# ECE 356 Winter 2019: Lab2 Part2

# **Baseball**

The general approach of how we add indexes and determine whether if those indexes are helpful in solving those problems:

- 1) Look at the output from the keyword "explain", determine whether if the query involves table scan.
- 2) Look at the query, if it has the keywords: where, join on, order by, having, group by. The columns that involve those keywords are very likely to be the index.
- 3) After adding indexes, look at the output from the keyword "explain" again.
- 4) If the rows number reduced, the added indexes are useful for the problem solving.
- 5) If the rows number didn't change, the added indexes are NOT useful for the problem solving.

# **Question 1**

| id   select_type     | table  | type | possible_keys | key  | key_len | ref  | rows  | Extra       |
|----------------------|--------|------|---------------|------|---------|------|-------|-------------|
| 1   SIMPLE           | Master | ALL  | PRIMARY       | NULL | NULL    | NULL | 19057 | Using where |
| 1 row in set (0 00 s |        |      |               | ,    |         |      |       |             |

We can see that it is use type "all" for searching in the Master table and it is "Using where". So clearly, birthMonth, birthday, birthYear needs index because database will go through a table scan to find out it they are "0".

```
CREATE INDEX INDEX_birthMonth ON Master(birthMonth) USING BTREE;
CREATE INDEX INDEX_birthDay ON Master(birthDay) USING BTREE;
CREATE INDEX INDEX_birthYear ON Master(birthYear) USING BTREE;
```

| id   s   | select_type | table  | type        | possible_keys   | key   | key_len | ref  | rows | Extra   |
|----------|-------------|--------|-------------|---|---|---------|------|------|---|
| 1   9    | SIMPLE      | Master | index_merge | PRIMARY,INDEX_birthMonth,INDEX_birthDay,INDEX_birthYear | INDEX_birthMonth,INDEX_birthDay,INDEX_birthYear | 5,5,5   | NULL | 882  | Using union(INDEX_birthMonth,INDEX_birthDay,INDEX_birthYear); Using where |
| 1 row in | set (0.00   | sec)   | ,           |   |   | ,       | ,    |      |   |

Now, we can see that after the index adding, the number of rows scanned reduced from 19057 to 882. This means that less rows went through the table scan. This means that the added indexes are useful for the question solving as it improves the performance. So our method for determine the indexes are correct.

## **Question 2**

| +   | id | select_type | table                 | type   | possible_keys           | key                     | key_len | ref                                | rows | Extra                        | + |
|-----|----|-------------|-----------------------|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|------|------------------------------|---|
| i   | 1  | PRIMARY     | NULL                  | NULL   | NULL                    | NULL                    | NULL    | NULL                               | NULL | No tables used               | i |
| ij  | 4  | SUBQUERY    | <derived5></derived5> | ALL    | NULL                    | NULL                    | NULL    | NULL                               | 4156 | Using where                  | i |
| - i | 5  | DERIVED     | HallOfFame            | index  | PRIMARY                 | PRIMARY                 | 1538    | NULL                               | 4156 | Using index; Using temporary | i |
| ij  | 5  | DERIVED     | Master                | eq_ref | PRIMARY                 | PRIMARY                 | 767     | db356_jm3zhang.HallOfFame.playerID | 1    | Distinct                     | İ |
| - i | 2  | SUBQUERY    | <derived3></derived3> | ref    | <auto_key0></auto_key0> | <auto_key0></auto_key0> | 768     | const                              | 10   | Using where                  | i |
| ij  | 3  | DERIVED     | HallOfFame            | index  | PRIMARY                 | PRIMARY                 | 1538    | NULL                               | 4156 | Using index; Using temporary | İ |
| - i | 3  | DERIVED     | Master                | eq_ref | PRIMARY                 | PRIMARY                 | 767     | db356_jm3zhang.HallOfFame.playerID | 1    | Distinct                     | Ĺ |
| +   |    |             |                       |        | +                       |                         |         | <del> </del>                       | +    | <del> </del>                 | + |

7 rows in set (0.01 sec)

We can see that, two of the scans are already using indexes to scan. The first line of the input said that the table name is null. We will ignore that since it is scanning nowhere. The derived tables cannot be indexed so they will also be ignored for indexing. The two scan from the Master is under type eq\_ref, which is good since they will straight reference to Master. There are 2 "Using where" for "deathCountry", so they need to be indexed. Also there are 2 join on "HallOfFame.playerID", so they need to be indexed.

CREATE INDEX INDEX\_deathCountry ON HallOfFame(deathCountry) USING BTREE;
CREATE INDEX INDEX\_HOFplayerID ON HallOfFame(playerID) USING BTREE;

| 1   | id | select_type | table                   | type   | possible_keys              | key                     | key_len | ref                                | rows | Extra                        | į |
|-----|----|-------------|-------------------------|--------|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|------|------------------------------|---|
| ï   | 1  | PRIMARY     | NULL                    | NULL   | NULL                       | NULL                    | NULL    | NULL                               | NULL |                              | i |
| - 1 | 4  | SUBQUERY    | <derived5>  </derived5> | ALL    | NULL                       | NULL                    | NULL    | NULL                               | 4156 | Using where                  | П |
|     | 5  | DERIVED     | HallOfFame              | index  | PRIMARY, INDEX_HOFplayerID | INDEX_HOFplayerID       | 767     | NULL                               | 4156 | Using index; Using temporary | ı |
|     | 5  | DERIVED     | Master                  | eq_ref | PRIMARY                    | PRIMARY                 | 767     | db356_jm3zhang.HallOfFame.playerID | 1    | Distinct                     |   |
|     | 2  | SUBQUERY    | <derived3>  </derived3> | ref    | <auto_key0></auto_key0>    | <auto_key0></auto_key0> | 768     | const                              | 10   | Using where                  | П |
| 1   | 3  | DERIVED     | HallOfFame              | index  | PRIMARY, INDEX_HOFplayerID | INDEX_HOFplayerID       | 767     | NULL                               | 4156 | Using index; Using temporary | Ĺ |
|     | 3  | DERIVED     | Master                  | eq_ref | PRIMARY                    | PRIMARY                 | 767     | db356_jm3zhang.HallOfFame.playerID | 1    | Distinct                     | ı |
| +-  | +  |             |                         |        | ·                          |                         |         | <b>+</b>                           |      | <del> </del>                 | + |

7 rows in set (0.01 sec)

Now, we can see that after the index adding, the key length reduced from 1538 to 767. This can show that the add indexes provides a little bit improvement on the problem solving.

## **Question 3**

#### Output from keyword "explain":

| 1   PRIMARY   <derived2>   ALL   NULL   NULL   NULL   NULL   NULL   26428   Using filesor   1   PRIMARY   Master   eq_ref   PRIMARY   PRIMARY   767   max_salary_list.playerID   1   NULL   2   DERIVED   Salaries   index   PRIMARY,fk_Salaries_Master   fk_Salaries_Master   767   NULL   26428   NULL</derived2> |  | id              | select_type | table  | type   | possible_keys | key     | key_len | ref                      | rows | Extra |
|---|--|-----------------|-------------|--------|--------|---------------|---------|---------|--------------------------|------|-------|
|   |  | 1  <br>1  <br>2 | PRIMARY     | Master | eq_ref | PRIMARY       | PRIMARY | 767     | max_salary_list.playerID | 1    | NULL  |

3 rows in set (0.02 sec)

We can see that the second and third line of output is scanning with the type eq\_ref and index, so they are already good. The first row is using derived table so it cannot be indexed. Indexing won't help for this question.

```
CREATE INDEX INDEX_salary ON Salaries(salary) USING BTREE;
CREATE INDEX INDEX_Salariespid ON Salaries(playerID) USING BTREE;
```

| id              | select_type             | table   | type   | possible_keys                                     | key                                       | key_len                | ref   | rows | Extra                                  |
|-----------------|-------------------------|---|--------|---|---|------------------------|---|------|--|
| 1<br>  1<br>  2 | PRIMARY PRIMARY DERIVED | <derived2><br/>  Master<br/>  Salaries</derived2> | eq_ref | NULL<br>  PRIMARY<br>  PRIMARY,fk_Salaries_Master | NULL<br>  PRIMARY<br>  fk_Salaries_Master | NULL<br>  767<br>  767 | ,<br>  NULL<br>  max_salary_list.playerID<br>  NULL |      | Using filesort  <br>  NULL  <br>  NULL |
| 3 row           | in set (0 02            | sec)  |        | ·   |   | 1                      |   | 1    | ++                                     |

This show that the indexing is NOT useful for this problem solving. Since there is a "SUM(salary)" (Aggregation), the whole table will be scanned no matter what, so index will NOT help in this case.

#### **Ouestion 4**

```
EXPLAIN SELECT AVG(individual_hr_sum) AS average_hr

FROM

(SELECT playerID,

Sum(HR) AS individual_hr_sum

FROM Batting
```

#### GROUP BY playerID) AS sum\_list;

| id   select_type | table                             | type | possible_keys   | key | key_len     | ref          | rows               | Extra |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|------|-----------------|-----|-------------|--------------|--------------------|-------|
| 1   PRIMARY      | <derived2><br/>Batting</derived2> | ALL  | NULL<br>PRIMARY |     | NULL<br>775 | NULL<br>NULL | 102527<br>  102527 | NULL  |

2 rows in set (0.01 sec)

Again, derived table cannot be indexed and the second one is already indexed, so no indexing is needed for them. Form the query, since there is a "Sum(HR)" (Aggregation), the whole table will be scanned no matter what, so index will NOT help in this case.

# **Question 5**

| id |         | table                             | type | possible_keys                                 | key | key_len | ref | rows             | Extra |
|----|---------|-----------------------------------|------|---|-----|---------|-----|------------------|-------|
|    | PRIMARY | <derived2><br/>Batting</derived2> |      | NULL PRIMARY,INDEX_battingHR,index_Battingpid |     |         |     | 102527<br>102527 | NULL  |

2 rows in set (0.01 sec)

Again, derived table cannot be indexed and the second one is already indexed, so no indexing is needed for them. Form the query, since there is a "Sum(HR)" (Aggregation), the whole table will be scanned no matter what, so index will NOT help in this case.

#### **Question 6**

```
(SELECT AVG(individual_hr_sum)
      FROM
        (SELECT playerID,
                Sum(HR) AS individual_hr_sum
         FROM Batting
         GROUP BY playerID) AS hr_sum_list)) AS good_batter_list
INNER JOIN
  (SELECT playerID,
         Sum(S0) AS individual_so_sum
  FROM Pitching
  GROUP BY playerID
  HAVING individual_so_sum >
     (SELECT AVG(individual_so_sum)
      FROM
        (SELECT playerID,
                Sum(S0) AS individual_so_sum
         FROM Pitching
         GROUP BY playerID) AS so_sum_list)) AS good_pitcher_list ON
good_batter_list.playerID = good_pitcher_list.playerID;
```

| 1   PRIMARY<br>1   PRIMARY<br>5   DERIVED<br>6   SUBQUERY<br>7   DERIVED | <derived5><br/>  <derived2><br/>  Pitching<br/>  <derived7></derived7></derived2></derived5> | ALL<br>  ref<br>  index | NULL<br>  <auto_key0><br/>  PRIMARY</auto_key0> | NULL<br>  <auto_key0><br/>  PRIMARY</auto_key0> | NULL<br>  767<br>  775 | NULL<br>  good_pitcher_list.playerID | 44668  | NULL<br>  NULL |
|--|--|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|----------------|
| 5   DERIVED<br>6   SUBQUERY<br>7   DERIVED                               | Pitching   | index                   | /   |   |                        |                                      | 10     | NULL           |
| 6   SUBQUERY<br>7   DERIVED  | ,  |                         | PRIMARY   | PRTMARY   | 1 775                  |                                      |        |                |
| 7   DERIVED  | <pre><derived7></derived7></pre>   | LALL                    |   | 111210011                                       | 1/3                    | NULL                                 | 44668  | NULL           |
|  |  | MLL                     | NULL  | NULL  | NULL                   | NULL                                 | 44668  | NULL           |
|  | Pitching   | index                   | PRIMARY   | PRIMARY   | 775                    | NULL                                 | 44668  | NULL           |
| 2   DERIVED  | Batting  | index                   | PRIMARY,INDEX_battingHR,index_Battingpid        | PRIMARY   | 775                    | NULL                                 | 102527 | NULL           |
| 3   SUBQUERY   | <derived4></derived4>  | ALL                     | NULL  | NULL  | NULL                   | NULL                                 | 102527 | NULL           |
| 4   DERIVED  | Batting  | index                   | PRIMARY,INDEX_battingHR,index_Battingpid        | PRIMARY   | 775                    | NULL                                 | 102527 | NULL           |

Again, derived table cannot be indexed and the third, fifth, sixth, eighth one is already indexed, so no indexing is needed for them. Form the query, since there is a "Sum(HR)", "Sum(SO)", count(\*), "AVG(individual\_hr\_sum)" and "AVG(individual\_so\_sum)" (Aggregation) the whole table will be scanned no matter what, so index will NOT help in this case.