

## Springboard Data Science Career Track - Relax Inc. Take-Home Challenge

After loading in the “takehome\_user\_engagement.csv”, I stripped out the time on the time\_stamps leaving only the date, which I used to group together all user logins. This left me with a list of users for each day. From there, I ordered them by date and collected all the user lists within seven day periods. I counted each user within each seven span and captured the user\_id’s that had counts greater than or equal to three. Then I created a column in the imported “takehome\_users.csv” DataFrame and mapped the “adopted user” labelling to the user\_id’s

Right from the start, I could see that predicting anything with this data was going to be challenging. There are only 10 columns in the “takehome\_users.csv” which is not much to go on. Also, many of them or not going to be particularly useful for predictions, like names, email address and user\_id. Also, there are considerably more users labeled as “False” under “adopted\_user” than are labeled True (10555 : 1445, respectively), which could lead to bias. To account for the latter, I would pull 1445 random samples from the “False” labels so the two are even. Also, I found that there was missing data in the “last\_session\_creation\_time” and “invited\_by\_user\_id” columns. “Last\_session\_creation\_time” would not be a helpful feature so I can just remove that all together, but there are thousands of missing values in “invited\_by\_user\_id” and that could be useful, so I filled in the NaN with zero’s since these are all user ID’s and not quantitative values. After that, I also removed the “creation\_time” and “object\_id” columns and encoded all non-numeric data into integers. These steps left me with only 5 usable features, so predictions were going to be rough.

I opted for a Random Forest classifier and it performed about as well as I expected. Five features is not a lot to go on and the model yielded

an accuracy score of 0.53, which is

not great. From those features, the model found “org\_id” to be the

most significant towards

classification followed by

“invited\_by\_user\_id” and

“creation\_source”. Investigation

into the org\_id’s activity and invites

received from other users could

help understand why they would be

relevant to classification and

perhaps uncover some additional

features for future modeling. What

org’s have users that received / sent

out the most invites? How many of those invited were converted into new users? What is the function

of these orgs groups? How big are they? These are all directions for additional analysis that would help

us to understand how the service is being used and who is / will continue to use it.

	precision	recall	f1-score	support
0	0.51	0.54	0.52	347
1	0.55	0.52	0.54	376
accuracy			0.53	723
macro avg	0.53	0.53	0.53	723
weighted avg	0.53	0.53	0.53	723
Accuracy Score: 0.53				
Feature Importance:				
org_id		0.617329		
invited_by_user_id		0.298529		
creation_source		0.048725		
opted_in_to_mailing_list		0.018250		
enabled_for_marketing_drip		0.017167		
dtype: float64				