

Exercise 1: Decomposing the crude death rate using Kitagawa decomposition

2022-05-06

- t_1 is the initial period and t_2 is the final period
- D_x = number of deaths at age x
- M_x = death rate
- N_x = is the mid-year population
- N = total population over ages

Note that $D_x = M_x * N_x$ and that the difference between two crude death rates can be expressed as

$$\Delta CDR = \sum_x M_x(t_2) \frac{N_x(t_2)}{N(t_2)} - \sum_x M_x(t_1) \frac{N_x(t_1)}{N(t_1)}. \quad (1)$$

The aim is to disentangle the effects of changing rates and the effect of the change in the composition of the population.

The file `Kitagawa_Data.RData` contains mortality and exposure data for Israel in 1990 and 2016. The exercise consists in decomposing the changes in CDR following Kitagawa or equation (1)

Start by loading the data.

```
load('Kitagawa_Data.RData')
```

The exercises in this course will use the package `tidyverse`, which you should have already met with Tim Riffe. We need to load this package too (and install it, if you haven't already).

```
#install.packages("tidyverse") #to install the package
```

```
library(tidyverse)
```

```
## -- Attaching packages ----- tidyverse 1.3.1 --
```

```
## v ggplot2 3.3.5      v purrr   0.3.4
## v tibble  3.1.6      v dplyr  1.0.8
## v tidyr   1.2.0      v stringr 1.4.0
## v readr   2.1.2      v forcats 0.5.1
```

```
## -- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
```

```
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x dplyr::lag()     masks stats::lag()
```

The period 1 corresponds to 1990 and period 2 to 2016 in this case. Start by selecting deaths and exposure (approximated usually by the midyear population) for each of the periods and store them in vectors.

```
# time period 1
# Select deaths for first period
Dx1 <- Deaths %>%
  filter(Year==1990) %>%
  pull(Total)
# Select population for first period
```

```

Nx1 <- Exposures %>%
  filter(Year==1990) %>%
  pull(Total)
# Do the same for period 2
Dx2 <- Deaths%>%
  filter(Year==2016) %>%
  pull(Total)
Nx2 <- Exposures%>%
  filter(Year==2016) %>%
  pull(Total)

```

Following equation one, we need to calculate the age-specific mortality rates, which is the quotient of deaths divided by the exposure for both periods.

```

# time period 1
# Select deaths for first period
# get age-specific mortality rates
Mx1 <- Dx1/Nx1
# replace NA's with zero (just for simplicity)
Mx1 <- Mx1 %>%
  replace_na(replace=0)
# do the same for the second period
Mx2 <- Dx2/Nx2
Mx2 <- Mx2 %>%
  replace_na(replace=0)

```

Now we have everything to calculate the crude death rate.

```

# get the crude death rate in time 1
CDR1 <- sum(Dx1)/sum(Nx1)
#crude death expressed by 1000 in time 1
CDR1*1000

```

```
## [1] 6.686454
```

```

# the same for period 2
CDR2 <- sum(Dx2)/sum(Nx2)
#crude death expressed by 1000 in time 2
CDR2*1000

```

```
## [1] 6.882632
```

```

#change in CDR
(Dif <- (CDR2 - CDR1)*1000)

```

```
## [1] 0.1961774
```

**What would we have expected in this period? what do the CDRs suggest? Does it make sense? Let's decompose and see if effectively mortality went up or if it is a compositional effect.

$$\Delta CDR = \underbrace{\sum_x \left(\frac{M_x(t_2) + M_x(t_1)}{2} \right) \left(\frac{N_x(t_2)}{N(t_2)} - \frac{N_x(t_1)}{N(t_1)} \right)}_{\text{Changes in x-composition}} + \underbrace{\sum_x \left(\frac{\frac{N_x(t_2)}{N(t_2)} + \frac{N_x(t_1)}{N(t_1)}}{2} \right) (M_x(t_2) - M_x(t_1))}_{\text{Changes in rates}} \quad (2)$$

```
RC <- sum(0.5*(Nx2/sum(Nx2) + Nx1/sum(Nx1))*(Mx2-Mx1))
RC*1000
```

```
## [1] -1.898981
```

```
CC <- sum(0.5*(Mx2+Mx1)*(Nx2/sum(Nx2)-Nx1/sum(Nx1)))
CC*1000
```

```
## [1] 2.095158
```

Compare the decomposition results with the original difference

```
RC*1000 + CC*1000
```

```
## [1] 0.1961774
```

```
Dif
```

```
## [1] 0.1961774
```