Sonja Klimek

Unzuverlässiges Erzählen als werkübergreifende Kategorie. Personale und impersonale Erzählinstanzen im phantastischen Kriminalroman (Abstract)

• Full-length article in: JLT 12/1 (2018), 29–54.

As the new genre of detective novels emerged at the turn from the 19th to the 20th century, its specific genre conventions got more and more well-established. This made it possible for writers to playfully change some of these readers' genre expectations – in order to better fulfil others. Agatha Christie, for example, in 1926 dared to undermine the »principle of charity« (Walton) that readers give to the reliability of first person narrators in detective stories – especially when such a narrator shows himself as being a close friend to the detective at work, as it was the case with Sir Arthur Conan Doyle's famous Dr. Watson, friend to Sherlock Holmes. Christie dared to break this principle by establishing a first-person narrator who, at the end, turns out to be the murderer himself. Thus, she evades the »principle of charity«, but is not being penalised by readers and critics for having broken this one genre convention because she achieves a very astonishing resolution at the end of the case and thus reaches to fulfil another and even more crucial genre convention, that of a surprising >narrative closure<, in a very new and satisfying way.

Fantastic literature and detective novels are usually two clearly distinct genres of narrative fiction with partly incommensurate genre conventions. Whereas in fantastic literature (in the narrower sense of the term), two reality systems collide, leaving the reader in uncertainty about which one of the two finally rules within the fictive world, detective novels usually are settled in a simply realistic universe. Taking a closer look at a contemporary series of detective fiction, that is, the Dublin stories of Tana French (2007–), I will turn to an example in which the genre convention of sintraserial coherence provides evidence for the unreliability of the different narrators – whereas with regard only to each single volume of the series, each narrator could be perceived as being completely reliable. As soon as we have several narrators telling stories that take place within the same fictive world, unreliable narration can result from inconsistencies between the statements of the different narrators about what is fictionally true within this universe.

Additionally, the Tana French example is of special interest for narratology because in one of the volumes, an impersonal and seemingly omniscient narrator appears. Omniscient narration is usually being regarded as incompatible with unreliability, but, as Janine Jacke has already shown, in fact is not: Also impersonal narration can mire in contradictions and thus turn out to be unreliable. With regard to Tana French's novel, I would add that it can also be mistrusted because the utterances of this narration can conflict with those of other narrators in other volumes of the same series. So in the light of serial narration, the old question of whether impersonal narration (or an omniscient narrator) can be unreliable at all should be reconsidered. In the case of narrative seriality, the evidence for ascribing unreliability to one of its alternating narrators need not be found in the particular sequel narrated by her/him but in other sequels narrating about events within the same story world. Once again, narrative unreliability turns out to be a category rather of interpretation than of pure text analysis and description.

Again, Tana French like previously Agatha Christie is not being penalised by readers and critics for having broken this one genre convention of letting her detective stories take place in a purely realistic universe because today, genre conventions are merging more and more. Tana French achieves an even more tempting rarrative tension by keeping her readers in continuous uncertainty about whether a little bit of magic might be possible in the otherwise so quotidian world of her fictive detectives. Thus, the author metafictionally (and, later also overtly) flirts with the genre of rarrative tension at typical postmodern merging of well-established, hitherto distinct popular genres.

References

Breuer, Rolf, Irland. Eine Einführung in seine Geschichte, Literatur und Kultur, München 2003

Chatman, Seymour, *Story and Discourse. Narrative Structure in Fiction and Film*, Ithaca, NY/London 1978.

Cohn, Dorrit, Discordant Narration, Style 34:2 (2000), 307–316.

Durst, Uwe, *Theorie der phantastischen Literatur* [2001], Berlin et al. ²2007.

Fludernik, Monika, Unreliability vs. Discordance. Kritische Betrachtungen zum literaturwissenschaftlichen Konzept der erzählerischen Unzuverlässigkeit, in: Fabienne Liptay/Yvonne Wolf (Hg.), *Was stimmt denn jetzt? Unzuverlässiges Erzählen in Literatur und Film*, München 2005, 39–59.

French, Tana, In the Woods, London 2007.

French, Tana, Faithful Place, London/New York 2010.

French, Tana, The Secret Place, London 2014.

French, Tana, *The Trespasser*, London 2016 (French 2016a).

French, Tana, *Gefrorener Schrei*, übers. von Ulrike Wasel und Klaus Timmermann, Frankfurt a.M. 2016 (French 2016b).

Fricke, Harald, Norm und Abweichung. Eine Philosophie der Literatur, München 1981.

Gansel, Carsten, Rhetorik der Erinnerung. Zur narrativen Inszenierung von Erinnerung in der Kinder- und Jugendliteratur und Allgemeinliteratur, in: C.G./Hermann Korte (Hg.), Kinder- und Jugendliteratur und Narratologie, Göttingen 2009, 13–40.

Genette, Gérard, *Die Erzählung*, mit einem Nachwort hg. von Jochen Vogt, übers. von Andreas Knop, München 1994.

Grice, Herbert Paul, Logic and Conversation [1967], in: Peter Cole/Jerry K. Morgan (Hg.), *Syntax and Semantics*, Vol. 3: *Speech Acts*, New York 1975, 41–58.

- Jacke, Janina, Unreliability and Narrator Types. On the Application Area of >Unreliable Narration<, *Journal of Literary Theory* 12:1 (2018), 2–27.
- Kindt, Tom, L'art de violer le contrat. Une comparaison entre la métalepse et la non-fiabilité narrative, in: John Pier/Jean-Marie Schaeffer (Hg.), *Métalepses. Entorses au pacte de la représentation*, Paris 2005, 167–178.
- Kindt, Tom, Unzuverlässiges Erzählen und literarische Moderne. Eine Untersuchung der Romane von Ernst Weiβ, Tübingen 2008.
- Kindt, Tom, →Das Unmögliche, das dennoch geschieht∢. Zum Begriff der literarischen Phantastik am Beispiel von Werken Thomas Manns, *Thomas Mann Jahrbuch* 24 (2011), 43–56.
- Klauk, Tobias/Tilmann Köppe/Thomas Weskott, Empirical Correlates of Narrative Closure, *Diegesis. Interdisziplinäres E-Journal für Erzählforschung* 5:1 (2016), https://www.diegesis.uni-wuppertal.de/index.php/diegesis/article/view/215/295 (10.05.2017).
- Klimek, Sonja, *Paradoxes Erzählen. Die Metalepse in der phantastischen Literatur*, Paderborn 2010.
- Klimek, Sonja/Tobias Lambrecht/Tom Kindt, Vorwort, in: S.K./T.L./T.K. (Hg.), Funktionen der Fantastik. Neue Formen des Weltbezugs von Literatur und Film nach 1945, Heidelberg 2017, 9–14.
- Köppe, Tilmann/Tom Kindt, Unreliable Narration With a Narrator and Without, *Journal of Literary Theory* 5:1 (2011), 81–93.
- Köppe, Tilmann/Tom Kindt, *Erzähltheorie*. *Eine Einführung*, Stuttgart 2014. Google Scholar Köppe, Tilmann/Jan Stühring, Against Pan-Narrator-Theories, *Journal of Literary Semantics* 40 (2011), 59–80.
- Lang, Simone Elisabeth, Between Story and Narrated World. Reflections on the Difference between Homo- and Heterodiegesis, *Journal of Literary Theory* 8:2 (2014), 368–396 (Lang 2014a).
- Lang, Simone Elisabeth, Fantastische Unzuverlässigkeit unzuverlässige Fantastik. Ein Beitrag zur Diskussion um die Rolle des Erzählers in der literarischen Fantastik, *Komparatistik online* (2014), 9–21 (Lang 2014b)
- Martínez, Matías/Michael Scheffel, Einführung in die Erzähltheorie [1999], München ⁵2003.
- Moennighoff, Burkhard, Paratexte, in: Heinz Ludwig Arnold/Heinrich Detering (Hg.), *Grundzüge der Literaturwissenschaft* [1996], München ⁵2002, 349–356.
- Müller, Hans-Harald, »L'importance d'une métalepse se mesure à son rendement narratif.« À propos du roman de Leo Perutz ›La troisième balle‹, in: John Pier/Jean-Marie Schaeffer (Hg.), *Métalepses. Entorses au pacte de la représentation*, Paris 2005, 179–188.
- Ricardou, Jean, Pour une théorie du nouveau roman, Paris 1971.
- Ryan, Mary-Laure, Fiction, Non-factuals, and the Principle of Minimal Departure, *Poetics* 9:4 (1980), 403–422.
- Ryan, Marie-Laure, The Pragmatics of Personal and Impersonal Fiction, *Poetics* 10:6 (1981), 517–539.
- Stanzel, Franz K., *Theorie des Erzählens* [1979], Göttingen ³1985.
- Thompson, Laura, Postscript, in: Agatha Christie, *The Murder of Roger Ackroyd* [1926], London 2013, 300–304.
- Todorov, Tzvetan, Introduction à la littérature fantastique, Paris 1970.
- Walton, Kendall L., *Mimesis as Make-believe: On the Foundations of the Representational Arts*, Cambridge, MA/London 1990.
- Weber, Tanja/Christian Junklewitz, Das Gesetz der Serie. Ansätze zur Definition und Analyse, *Medienwissenschaft* 1 (2008), 13–31.
- Wörtche, Thomas, Kriminalroman, in: Harald Fricke u.a. (Hg.), *Reallexikon der deutschen Literaturwissenschaft*, Bd. 2, Berlin/New York 2000, 342–345.

Wolf, Werner, Ästhetische Illusion und Illusionsdurchbrechung in der Erzählkunst. Theorie und Geschichte mit Schwerpunkt auf englischem illusionsstörendem Erzählen, Tübingen 1993.

Wünsch, Marianne, Phantastische Literatur, in: Jan-Dirk Müller u.a. (Hg.), *Reallexikon der deutschen Literaturwissenschaft*, Bd. 3, Berlin/New York 2003, 71–74.

2018-04-06 JLTonline ISSN 1862-8990

Copyright © by the author. All rights reserved.

This work may be copied for non-profit educational use if proper credit is given to the author and JLTonline.

For other permission, please contact JLTonline.

How to cite this item:

Abstract of: Sonja Klimek, Unzuverlässiges Erzählen als werkübergreifende Kategorie. Personale und impersonale Erzählinstanzen im phantastischen Kriminalroman.

In: JLTonline (06.04.2018)

Persistent Identifier: urn:nbn:de:0222-003836

Link: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:0222-003836