackson 10.1) Polarization and propagation vectors for the incident and scattered radiation.

One of the vector identities on the inside cover of Jackson is  $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot (\mathbf{c} \times \mathbf{d}) = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{d}) - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{d})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c})$ . Applying this, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)}; \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) &= \frac{k^4 a^6}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2} \bigg| (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0) (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0) (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0) \bigg|^2 \\ &= \frac{k^4 a^6}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2} \bigg| (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0) (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) \bigg|^2 = \frac{k^4 a^6}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0|^2 \bigg[ (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0) + \frac{1}{4} \bigg] \,. \end{split}$$

For the second term,

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(2)}; \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) = k^{4}a^{6} \left| \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(2)^{*}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0} - \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(2)^{*}}) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) \right|^{2}$$

$$= k^{4}a^{6} \left| \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}}{\sqrt{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2}}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0} - \frac{1}{2} \left( \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}}{\sqrt{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2}}} \right) \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) \right|^{2}$$

$$= \frac{k^{4}a^{6}}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2}} \left| \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) - \frac{1}{2} [(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})\hat{\mathbf{n}} - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}] \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) \right|^{2}$$

$$= \frac{k^{4}a^{6}}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2}} \left| \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) - \frac{1}{2} [(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})\hat{\mathbf{n}} - \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}] \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) \right|^{2}$$

$$= \frac{k^{4}a^{6}}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2}} \left| \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}) \right|^{2}$$

$$= \frac{k^{4}a^{6}}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2}} \left| \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}) \right) \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) \right|^{2}$$

$$= \frac{k^{4}a^{6}}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2}} \left| 1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}) + \frac{1}{4} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} \right| |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2}.$$

Summing the two terms, we find

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(1)}; \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) + \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(2)}; \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}) 
= \frac{k^{4}a^{6}}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2}} \left\{ |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}|^{2} \left[ (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}) + \frac{1}{4} \right] + \left[ 1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}) + \frac{1}{4} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} \right] |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} \right\} 
= \frac{k^{4}a^{6}}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2}} \left\{ |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}|^{2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} - |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}|^{2} (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0}) + \frac{|\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0}|^{2}}{4} + |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} \right. 
\left. - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} + \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2}}{4} - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})|\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{0})|^{2} + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{0})^{2}$$

Since  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0 = 0$ , we note that

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0) \,\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 + (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \epsilon_0) \,\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0 + [\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0)] \,(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad 1 = (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \epsilon_0|^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0)|^2. \quad (7)$$

Substituting into Eq. (6),

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{k^4 a^6}{1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2} \left[ \frac{5}{4} - \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \right] \left[ 1 - (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0)^2 \right] - k^4 a^6 \left[ \frac{1}{4} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0)|^2 + |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0|^2 \right] 
= k^4 a^6 \left[ \frac{5}{4} - |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0|^2 - \frac{1}{4} |\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0)|^2 - \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \right],$$
(8)

as we sought to prove.

**2(b)** If the incident radiation is linearly polarized, show that the cross section is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = k^4 a^6 \left[ \frac{5}{8} (1 + \cos^2 \theta) - \cos \theta - \frac{3}{8} \sin^2 \theta \cos(2\phi) \right],$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 = \cos \theta$  and the azimuthal angle  $\phi$  is measured from the direction of linear polarization.

**Solution.** We choose coordinates as in Fig. 1, such that the direction of linear polarization  $\epsilon_0$  points along the x axis and  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0$  points along the z axis. Then  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \epsilon_0$  points along the y axis. In spherical coordinates, Eq. (7) becomes

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \cos\phi \sin\theta \,\epsilon_0 + \sin\phi \sin\theta \,(\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \epsilon_0) + \cos\theta \,\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0,\tag{9}$$

which implies

$$\cos \phi \sin \theta = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \epsilon_0, \qquad \qquad \sin \phi \sin \theta = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \epsilon_0), \qquad \qquad \cos \theta = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0.$$

Making these substitutions in Eq. (8), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\theta,\phi) &= k^4 a^6 \left[ \frac{5}{4} - \cos^2 \phi \sin^2 \theta - \frac{1}{4} \sin^2 \phi \sin^2 \theta - \cos \theta \right] \\ &= k^4 a^6 \left[ \frac{5}{4} - \frac{1}{2} [1 + \cos(2\phi)] \sin^2 \theta - \frac{1}{8} [1 - \cos(2\phi)] \sin^2 \theta - \cos \theta \right] \\ &= k^4 a^6 \left[ \frac{5}{4} - \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos^2 \theta) - \frac{1}{2} \cos(2\phi) \sin^2 \theta - \frac{1}{8} (1 - \cos^2 \theta) + \frac{1}{8} \cos(2\phi) \sin^2 \theta - \cos \theta \right] \\ &= k^4 a^6 \left[ \frac{5}{8} (1 + \cos^2 \theta) - \cos \theta - \frac{3}{8} \sin^2 \theta \cos(2\phi) \right], \end{split}$$

where we have used the identities  $2\sin^2\phi = 1 - \cos(2\phi)$ ,  $2\cos^2\phi = 1 + \cos(2\phi)$ , and  $\cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta = 1$ .

**2(c)** What is the ratio of scattered intensities at  $\theta = \pi/2$ ,  $\phi = 0$  and  $\theta = \pi/2$ ,  $\phi = \pi/2$ ? Explain physically in terms of the induced multipoles and their radiation patterns.

**Solution.** Firstly, note that

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\pi/2,0) = k^4 a^6 \left[ \frac{5}{8} - \frac{3}{8} \right] = \frac{k^4 a^6}{4},$$
$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\pi/2,\pi/2) = k^4 a^6 \left[ \frac{5}{8} + \frac{3}{8} \right] = k^4 a^6,$$

so the ratio is

$$\frac{d\sigma/d\Omega\;(\pi/2,0)}{d\sigma/d\Omega\;(\pi/2,\pi/2)} = \frac{1}{4}.$$

According to Jackson (10.12–13), the electric and magnetic dipole moments of a perfectly conducting sphere are, respectively,

$$\mathbf{p} = 4\pi\epsilon_0 a^3 \mathbf{E}_{\text{inc}}, \qquad \mathbf{m} = 2\pi a^3 \mathbf{H}_{\text{inc}},$$

where  $\mathbf{E}_{inc}$  and  $\mathbf{H}_{inc}$  are the incident fields. They are given by Jackson (10.1), wherein

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{inc}} = \epsilon_0 E_o e^{ik\hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \cdot \mathbf{x}}, \qquad \qquad \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{inc}} = \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{inc}} / Z_0.$$

The scattered fields are given by Jackson (10.2),

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{sc}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} k^2 \frac{e^{ikr}}{r} \left[ (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p}) \times \hat{\mathbf{n}} - \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \frac{\mathbf{m}}{c} \right], \qquad \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{sc}} = \hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}}{Z_0}.$$

When  $\phi = 0$ , Eq. (9) indicates that  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0$ . Applying the relations above,  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  and  $\mathbf{p}$  therefore point in the same direction. This means  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $\mathbf{E}_{sc}$  only has a contribution from the magnetic dipole. However, When  $\phi = \pi/2$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \hat{\mathbf{n}}_0 \times \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_0$  and therefore  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  points in the same direction as  $\mathbf{m}$ . This means  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{0}$ , so  $\mathbf{E}_{sc}$  only has a contribution from the electric dipole. The ratio 1/4 indicates that the strength of radiation from a purely electric dipole is four times that from a purely magnetic dipole.

**Problem 3.** (Jackson 12.15) Consider the Proca equation for a localized steady-state distribution of current that has only a static magnetic moment. This model can be used to study the observable effects of a finite photon mass on the earth's magnetic field. Note that if the magnetization is  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x})$  the current density can be written as  $\mathbf{J} = c(\nabla \times \mathcal{M})$ .

**3(a)** Show that if  $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{m} f(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $\mathbf{m}$  is a fixed vector and  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is a localized scalar function, the vector potential is

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{m} \times \nabla \int f(\mathbf{x}') \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3x'.$$

**Solution.** The Proca equations of motion in the static limit are given by the equation immediately following Jackson (12.93),

$$\nabla^2 A_\alpha - \mu^2 A_\alpha = -\frac{4\pi}{c} J_\alpha,$$

which implies

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \mu^2 \mathbf{A} = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J}.\tag{10}$$

We will proceed by finding the Green's function for this equation, which satisfies

$$(\nabla^2 - \mu^2) G(\mathbf{x}) = \delta^3(\mathbf{x}). \tag{11}$$

We can Fourier transform  $G(\mathbf{x})$  so long as **A** and its derivatives vanish at infinity [?]. The Fourier transform expression and its inverse in one dimension are, according to Jackson (2.45–46),

$$A(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ikx} f(x) dx, \qquad f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A(k) e^{ikx} dk.$$

Generalizing to three dimensions, the Green's function transforms as [?]

$$G(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int G(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d^3x.$$

Transforming both sides of Eq. (11) in the same way, we have

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int (\nabla^2 - \mu^2) G(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d^3x = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \nabla^2 G(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d^3x - \frac{\mu^2}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int G(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d^3x 
= \frac{k^2 - \mu^2}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int G(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d^3x ,$$

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \delta^3(\mathbf{x}) e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} d^3x = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}},$$

so

$$(k^2 - \mu^2) G(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \implies G(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}(k^2 - \mu^2)}.$$

Then we can find  $G(\mathbf{x})$  using the inverse Fourier transform [?]:

$$G(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int G(\mathbf{k}) \, e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \, d^3k = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}}{k^2 - \mu^2} \, d^3k = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{ik|\mathbf{x}|}}{k^2 - \mu^2} k^2 \sin\theta \, dk \, d\theta \, d\phi$$

$$= \frac{4\pi}{(2\pi)^3 |\mathbf{x}|} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{k \sin(k|\mathbf{x}|)}{k^2 - \mu^2} k^2 \, dk = \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{4\pi|\mathbf{x}|}.$$

Then the solution to Eq. (10) is

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \int \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}') G(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') d^3 x' = \frac{1}{c} \int \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}') \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x'.$$

Note that

$$\frac{\mathbf{J}}{c} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} \times [\mathbf{m}\,f(\mathbf{x})] = \boldsymbol{\nabla} f(\mathbf{x}) \times \mathbf{m} + f(\mathbf{x})\,\boldsymbol{\nabla} \times \mathbf{m} = \boldsymbol{\nabla} f(\mathbf{x}) \times \mathbf{m} = -\mathbf{m} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla} f(\mathbf{x}),$$

where we have used the identity  $\nabla \times (\psi \mathbf{a}) = \nabla \psi \times \mathbf{a} + \psi \nabla \times \mathbf{a}$ . Making this substitution,

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = -\int \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{\nabla}' f(\mathbf{x}') \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x' = -\mathbf{m} \times \int \mathbf{\nabla}' f(\mathbf{x}') \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x'. \tag{12}$$

Integrating by parts,

$$\int \mathbf{\nabla}' f(\mathbf{x}') \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x' = \left[ f(\mathbf{x}') \mathbf{\nabla}' \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \int f(\mathbf{x}') \mathbf{\nabla}' \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x' = - \int f(\mathbf{x}') \mathbf{\nabla}' \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x',$$

since  $f(\mathbf{x})$  is a localized scalar function and therefore vanishes at infinity. Since the Green's function depends only on  $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|$ , we can replace  $\nabla'$  by  $-\nabla$ :

$$-\int f(\mathbf{x}') \, \nabla' \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} \, d^3x' = \int f(\mathbf{x}') \, \nabla \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} \, d^3x' = \nabla \int f(\mathbf{x}') \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|} \, d^3x'.$$

Making this substitution in Eq. (12),

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{m} \times \nabla \int f(\mathbf{x}') \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x', \qquad (13)$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

**3(b)** If the magnetic dipole is a point dipole at the origin  $[f(\mathbf{x}) = \delta(\mathbf{x})]$ , show that the magnetic field away from the origin is

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = \left[3\,\mathbf{\hat{r}}(\mathbf{\hat{r}}\cdot\mathbf{m}) - \mathbf{m}\right] \left(1 + \mu r + \frac{\mu^2 r^2}{3}\right) \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r^3} - \frac{2}{3}\mu^2 \mathbf{m} \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r}.$$

**Solution.** Setting  $f(\mathbf{x}) = \delta(\mathbf{x})$  in Eq. (13),

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = -\mathbf{m} \times \nabla \int \delta(\mathbf{x}') \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3 x' = -\mathbf{m} \times \nabla \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|}.$$

Note that

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|} = \left( -\frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} - \frac{\mu e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|} \right) \mathbf{x} = -(1 + \mu|\mathbf{x}|) \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} \hat{\mathbf{x}} = -(1 + \mu|\mathbf{x}|) \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} \mathbf{x},$$

SO

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{x})(1 + \mu |\mathbf{x}|) \frac{e^{-\mu |\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}.$$

The magnetic field is given by  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$ :

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{\nabla} \times \left[ (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{x})(1 + \mu | \mathbf{x} |) \frac{e^{-\mu | \mathbf{x} |}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} \right] = \mathbf{\nabla} \left[ (1 + \mu | \mathbf{x} |) \frac{e^{-\mu | \mathbf{x} |}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} \right] \times (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{x}) + (1 + \mu | \mathbf{x} |) \frac{e^{-\mu | \mathbf{x} |}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} \mathbf{\nabla} \times (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{x}).$$

For the first term,

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\left[(1+\mu|\mathbf{x}|)\frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3}\right] = \left[-\mu\frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} + (1+\mu|\mathbf{x}|)\left(-\frac{\mu e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} - \frac{3e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^4}\right)\right]\hat{\mathbf{x}} = -(3+3\mu|\mathbf{x}| + \mu^2|\mathbf{x}|^2)\frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^4}\hat{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Then, using the identity  $\nabla \times (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{b}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{a}) + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{a} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{b}$ ,

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{m}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{x}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{m}) + (\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{m} - (\mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{m}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{x}) - (\mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{x}.$$

Making these substitutions,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) &= -(3+3\mu|\mathbf{x}|+\mu^2|\mathbf{x}|^2) \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} \hat{\mathbf{x}} \times (\mathbf{m} \times \hat{\mathbf{x}}) + (1+\mu|\mathbf{x}|) \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} [\mathbf{m}(\nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}}) - (\mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla) \hat{\mathbf{x}}] \\ &= -(3+3\mu|\mathbf{x}|+\mu^2|\mathbf{x}|^2) \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} [(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{x}})\mathbf{m} - (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{m}) \hat{\mathbf{x}}] + 2(1+\mu|\mathbf{x}|) \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} \mathbf{m} \\ &= -3\left(1+\mu|\mathbf{x}|+\frac{\mu^2|\mathbf{x}|^2}{3}\right) \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} [\mathbf{m} - (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{m}) \hat{\mathbf{x}}] + 2(1+\mu|\mathbf{x}|) \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2} \mathbf{m} \\ &= [3 \hat{\mathbf{x}} (\hat{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{m}) - \mathbf{m}] \left(1+\mu|\mathbf{x}|+\frac{\mu^2|\mathbf{x}|^2}{3}\right) \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} - \frac{2}{3}\mu^2 \mathbf{m} \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{x}|}}{|\mathbf{x}|}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \to \hat{\mathbf{r}}$  and  $|\mathbf{x}| \to r$ , we have

$$\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}) = \left[3\,\mathbf{\hat{r}}(\mathbf{\hat{r}}\cdot\mathbf{m}) - \mathbf{m}\right] \left(1 + \mu r + \frac{\mu^2 r^2}{3}\right) \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r^3} - \frac{2}{3}\mu^2 \mathbf{m} \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r}$$

as we sought to show.

**3(c)** The result of Prob. 3(b) shows that at fixed r = R (on the surface of the earth), the earth's magnetic field will appear as a dipole angular distribution, plus an added constant magnetic field (an apparently external field) antiparallel to **m**. Satellite and surface observations lead to the conclusion that the "external" field is less than  $4 \times 10^{-3}$  times the dipole field at the magnetic equator. Estimate a lower limit on  $\mu^{-1}$  in earth radii and an upper limit on the photon mass in grams from this datum.