

Introduction to Computer Vision : HW 1

Jeong Min Lee

April 1, 2024

Notation

1. $O(n)$: Orthogonal group with dimension n .
2. $r = \min(m, n)$: From $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T$, $\mathbf{U} \in O(m)$, while $\mathbf{V} \in O(n)$
3. $\mathbf{\Sigma}$: diagonal matrix whose entries are σ_i

1 Camera Calibration

a : Show that the solution \mathbf{p} is the last column of \mathbf{V} corresponding to the smallest singular value of \mathbf{A} .

From the result of SVD,

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma} \quad (1)$$

Since $\mathbf{V} \in O(n)$, the column vectors of \mathbf{V} , denoting \mathbf{v}_i , can form the basis of \mathbb{R}^n . That is, $\forall \mathbf{p} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{p} = \sum_i a_i \mathbf{v}_i$, for $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$. This results in $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p} = \sum_i a_i \mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i = \sum_i a_i \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i$. Thus,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}\|^2 = \sum_i a_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \quad (2)$$

It is a convention to make the diagonal entries of $\mathbf{\Sigma}$, σ_i , be ordered, that is, $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_r$. Thus, to minimize equation 2, $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{r-1} = 0$ and $a_r = 1$, since \mathbf{p} is normalized. This implies $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{v}_n$. (note that $r = n$ since we assumed over-determined system.)

b : Given the following corresponding points, determine the camera projection matrix \mathbf{P} using the SVD method

The following code is the implementation to calculate the camera matrix for given corresponding points. I truncated the data load code in the following code for readability. Please refer to my jupyter note submission to get the whole implementation.

```
x = ... #(2D points & truncated due to redundancy)
X = ... #(3D points & truncated due to redundancy)

A = []
for i in range(len(X)):
    xx = X[i]
    u,v = x[i]
    A.append([xx[0], xx[1], xx[2], 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -u*xx[0], -u*xx[1], -u*xx[2], -u])
    A.append([0, 0, 0, 0, xx[0], xx[1], xx[2], 1, -v*xx[0], -v*xx[1], -v*xx[2], -v])
import numpy as np
A = np.array(A)
[U,S,V] = np.linalg.svd(A)
p = V[-1,:]
P_1 = p.reshape((3,4))
print(P_1)
```

The result of the code above is

```
[[ 3.09963996e-03  1.46204548e-04 -4.48497465e-04 -9.78930678e-01]
 [ 3.07018252e-04  6.37193664e-04 -2.77356178e-03 -2.04144405e-01]
 [ 1.67933533e-06  2.74767684e-06 -6.83964827e-07 -1.32882928e-03]]
```

c : Another method to determine \mathbf{P} is using the pseudo inverse. By setting $m_{34} = 1$, we have $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{b}$, and the least square solution $\mathbf{p} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{p}} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{b}\|$, become $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{b}$ where $\mathbf{A}^\dagger = (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T$ is the pseudo inverse of \mathbf{A} . Determine \mathbf{P} for the data in (b) using the pseudo inverse method.

Before determining \mathbf{P} , I proved that $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{A}^\dagger \mathbf{b}$. Consider SVD of $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, where $\mathbf{U} \in O(m)$, $\mathbf{\Sigma} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $\mathbf{V} \in O(n)$.

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{b}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{b}\| \quad (\because \mathbf{U} \in O(m)) \quad (3)$$

Let $\mathbf{V}^T \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{q}$, $\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{r}$ and rewrite the problem as follow.

$$\text{Find } \arg \min_{\mathbf{q}} \|\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{r}\|^2 \quad (4)$$

This problem can be solved as follow.

$$\|\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{r}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r (\sigma_i q_i - r_i)^2 \text{ where } r = \min\{m, n\} \quad (5)$$

We can uniquely select the $q_i = r_i / \sigma_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$, or $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{r}$, to minimize this expression. This implies $\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{b}$. Thus, proof is done, noting that $\mathbf{A}^\dagger = (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T = (\mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^T \mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma}^T \mathbf{U}^T = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{U}^T$

I used following code to calculate the camera matrix.

```
def pseudo_inverse(A):
    return np.linalg.pinv(A)

A = []
b = []
for i in range(len(X)):
    xx = X[i]
    u, v = x[i]
    A.append(
        [xx[0], xx[1], xx[2], 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -u*xx[0], -u*xx[1], -u*xx[2]]
    )
    A.append(
        [0, 0, 0, 0, xx[0], xx[1], xx[2], 1, -v*xx[0], -v*xx[1], -v*xx[2]]
    )
    b.append(u)
    b.append(v)

A = np.array(A)
b = np.array(b)
p = np.matmul(pseudo_inverse(A), b)
p = np.append(p, 1)
P_2 = p.reshape((3, 4))
print(P_2)
```

The result of the code above is following.

```
[[-2.33259098e+00 -1.09993113e-01  3.37413916e-01  7.36673920e+02]
 [-2.31050254e-01 -4.79506029e-01  2.08717636e+00  1.53627756e+02]
 [-1.26379606e-03 -2.06770917e-03  5.14635233e-04  1.00000000e+00]]
```

I used following code to check whether the result of problem 1-(b) and problem 1-(c) agree by setting $m_{34} = 1$ in the camera matrix calculated on problem 1-(b). Since the result of following code is similar to camera matrix above, I can verify that both methodology are equivalent.

```
print(P_2[-1, -1] / P_1[-1, -1] * P_1)
```

The reason they are not exactly same is the floating point number error.

```
print(P_2[-1, -1] / P_1[-1, -1] * P_1 - P_2)
```

The code above returns the following matrix.

```
[[-1.86427717e-05 -3.19670417e-05  9.93167477e-05  1.26469280e-02]
 [ 6.08843664e-06 -9.04052567e-06  4.56969897e-05 -4.92271847e-04]
 [ 2.54860563e-08 -3.33770907e-08  7.71079873e-08 -1.11022302e-16]]
```

As the (3,4) element of the matrix above is almost the accuracy limit of floating number system, there is some deviation when scaling of camera matrix in problem 1-(b).¹ This is common phenomenon in computer science. Eventhough such deviation, the relative error is extremely small. I calculated the relative error of each element in second camera matrix by applying following formular, elementwisely.² The result is described on following matrix.

$$(\text{Scaled camera matrix in } P_1 - P_2)/P_2 \quad (6)$$

[[7.99230207e-06	2.90627666e-04	2.94346922e-04	1.71676066e-05]
	[-2.63511359e-05	1.88538311e-05	2.18941679e-05	-3.20431581e-06]
	[-2.01662730e-05	1.61420625e-05	1.49830370e-04	-1.11022302e-16]

¹The assertion that a 64-bit computer system is restricted to a maximum precision of 16 decimal digits is commonly based on the standard double-precision floating-point format, adhering to the IEEE 754 standard.

²Please refer the jupyter notebook to see my implementation