## CSE 341, Spring 2015, Assignment 3 Due: Monday April 27, 11:00PM

You will define several SML functions. Many will be very short because they will use other higher-order functions. You may use functions in ML's library; the problems point you toward the useful functions and often *require* that you use them. The sample solution is about 120 lines, including the provided code, but not including the challenge problem. This assignment is probably more difficult than Homework 2 even though (perhaps because) many of the problems have 1-line answers.

Download hw03.sml from the course website.

- 1. Write a function only\_capitals that takes a string list and returns a string list that has only the strings in the argument that start with an uppercase letter. Assume all strings have at least 1 character. Use List.filter, Char.isUpper, and String.sub to make a 1-2 line solution.
- 2. Write a function longest\_string1 that takes a string list and returns the longest string in the list. If the list is empty, return "". In the case of a tie, return the string closest to the beginning of the list. Use fold1, String.size, and no recursion (other than the implementation of fold1 is recursive).
- 3. Write a function longest\_string2 that is exactly like longest\_string1 except in the case of ties it returns the string closest to the end of the list. Your solution should be almost an exact copy of longest\_string1. Still use foldl and String.size.
- 4. Write functions longest\_string\_helper, longest\_string3, and longest\_string4 such that:
  - longest\_string3 has the same behavior as longest\_string1 and longest\_string4 has the same behavior as longest\_string2.
  - longest\_string\_helper has type (int \* int -> bool) -> string list -> string (notice the currying). This function will look a lot like longest\_string1 and longest\_string2 but is more general because it takes a function as an argument.
  - If longest\_string\_helper is passed a function that behaves like > (so it returns true exactly when its first argument is stricly greater than its second), then the function returned has the same behavior as longest\_string1.
  - longest\_string3 and longest\_string4 are defined with val-bindings and partial applications of longest\_string\_helper.
- 5. Write a function longest\_capitalized that takes a string list and returns the longest string in the list that begins with an uppercase letter, or "" if there are no such strings. Assume all strings have at least 1 character. Use a val-binding and the ML library's o operator for composing functions. Resolve ties like in problem 2.
- 6. Write a function rev\_string that takes a string and returns the string that is the same characters in reverse order. Use ML's o operator, the library function rev for reversing lists, and two library functions in the String module. (Browse the module documentation to find the most useful functions.)

The next two problems involve writing functions over lists that will be useful in later problems.

7. Write a function first\_answer of type ('a -> 'b option) -> 'a list -> 'b (notice the 2 arguments are curried). The first argument should be applied to elements of the second argument in order until the first time it returns SOME v for some v and then v is the result of the call to first\_answer. If the first argument returns NONE for all list elements, then first\_answer should raise the exception NoAnswer. Hints: Sample solution is 5 lines and does nothing fancy.

8. Write a function all\_answers of type ('a -> 'b list option) -> 'a list -> 'b list option (notice the 2 arguments are curried). The first argument should be applied to elements of the second argument. If it returns NONE for any element, then the result for all\_answers is NONE. Else the calls to the first argument will have produced SOME lst1, SOME lst2, ... SOME lstn and the result of all\_answers is SOME lst where lst is lst1, lst2, ..., lstn appended together (order doesn't matter). Hints: The sample solution is 8 lines. It uses a helper function with an accumulator and uses @. Note all\_answers f [] should evaluate to SOME [].

The remaining problems use these type definitions, which are inspired by the type definitions an ML implementation would use to implement pattern matching:

Given valu v and pattern p, either p matches v or not. If it does, the match produces a list of string \* valu pairs; order in the list does not matter. The rules for matching should be unsurprising:

- Wildcard matches everything and produces the empty list of bindings.
- Variable s matches any value v and produces the one-element list holding (s,v).
- UnitP matches only Unit and produces the empty list of bindings.
- ConstP 17 matches only Const 17 and produces the empty list of bindings (and similarly for other integers).
- TupleP ps matches a value of the form Tuple vs if ps and vs have the same length and for all i, the  $i^{th}$  element of ps matches the  $i^{th}$  element of vs. The list of bindings produced is all the lists from the nested pattern matches appended together.
- ConstructorP(s1,p) matches Constructor(s2,v) if s1 and s2 are the same string (you can compare them with =) and p matches v. The list of bindings produced is the list from the nested pattern match. We call the strings s1 and s2 the constructor name.
- Nothing else matches.
- 9. (This problem uses the pattern datatype but is not really about pattern-matching.) A function g has been provided to you.
  - (a) In an ML comment, describe in a few English sentences the arguments that g takes and what g computes (not how g computes it, though you will have to understand that to determine what g computes). Note you write no code for this subproblem.
  - (b) Use g to define a function count\_wildcards that takes a pattern and returns how many Wildcard patterns it contains.
  - (c) Use g to define a function count\_wild\_and\_variable\_lengths that takes a pattern and returns the number of Wildcard patterns it contains plus the sum of the string lengths of all the variables in the variable patterns it contains. (Use String.size. We care only about variable names; the constructor names are not relevant.)
  - (d) Use g to define a function count\_some\_var that takes a string and a pattern (as a pair) and returns the number of times the string appears as a variable in the pattern. We care only about variable names; the constructor names are not relevant.

- 10. Write a function check\_pat that takes a pattern and returns true if and only if all the variables appearing in the pattern are distinct from each other (i.e., use different strings). The constructor names are not relevant. Hints: The sample solution uses two helper functions. The first takes a pattern and returns a list of all the strings it uses for variables. Using foldl with a function that uses append is useful in one case. The second takes a list of strings and decides if it has repeats. List.exists may be useful. Sample solution is 15 lines. These are hints: We are not requiring foldl and List.exists here, but they make it easier.
- 11. Write a function match that takes a valu \* pattern and returns a (string \* valu) list option, namely NONE if the pattern does not match and SOME 1st where 1st is the list of bindings if it does. Note that if the value matches but the pattern has no patterns of the form Variable s, then the result is SOME []. Hints: Sample solution has one case expression with 7 branches. The branch for tuples uses all\_answers and ListPair.zip. Sample solution is 13 lines. Remember to look above for the rules for what patterns match what values, and what bindings they produce. These are hints: We are not requiring all\_answers and ListPair.zip here, but they make it easier.
- 12. Write a function first\_match that takes a value and a list of patterns and returns a (string \* valu) list option, namely NONE if no pattern in the list matches or SOME 1st where 1st is the list of bindings for the first pattern in the list that matches. Use first\_answer and a handle-expression. Hints: Sample solution is 3 lines.

(Challenge Problem) Write a function typecheck\_patterns that "type-checks" a pattern list. Types for our made-up pattern language are defined by:

typecheck\_patterns should have type ((string \* string \* typ) list) \* (pattern list) -> typ option. The first argument contains elements that look like ("foo","bar",IntT), which means constructor foo makes a value of type Datatype "bar" given a value of type IntT. Assume list elements all have different first fields (the constructor name), but there are probably elements with the same second field (the datatype name). Under the assumptions this list provides, you "type-check" the pattern list to see if there exists some typ (call it t) that all the patterns in the list can have. If so, return SOME t, else return NONE.

You must return the "most lenient" type that all the patterns can have. For example, given patterns TupleP[Variable("x"), Variable("y")] and TupleP[Wildcard, Wildcard], return TupleT[Anything, Anything] even though they could both have type TupleT[IntT,IntT]. As another example, if the only patterns are TupleP[Wildcard, Wildcard] and TupleP[Wildcard, TupleP[Wildcard, Wildcard]], you must return TupleT[Anything, TupleT[Anything, Anything]].

**Type Summary:** Evaluating a correct homework solution should generate these bindings, in addition to the bindings for datatype and exception definitions:

```
val g = fn : (unit -> int) -> (string -> int) -> pattern -> int
val only_capitals = fn : string list -> string list
val longest_string1 = fn : string list -> string
val longest_string2 = fn : string list -> string
val longest_string_helper = fn : (int * int -> bool) -> string list -> string
val longest_string3 = fn : string list -> string
```

```
val longest_string4 = fn : string list -> string
val longest_capitalized = fn : string list -> string
val rev_string = fn : string -> string
val first_answer = fn : ('a -> 'b option) -> 'a list -> 'b
val all_answers = fn : ('a -> 'b list option) -> 'a list -> 'b list option
val count_wildcards = fn : pattern -> int
val count_wild_and_variable_lengths = fn : pattern -> int
val count_some_var = fn : string * pattern -> int
val check_pat = fn : pattern -> bool
val match = fn : valu * pattern -> (string * valu) list option
val first_match = fn : valu -> pattern list -> (string * valu) list option
```

Of course, generating these bindings does not guarantee that your solutions are correct. Test your functions: Put your testing code in a second file. We will not grade it, but you must turn it in.

## Assessment:

Your solutions should be correct, in good style, and use only features we have used in class.

## **Turn-in Instructions**

- Put all your solutions in one file, hw03.sml. Put tests you wrote (with or without the provided tests) in hw03\_test.sml.
- Turn in your files using the Catalyst dropbox link on the course website.