

# CS 162 Notes (Winter 2017)

Justin Lubin



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Asymptotic Analysis</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	Big-Oh Notation . . . . .	5
1.1.1	Definition . . . . .	5
1.1.2	Assumption: Constant Operations (Approximations) . . . . .	5
1.1.3	Non-Constant Operations . . . . .	5
1.2	Reducing Big-O Expressions . . . . .	6
1.2.1	What to Eliminate . . . . .	6
1.2.2	Examples . . . . .	6
1.3	Linear Search . . . . .	6
1.3.1	Code . . . . .	6
1.3.2	Analysis . . . . .	6
1.4	Binary Search . . . . .	6
1.4.1	Code . . . . .	6
1.4.2	Analysis . . . . .	7
1.5	Methods of Asymptotic Analysis . . . . .	7
1.5.1	Method 1 . . . . .	7
1.5.2	Method 2 (Substitution Method) . . . . .	7
1.6	The Towers of Hanoi . . . . .	8
1.6.1	Gameplay . . . . .	8
1.6.2	Algorithmic Solution . . . . .	8
1.7	Mergesort . . . . .	9
1.7.1	Description . . . . .	9
1.7.2	Example . . . . .	9
1.7.3	Analysis . . . . .	10
<b>2</b>	<b>Algorithm Correctness</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Key Parts of an Algorithm . . . . .	11
2.2	Proving Correctness . . . . .	11
2.2.1	Example 1 . . . . .	11



# Chapter 1

## Asymptotic Analysis

### 1.1 Big-Oh Notation

#### 1.1.1 Definition

We have that a function  $f \in O(g(n))$  if and only if there exists constants  $c$  and  $n_0$  such that  $f(n) \leq cg(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

We have that a function  $f \in \Omega(g(n))$  if and only if there exists constants  $c$  and  $n_0$  such that  $f(n) \leq cg(n)$  for all  $n \leq n_0$ .

We have that a function  $f \in \Theta(g(n))$  if and only if  $f \in O(g(n))$  and  $f \in \Omega(g(n))$ .

This notation can be used to analyze the best-case, average-case, and worse-case efficiency of an algorithm, but this class typically concerns the worst-case efficiency of an algorithm.

Note that efficiency can be a measure of time, space, or even power complexity.

#### 1.1.2 Assumption: Constant Operations (Approximations)

- Arithmetic (fixed width)
- Assignment
- Access any array element

#### 1.1.3 Non-Constant Operations

Control Flow	Time
Consecutive statements	Sum of time of each statement
Conditional	Time of test + time of the slower branch
Loop	Number of iterations * time of body
Function call	Time of function body
Recursion	Solve recurrence relation

## 1.2 Reducing Big-O Expressions

### 1.2.1 What to Eliminate

- Eliminate low-order terms
- Eliminate coefficients

### 1.2.2 Examples

- $4n + 5 \in O(n)$
- $\frac{1}{2}n \log n + 2n + 7 \in O(n \log n)$
- $n^3 + 2^n + 3n \in O(2^n)$
- $n \log(10n^2) + 2n \log n \in O(n \log n)$ 
  - Note that  $n \log(10n^2) = 2n \log(10n)$

## 1.3 Linear Search

### 1.3.1 Code

```
int find(int[] arr, int arr_length, int k) {
    for (int i = 0; i < arr_length; ++i) {
        if (arr[i] == k) {
            return 1;
        }
    }
    return 0;
}
```

### 1.3.2 Analysis

- Best case: approximately six steps
  - $O(1)$
- Worst case:  $6 * \text{arr\_length}$  steps
  - $n = \text{arr\_length}$ , so  $O(n)$

## 1.4 Binary Search

### 1.4.1 Code

```
int find(int[] arr, int k, int lo, int hi) {
    return help(arr, k, 0, arr_length);
}

int find(int[] arr, int arr_length, int k) {
    int mid = (hi + lo) / 2;
    if (lo == hi) {
        return 0;
    }
}
```

```

    if (arr[mid] == k) {
        return 1;
    }
    if (arr[mid] < k) {
        return help(arr, k, mid + 1, k);
    } else {
        return help(arr, k, lo, mid);
    }
}

```

### 1.4.2 Analysis

Let  $T(n)$  be the efficiency of find. Then, because each split takes approximately ten operations, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= 10 + T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \\
 &= 10 + \left(10 + T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right)\right) \\
 &= 10 + \left(10 + \left(10 + T\left(\frac{n}{8}\right)\right)\right) \\
 &= 10k + T\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

To solve this, there are a couple methods.

## 1.5 Methods of Asymptotic Analysis

### 1.5.1 Method 1

We know  $T(1)$ , so we should try to express this formula in terms of  $T(1)$ . To do so, let  $\frac{n}{2^k} = 1$ , so then  $k = \log n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= 10 \log n + T\left(\frac{n}{2^{\log n}}\right) \\
 &= 10 \log n + T(1) \\
 &= 10 \log n + 10 \\
 &\in O(\log n).
 \end{aligned}$$

However, this method actually gives you a big-theta approximation for  $T$ ; in other words, not only is  $T \in O(\log n)$ , we also have that  $T \in \Theta(\log n)$ .

### 1.5.2 Method 2 (Substitution Method)

Guess  $O(?)$ , then check. For example (in this case), guess  $\log n$  because we have something like  $\frac{n}{2^n}$  in the formula. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 10 + T(n/2) \\ &= 10 + \log(n/2) \end{aligned}$$

Because we have guessed that  $T \in O(\log n)$ , we have that  $T(n) \leq c \log n$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , for some constants  $c, n_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq c \log n \\ 10 + \log(n/2) &\leq c \log n \\ 10 + \log(n) - \log(2) &\leq c \log n \\ \frac{10 + \log(n) - \log(2)}{\log n} &\leq c \\ \frac{10}{\log n} + 1 - \frac{\log(2)}{\log n} &\leq c \\ \frac{10}{\log n} + 1 - \frac{1}{\log n} &\leq c \end{aligned}$$

Now take  $n_0 = 2$ , so  $n \geq 2$  and thus  $\log n \geq 1$  ( $\log$  is base two). We then have:

$$\begin{aligned} c &\geq \frac{10}{1} + 1 - \frac{1}{1} \\ c &\geq 10 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $T \in O(\log n)$  because with  $c = 10$  and  $n_0 = 2$ , we have that  $T(n) \leq c \log n$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ .

Note that the substitution method is more general than *Method 1*, but it does *not* give you a big-theta approximation (unlike *Method 1*).

## 1.6 The Towers of Hanoi

### 1.6.1 Gameplay

The goal of the Towers of Hanoi is to move all disks to goal peg, with the following rules:

- You can only move one disk at a time
- You can only move the top-most disk in a pile
- You cannot put a larger disk on top of a smaller one

### 1.6.2 Algorithmic Solution

```

if n = 1:                               => T(1)
    move to goal (base case)             = 1
else:                                    => T(n)
    move top n-1 disks to temporary peg  = T(n - 1)
    move bottom disk to goal              + T(1)
    move the n-1 disks to goal            + T(n - 1)

```



Thus,  $T(n) = T(n-1) + T(1) + T(n-1)$ , with  $T(1) = 1$ . So:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= 2T(n-1) + 1 \\
 &= 2(2T(n-2) + 1) + 1 \\
 &= 4T(n-2) + 3 \\
 &= 4(2T(n-3) + 1) + 3 \\
 &= 8T(n-3) + 7.
 \end{aligned}$$

By inspection, we have:

$$T(n) = 2^{n-1}T(1) + (2^{n-1} - 1),$$

but  $T(1) = 1$ , so we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1} - 1 \\
 &= 2^n - 1 \\
 &\in \Theta(2^n).
 \end{aligned}$$

## 1.7 Mergesort

### 1.7.1 Description

To mergesort a list, split the list into two and sort the sublists. To merge them back together, interleave the elements. Interleaving / merging is  $O(n)$  and there are  $O(\log n)$  splits, so mergesort is  $O(n \log n)$ .

Mergesort is based on the trick that it is really easy to interleave two sorted lists.

### 1.7.2 Example

```

8 2 9 4 5 3 1 6
=> 8 2 9 4
    => 8 2
        => 8
        => 2
            merge: 2 8
=> 9 4
    => 9
    => 4
        merge: 4 9
    merge: 2 4 8 9
=> 5 3 1 6
    => 5 3
        => 5
        => 3
            merge: 3 5
=> 1 6

```

```

=> 1
=> 6
merge: 1 6
merge: 1 3 5 6
merge: 1 2 3 4 5 6 8 9

```

### 1.7.3 Analysis

We have that  $T(1) = 1$  and  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n$  (split into two sublists, then mergesort them, then merge / interleave them). We then have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= 2T(n/2) + n \\
 &= 2(T(n/4) + n/2) + n \\
 &= 2T(n/4) + 2n \\
 &= 4(2T(n/8) + n/8) + 2n \\
 &= 8T(n/8) + 3n \\
 &= 2^k T(n/2^k) + kn
 \end{aligned}$$

Set  $n/2^k = 1$  (because we are using **Method 1**), so  $k = \log n$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(n) &= 2^{\log n} T(n/2^{\log n}) + (\log n)n \\
 &= nT(1) + n \log n \\
 &= n + n \log n \\
 &\in \Theta(n \log n)
 \end{aligned}$$

## Chapter 2

# Algorithm Correctness

### 2.1 Key Parts of an Algorithm

There are a couple key things that every algorithm needs:

- Inputs
- Outputs
- Preconditions (restrictions on input)
- Postconditions (restrictions on output)
- Step-by-step process specification (either in natural language or pseudocode)

Therefore, we can define a “correct” algorithm to be one that, given any input data that satisfies the precondition, produces output data that satisfies the postcondition *and* terminates (stops) in finite time.

### 2.2 Proving Correctness

#### 2.2.1 Example 1

Consider the following pseudocode to swap two variables:

```
Swap1(x, y)
    aux := x
    x := y
    y := x
```

##### 2.2.1.1 Proof of Correctness

1. Precondition:  $x = a$  and  $y = b$
2. Postcondition:  $x = b$  and  $y = a$
3.  $\text{aux} := x$  implies  $\text{aux} := a$
4.  $x := y$  implies  $x := b$
5.  $y := \text{aux}$  implies  $y := a$
6. Thus,  $x := b$  and  $y := a$ , so the postcondition is satisfied