Annika Rockenberger

Editing a Discourse, Not a Text: Meta-Methodological Remarks on an Editorial Endeavour (Abstract)

• Full-length article in: JLT 10/2 (2016), 366–382.

Whereas in literary studies poststructuralist theory (e. g. deconstruction, discourse analysis, broad concepts of intertextuality, >Death of the Author<-claims and several versions of anti-intentionalism) has had – and still has – a massive impact on practices of *interpretation*, until now there has been very little reception of according ideas in the domain of scholarly editing. Here, emphatic criticism regarding the >author-centricity< of textual scholarship rather employed concepts like >textual dynamics
or >textual fluidity<, as well as a positivist focus on the >materiality

However, within my contribution I will outline an entirely different approach by asking the question: If we actually decided to give up on author-centricity in scholarly editing and radically rejected authors' intentions as well as authors' single or collected works as objects of textual scholarship, could the yet unrealized project of sediting a discourse or siscourse edition work as a complement, an extension, or a replacement of traditional editions?

To make this clear: So far there is no such thing as a discourse edition, so I cannot give a *description* of something already in existence. Actually, I don't want to *make a case* for discourse editions either, that is, my contribution will *not* contain any programmatic or normative claims and I will *not* suggest a concrete editorial concept. Instead, I will explore what questions and problems someone would be confronted with when seriously conceptualizing and/or realizing such a project. So, generally my presentation takes the shape of a *heuristic* (partly *critical*) thought experiment: the answer to a what-if-question.

One of the underlying ideas of this article is to confront contemporary edition philology (textual scholarship) – which is oriented towards categories like author, work, or text – with a sfoild for contrast specifically invented for the purpose to show quite plainly that those leading categories scholarly editorial work is based on are anything but self-evident and without any alternatives but in the end rather contingent (namely upon *pragmatic* considerations regarding research interests and overall aims of textual scholarship). Radically different modes of editing are imaginable and an editorial practice that is interested in asking different questions and pursuing different objectives would undoubtedly look very unlike what is the common practice and rationale of contemporary scholarly editing.

I designed a meta-philological thought experiment to exemplify exactly this and I will thereby reveal a discipline-specific methodological blindness, irritate seemingly unproblematic habitual ways of thinking and thus uncover a deficit of reasoning and self-reflection in the field. Basically, I will clarify some implicit (categorial and methodological) presuppositions of scholarly editing and thereby uncover some aspects of the (invisible) normative framework underlying editorial practices.

Firstly, I will clarify *what* entities could be meant by the term >discourse< – focussing especially on French poststructuralism (namely Michel Foucault) – in order to establish the very *object* of a discourse edition.

Secondly, I will ask *why* – for what reasons and purposes – one should engage in such an enterprise at all: Why should one favour it over conventional editions? Would discourse editions be complementary to or an extension of alternative editorial options, or would they be their replacement?

When I will have shown that a discourse edition can actually be *justified* as a *reasonable* editorial project, I will move on to the question of *how* such an edition might look like. Hence, I will distinguish three versions of *how to conceptualize* a discourse edition – a weak, a strong, and a radical version – each of which can be conceived as a complement, an extension, or a replacement of traditional editions: The *weak* version still focuses on author's single or collected works but also tries to reconstruct them as hubso within historical discourse networks, e. g. by adding extensive commentaries, contexts and source material. The *strong* version comes closest to Foucault's programmatic ideas but also faces difficult questions, such as whether texts, parts of texts or statements are to be considered as the elementary units of a discourse edition, how exactly the quantity of editorially recorded objects is to be limited, and how different discourses are to be differentiated. The *radical* version – which turns out to be a *prescriptive* theoretical fiction – gives up not only on categories like hauthor, hwork, etc. but also dispenses with pretty much any other concept of order exceeding here-floating single statements, anonymous, and decontextualized.

Finally, I will briefly consider the question of *how to practically realize* the strong version of a discourse edition.

References

Allen, Graham, Intertextuality, London 2000.

Angermüller, Johannes, *Nach dem Strukturalismus. Theoriediskurs und intellektuelles Feld in Frankreich*, Bielefeld 2007 (Angermüller 2007a).

Angermüller, Johannes, Diskurs als Aussage und Äußerung. Die enunziative Dimension in den Diskurstheorien Michel Foucaults und Jacques Lacans, in: Ingo H. Warnke (ed.), *Diskurslinguistik nach Foucault*, Berlin/New York 2007, 53–80 (Angermüller 2007b).

Barthes, Roland, La mort de l'auteur [1967], in: R.B., Œuvres complètes. Nouvelle édition revue et corrigée, Vol. 3, ed. by Éric Marty, Paris 2002, 40–45 (Barthes 2002a).

Barthes, Roland, S/Z [1970], in: R.B., Œuvres complètes. Nouvelle édition revue et corrigée, Vol. 3, ed. by Éric Marty, Paris 2002, 119–346 (Barthes 2002b). [S/Z. An Essay, transl. by Richard Miller, New York ²⁷2000.]

Barthes, Roland, De l'œuvre au texte [1971], in: R.B., Œuvres complètes. Nouvelle édition revue et corrigée, Vol. 3, ed. by Éric Marty, Paris 2002, 908–916 (Barthes 2002c). [From Work to Text, in: R.B., Image, Music, Text, transl. by Stephen Heath, New York 1977, 155–164.]

Barthes, Roland, Texte (théorie du) [1973], in: R.B., Œuvres complètes. Nouvelle édition revue et corrigée, Vol. 4, ed. by Éric Marty, Paris 2002, 443–459 (Barthes 2002d). [Theory of the Text, in: Robert Young (ed.), Untying the Text. A Post-Structuralist Reader, transl. by Ian McLeod, London 1981, 31–47.]

- Brown, Beverly/Mark Cousins, The Linguistic Fault. The Case of Foucault's Archaeology, in: Mark Gane (ed.), *Towards a Critique of Foucault. Foucault, Lacan and the Question of Ethics*, New York 2010, 33–60.
- Büchner, Georg, Sämtliche Werke und Schriften. Historisch-kritische Ausgabe mit Quellen-dokumentation und Kommentar, ed. by Burghard Dedner, Darmstadt 2000–2013.
- Bühler, Axel/Rüdiger Schmitt, Über Michel Foucaults Methodologie der Ideengeschichte, *Saeculum* 34 (1983), 212–225.
- Burke, Sean, *The Death and Return of the Author. Criticism and Subjectivity in Barthes, Foucault and Derrida*, Edinburgh 1992.
- Dedner, Burghard, Quellendokumentation und Kommentar zu Büchners Geschichtsdrama Danton's Tod«. Versuch einer sachlichen Klärung und begrifflichen Vereinfachung, editio 7 (1993), 194–210.
- Dreyfus, Hubert L./Paul Rabinow, *Michel Foucault. Beyond Structuralism and Hermeneutics*, Chicago, IL 1982.
- Eggert, Paul, Social Discourse or Authorial Agency. Bridging the Divide Between Editing and Theory, in: P.E./Margaret Sankey (eds.), *The Editorial Gaze. Mediating Texts in Literature and the Arts*, New York 1998, 97–116.
- Fiske, John, *Television Culture* [1987], New York ²2010.
- Foucault, Michel, From Torture to Cellblock [1975], in: Sylvère Lotringer (ed.), *Foucault Live: Collected Interviews* 1961–1984, New York 1996, 146–149.
- Foucault, Michel, On the Archaeology of the Sciences. Response to the Epistemology Circle [1968], in: M.F., *The Essential Works. Aesthetics, Method, and Epistemology*, Vol. 2, ed. by James D. Faubion, transl. by Robert Hurley, London 1998, 297–333 (Foucault 1998a).
- Foucault, Michel, What Is an Author? [1969], in: M.F., *The Essential Works. Aesthetics, Method, and Epistemology*, Vol. 2, ed. by James D. Faubion, transl. by Robert Hurley, London 1998, 205–222 (Foucault 1998b).
- Foucault, Michel, Nietzsche, Genealogy, History [1971], in: M.F., *The Essential Works. Aesthetics, Method, and Epistemology*, Vol. 2, ed. by James D. Faubion, transl. by Robert Hurley, London 1998, 369–392 (Foucault 1998c).
- Foucault, Michel, *Archaeology of Knowledge* [1969], transl. by A.M. Sheridan Smith, New York 2010.
- Freundlieb, Dieter, Foucault and the Study of Literature, *Poetics Today* 16 (1995), 301–344.
- Frings, Andreas/Johannes Marx, Wenn Diskurse baden gehen. Eine handlungstheoretische Fundierung der Diskursanalyse, in: Franz X. Eder (ed.), *Historische Diskursanalysen*. *Genealogie, Theorie, Anwendungen*, Wiesbaden 2006, 91–112.
- Gabler, Hans Walter, The Primacy of the Document in Editing, Ecdotica 4 (2007), 197–207.
- Gabler, Hans Walter, Beyond Author-Centricity in Scholarly Editing, *Journal of Early Modern Studies* 1 (2012), 15–35.
- Greetham, David, Theories of the Text, Oxford 1999.
- Haugen, Odd Einar, On the Diplomatic Turn in Editorial Philology, Presentation at *The 13th International Saga Conference*, Durham, 6.–12. August 2006 (unpublished).
- Irwin, William, Against Intertextuality, *Philosophy and Literature* 28 (2004), 227–242.
- Jacobs, Wilhelm G., Materie Materialität Geist, editio 23 (2009), 14–20.
- Japp, Uwe, Der Ort des Autors in der Ordnung des Diskurses, in: Jürgen Fohrmann/Harro Müller (eds.), *Diskurstheorien und Literaturwissenschaft*, Frankfurt a. M. 1988, 223–234.
- Kindt, Tom, Diskursanalyse, in: Rüdiger Zymner (ed.), *Handbuch Gattungstheorie*, Stuttgart/Weimar 2010, 227–228.
- Köppe, Tilmann/Simone Winko, *Neuere Literaturtheorien. Eine Einführung* [2008], Stuttgart/Weimar ²2013.
- Kovacs, Susan, Discourse Analysis and Book History. Literary Indexing as Social Dialogue, *Variants* 6 (2007), 243–262.

- Lamarque, Peter, The Death of the Author. An Analytical Autopsy, *British Journal of Aesthetics* 30 (1990), 319–331. [Crossref]
- Landwehr, Achim, *Historische Diskursanalyse* [2008], Frankfurt a.M. ²2009.
- Livingston, Paisley, From Text to Work, in: Nancy Easterlin/Barbara Riebling (eds.), *After Poststructuralism. Interdisciplinarity and Literary Theory*, Evanston, IL 1993, 91–104.
- Mailloux, Steven, *Interpretative Conventions*. The Reader in the Study of American Fiction, Ithaca, NY 1982.
- McGann, Jerome J., A Critique of Modern Textual Criticism, Chicago, IL 1983.
- McLean, Gerald, What Is a Restoration Poem? Editing a Discourse, Not an Author, *Text* 3 (1987), 319–346.
- Miething, Christoph, Die Metaphysik des Diskurses. Anmerkungen zu Michel Foucaults >L'ordre du discours, *Germanisch-Romanische Monatsschrift* 39 (1989), 457–464.
- Mills, Sara, *Discourse* [1997], New York ²2004.
- Nehamas, Alexander, What an Author Is, Journal of Philosophy 83 (1986), 685-691.
- Nehamas, Alexander, Writer, Text, Work, Author, in: Anthony J. Cascardi (ed.), *Literature and the Question of Philosophy*, Baltimore, MD 1987, 267–291.
- Pierazzo, Elena, A Rationale of Digital Documentary Editing, *Literary and Linguistic Computing* 26 (2011), 463–477.
- Polzer, Markus, Philipp Melanchthons Schrift > Widder die artikel der Bawrschafft <. Studien zu einer intertextuell und diskursanalytisch orientierten Edition, in: Jörg Jungmayr (ed.), *Officina editorica*, Berlin 2011, 163–184.
- Popper, Karl R., On the Use and Misuse of Imaginary Experiments, Especially in Quantum Theory, in: K.R.P., *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*, London 1959, 442–456.
- Reisigl, Martin, Sprachkritische Beobachtungen zu Foucaults Diskursanalyse, in: Brigitte Kerchner/Silke Schneider (eds.), *Foucault. Diskursanalyse der Politik. Eine Einführung*, Wiesbaden 2006, 85–103.
- Rockenberger, Annika, Produktion und Drucküberlieferung der editio princeps von Sebastian Brants >Narrenschiff (Basel 1494). Eine medienhistorisch-druckanalytische Untersuchung, Frankfurt a.M. 2011.
- Rockenberger, Annika/Per Röcken, Interessengeleitete Datenverarbeitung. Zur Empirie der neugermanistischen Editionsphilologie, in: Philip Ajouri/Katja Mellmann/Christoph Rauen (eds.), *Empirie in der Literaturwissenschaft*, Münster 2013, 93–129.
- Röcken, Per, Was ist aus editorischer Sicht Materialität? Versuch einer Explikation des Ausdrucks und einer sachlichen Klärung, *editio* 22 (2008), 22–46.
- Shillingsburg, Peter, *Scholarly Editing in the Computer Age. Theory and Practice*, Athens, GA 1986.
- Shillingsburg, Peter, Orientations to Text, editio 15 (2001), 1–16.
- Shillingsburg, Peter, Forms, Ecdotica 6 (2009), 116–125.
- Shillingsburg, Peter, The Semiotics of Bibliography, *Textual Cultures* 6 (2011), 11–25.
- Spoerhase, Carlos, *Autorschaft und Interpretation. Methodische Grundlagen einer philologischen Hermeneutik*, Berlin/New York 2007.
- Tanselle, G. Thomas, A Rationale of Textual Criticism, Philadelphia, PA 1992.
- Tanselle, G. Thomas, The Varieties of Scholarly Editing, in: David Greetham (ed.), *Scholarly Editing*. A Guide to Research, New York 1995, 9–32.
- Titzmann, Michael, Kulturelles Wissen Diskurs Denksystem. Zu einigen Grundbegriffen der Literaturgeschichtsschreibung, *Zeitschrift für französische Sprache und Literatur* 99 (1989), 47–61.
- Vitali-Rosati, Marcello, Digital Paratext, Editorialization, and the Very Death of the Author, in: Nadine Desrochers/Daniel Apollon (eds.), *Examining Paratextual Theory and its Applications in Digital Culture*, Hershey 2014, 110–127.

Vogl, Joseph, Aussage, in: Clemens Kammler (ed.), *Foucault-Handbuch. Leben, Werk, Wirkung*, Stuttgart/Weimar 2008, 225–227.

Zymner, Rüdiger, Gattungstheorie. Probleme und Positionen der Literaturwissenschaft, Paderborn 2003.

2017-01-07 JLTonline ISSN 1862-8990

Copyright © by the author. All rights reserved.

This work may be copied for non-profit educational use if proper credit is given to the author and JLTonline.

For other permission, please contact JLTonline.

How to cite this item:

Abstract of: Annika Rockenberger, Editing a Discourse, Not a Text: Meta-Methodological Remarks on an Editorial Endeavour.

In: JLTonline (07.01.2017)

Persistent Identifier: urn:nbn:de:0222-003434

Link: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:0222-003434