1 Problem 3

Consider a particle moving in one dimension with the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x). \tag{1}$$

1.1 Verify the following:

a.
$$i\hbar \partial_t \langle \Psi(t)|x\rangle = -\langle \Psi(t)|H|x\rangle$$
,

b.
$$i\hbar\partial_t \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle = \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|H|\Psi(t)\rangle - \langle \Phi(t)|H|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle$$
,

c.
$$i\hbar\partial_t \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \partial_x^2 \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle - (\partial_x^2 \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle) \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle \right],$$

d.
$$\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle + \langle \Phi(t)|p|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle = \frac{\hbar}{i} \left[\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \partial_x \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle - (\partial_x \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle) \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle \right]$$

e.
$$\frac{\hbar}{i}\partial_x \left[\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle + \langle \Phi(t)|p|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle \right] = \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|p^2|\Psi(t)\rangle - mel\Phi(t)p^2x\langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

Solution.

a. Beginning with Schrödinger's equation, note that

$$i\hbar\partial_t |\Psi(t)\rangle = H |\Psi(t)\rangle$$
 (2)

$$i\hbar\partial_t \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle = \langle x|H|\Psi(t)\rangle \tag{3}$$

$$(i\hbar\partial_t \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle)^* = (\langle x|H|\Psi(t)\rangle)^* \tag{4}$$

$$-i\hbar\partial_t \langle \Psi(t)|x\rangle = \langle \Psi(t)|H|x\rangle \tag{5}$$

$$i\hbar\partial_t \langle \Psi(t)|x\rangle = -\langle \Psi(t)|H|x\rangle,$$
 (6)

where in going to (5) we have used the fact that H is Hermitian, and (6) is what we sought to prove. \Box

b. Beginning with what was proven in (a),

$$i\hbar\partial_t \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle = -\langle \Phi(t)|H|x\rangle \tag{7}$$

$$i\hbar(\partial_t \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle) \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle = -\langle \Phi(t)|H|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle. \tag{8}$$

From (3), we can write

$$\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle i\hbar \partial_t \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle = \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|H|\Psi(t)\rangle. \tag{9}$$

Adding (15) and (17) yields

$$\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle i\hbar \partial_t \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle + i\hbar (\partial_t \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle) \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle = \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|H|\Psi(t)\rangle - \langle \Phi(t)|H|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle \tag{10}$$

$$i\hbar\partial_t \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle = \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|H|\Psi(t)\rangle - \langle \Phi(t)|H|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle, \quad (11)$$

where in going to (11) we have used the product rule of differentiation. (11) is what we sought to prove.

October 31, 2019

c. Using (1), note that:

$$\langle x|H|\Psi(t)\rangle = \langle x|\left[\frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x)\right]|\Psi(t)\rangle$$
 (12)

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \langle x|p^2|\Psi(t)\rangle + \langle x|V(x)|\Psi(t)\rangle \tag{13}$$

$$= \frac{(-i\hbar\partial_x)^2}{2m} \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle + V(x) \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle \tag{14}$$

$$= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \partial_x^2 \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle + V(x) \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle, \qquad (15)$$

where in going to (14) we have used the fact that

$$\langle x|p|\Psi(x)\rangle = -i\hbar\partial_x \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle. \tag{16}$$

Similarly, note that

$$\langle \Phi(t)|H|x\rangle = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_x^2 \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle + V(x) \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \tag{17}$$

where we have used

$$\langle \Phi(t)|p|x\rangle = i\hbar\partial_x \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle. \tag{18}$$

Making the substitutions (15) and (17) into what was proven in (b),

$$i\hbar\partial_{t} \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle = \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\partial_{x}^{2} \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle + V(x) \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle \right]$$

$$-\left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m}\partial_{x}^{2} \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle + V(x) \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \right] \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$= -\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2m} \left[\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \partial_{x}^{2} \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle - (\partial_{x}^{2} \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle) \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle \right]$$
(19)

$$+ V(x) \langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle - V(x) \langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[\langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle \partial_x^2 \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle - (\partial_x^2 \langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle) \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle \right],$$
(21)

as we sought to prove.

d. Applying (16) and (18) to the left-hand side of (d),

$$\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \ \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle + \ \langle \Phi(t)|p|x\rangle \ \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle = \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \ (-i\hbar\partial_x \ \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle) + (i\hbar\partial_x \ \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle) \ \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle$$
(22)

$$= \frac{\hbar}{i} \left[\langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle \, \partial_x \, \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle - \left(\partial_x \, \langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle \right) \, \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle \right] \tag{23}$$

as we sought to prove.

e. Beginning with the first term of the left-hand side of the expression in (e), applying the product rule of differentiation yields

$$\partial_x(\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle) = (\partial_x \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle) \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle + \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \partial_x \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle \tag{24}$$

Multiplying through by \hbar/i ,

$$\frac{\hbar}{i}\partial_{x}(\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \ \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle) = (-i\hbar\partial_{x} \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle) \ \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle - \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \ i\hbar\partial_{x} \ \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle \tag{25}$$

$$= -\langle \Phi(t)|p|x\rangle \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle + \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|p^2|\Psi(t)\rangle, \qquad (26)$$

October 31, 2019 2

where in going to (26) we have used (16) and (18). Using a similar procedure for the second term of the left-hand side of (e),

$$\frac{\hbar}{i}\partial_x(\langle \Phi(t)|p|x\rangle\langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle) = (-i\hbar\partial_x\langle \Phi(t)|p|x\rangle)\langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle - \langle \Phi(t)|p|x\rangle\,i\hbar\partial_x\langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle$$
(27)

$$= -\langle \Phi(t)|p^2|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle + \langle \Phi(t)|p|x\rangle \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle. \tag{28}$$

Adding the results of (26) and (28),

$$\frac{\hbar}{i}\partial_{x}\left[\langle\Phi(t)|x\rangle\ \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle + \langle\Phi(t)|p|x\rangle\ \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle\right] = \langle\Phi(t)|x\rangle\ \langle x|p^{2}|\Psi(t)\rangle - \langle\Phi(t)|p|x\rangle\ \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle + \langle\Phi(t)|p|x\rangle\ \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle - \langle\Phi(t)|p^{2}|x\rangle\ \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

$$+ \langle\Phi(t)|p|x\rangle\ \langle x|p|\Psi(t)\rangle - \langle\Phi(t)|p^{2}|x\rangle\ \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle$$
(29)

$$= \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \ \langle x|p^2|\Psi(t)\rangle - \langle \Phi(t)|p^2|x\rangle \ \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle \tag{30}$$

as we sought to prove.

1.2 Define

$$\rho(x,t) = \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle, \tag{31}$$

$$J_x(x,t) = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle \langle x | p | \Psi(t) \rangle + \langle \Phi(t) | p | x \rangle \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle \right]. \tag{32}$$

Show that $\rho(x,t) + \partial_x J_x(x,t) = 0$.

Solution. From (31),

$$\partial_t \rho(x,t) = \partial_t (\langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle), \tag{33}$$

and from what was proven in 1(c),

$$\partial_t(\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle) = -\frac{1}{i\hbar} \left[\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \partial_x^2 \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle - (\partial_x^2 \langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle) \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle \right]$$
(34)

$$= -\frac{1}{2m} \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle \langle x | p^2 | \Psi(t) \rangle - \langle \Phi(t) | p^2 | x \rangle \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle \right], \tag{35}$$

where we have applied (16) and (18) in going to (35). Equating (33) and (35),

$$\partial_t \rho(x,t) = -\frac{1}{2m} \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle \langle x | p^2 | \Psi(t) \rangle - \langle \Phi(t) | p^2 | x \rangle \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle \right]. \tag{36}$$

Beginning from (32),

$$\partial_x J_x(x,t) = \frac{1}{2m} \partial_x \left[\langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle \langle x | p | \Psi(t) \rangle + \langle \Phi(t) | p | x \rangle \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle \right]$$
 (37)

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\langle \Phi(t) | x \rangle \langle x | p^2 | \Psi(t) \rangle - \langle \Phi(t) | p^2 | x \rangle \langle x | \Psi(t) \rangle \right], \tag{38}$$

where in going to (38) we have used what was proven in 1(e). Summing (36) and (38), we have

$$\partial_{t}\rho(x,t) + \partial_{x}J_{x}(x,t) = -\frac{1}{2m}\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|p^{2}|\Psi(t)\rangle - \langle \Phi(t)|p^{2}|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle \right] + \frac{1}{2m}\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\langle \Phi(t)|x\rangle \langle x|p^{2}|\Psi(t)\rangle - \langle \Phi(t)|p^{2}|x\rangle \langle x|\Psi(t)\rangle \right]$$

$$= 0$$

$$(40)$$

as we sought to prove. This is is the continuity equation.

October 31, 2019 3

2 Problem 4

Consider a particle moving in three dimensions. Consider an operator

$$U(\phi) = \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar}L_3\phi\right), \qquad L_3 = L_z = XP_y - YP_x, \tag{41}$$

where X, Y and P_x, P_y are position and momentum operators, respectively. Define new operators

$$X(phi) = U^{\dagger}(\phi)XU(\phi), \tag{42}$$

$$Y(\phi) = U^{\dagger}(\phi)YU(\phi). \tag{43}$$

Note that X(0) = Y(0) = 0.

2.1 Derive the equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X(\phi)}{\mathrm{d}\phi} = \frac{i}{\hbar} U^{\dagger}(\phi)[L_3, X]U(\phi) = -Y(\phi),\tag{44}$$

and a similar equation for $dY(\phi)/d\phi$.

Solution. Using the definition of $X = X(\phi)$ in (42) and applying the product rule of differentiation,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}\phi} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\phi}(U^{\dagger}XU) = \frac{\mathrm{d}U^{\dagger}}{\mathrm{d}\phi}XU + U^{\dagger}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\phi}(XU) = \frac{\mathrm{d}U^{\dagger}}{\mathrm{d}\phi}XU + U^{\dagger}\frac{\mathrm{d}X}{\mathrm{d}\phi}U + U^{\dagger}X\frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}\phi}$$
(45)

October 31, 2019 4