

* CA1.LISP -- contains most of the control structure for revised version of CA.
* (rewritten in LISP by M. Burstein 11/7/79 from CA1.MLI)

* CA is the top-level function.

```
(de CA nil  
  (PRG nil
```

* :changed-cons holds concepts changed or added to :C-list during one pass of CA

```
  (setq :changed-cons nil)  
  (setq :current-phrase nil)  
  (setq :current-MODULE PCA)
```

* If the current sentence is finished, then get a new one and re-initialize;

```
  (cond ((NULL :sent) (init-ca)))  
  (cond ((NULL :sent) (setq :WORKING nil) (return nil)))  
  (progn PCA P "Entering CA:" P " :C-list = " (delinfree))
```

* Set :word and :next-word, and look them up in the dictionary;

```
  (PRG: (get-next-item)  
    (cond ((NULL :word) (return nil)))  
    (progn PCA P "beginning main execution cycle;"  
      P ":word = " :word  
      P ":sent = " :sent  
      P ":C-list = " (delinfree)  
      P ":request-pools = " :request-pools)
```

* Remove request pools which no longer contain active requests

```
  (clean-up-request-pools)  
  (consider-lexical-requests)
```

* If next word ends noun group, then return to regular mode immediately,

* so that next call to consider-requests (below) will consider all requests;

```
  (cond ((and (flagon noun-group-flag) (end-noun-phrase))  
    (remove-flag noun-group-flag)  
    (add-flag results-flag)  
    (progn PCA P "End of noun group;")))
```

* Activate requests associated with :word;

```
  (activate-item-requests)  
  (consider-requests)
```

* If next word begins a noun group, then begin noun-group mode immediately,

* so that the next call to consider-requests (above, but later in the loop),

* will consider only latest requests;

```
  (cond ((and (not (flagon noun-group-flag))  
    (setq :N-P-record (begin-noun-phrase)))  
    (add-flag noun-group-flag)  
    (cond (:changed-cons  
      (progn PCA P "Begin noun group;")))))
```

* If any add-con has built a CO which has a lot of memory stuff hooked onto

* it, or if the next word might mark the end of the clause, then return to

* let memory do its thing;

```
  (cond ((or (flagon MEMORY-HOOK-flag)  
    (CLAUSE-POINT :next-word)  
    (flagon results-flag))  
    (remove-flag MEMORY-HOOK-flag)  
    (remove-flag results-flag)  
    (progn PCA P "CA processed words: " :current-phrase  
      P " :C-list = " (delinfree)  
      P)  
    (setq :changed-cons (REPL-UNSET-cons :changed-cons))  
    (setq :current-MODULE nil)  
    (return P))
```


*This eventually needs to be more sophisticated, handling the problem of request priority within a given pool; it returns nil unless at least one of the calls to consider returned T;

```
(def consider-pool (pool)
  (mapcan (fn [req]
            (and (consider req) (incons T)))
    (let pool &requests)))
```

* This is a bit changed from the original description; in order to be somewhat more "depth first", whenever a request is triggered, instead of continuing down the list of request pools, the program immediately goes back to the most recent ones again; this is especially important if any new requests were spawned; note that at present, consider-pool does not operate that way, so all the requests in a pool get considered even if one has already triggered; (obviously, :request-pools must be ordered with more recent pools appearing after later ones in the list);
:request-pools no longer REVERSEd every time through. - Kept as stack. no

```
(def consider-ALL-requests ()
  (DO WHILE (SOME &consider-pool :request-pools)))
```

*This only considers requests in the most recent pool, including any requests spawned by them; (Comments above apply here too);

```
(def consider-LATEST-requests ()
  (let (LATEST-pool (car :request-pools))
    (DO WHILE (SOME &consider-pool
      ; list of pools from LATEST-pool to front of list.
      (LDIFF :request-pools
        (car (MEMBER LATEST-pool :request-pools)))))))
```

*Checks each test-action pair of a request in turn; if some test true, then associated action is eval'd.

*Returns T iff the request was triggered.

```
(def consider (request)
  (let ((new-Cor nil))
    (cond ((get request &active)
      (SOME (fn [CLAUSE]
        (cond ((eval-TEST CLAUSE)
          (pass &CA T request " has fired;" T ":C-list = "
            (delinfree) T)
          (putprop request nil &active)
          (eval-ACTION CLAUSE)
          )))
      (get request &body))
      (if request fired (active prop is nil) then return T
      also, if NO-KILL-flag set, then reactivate the request.
      (cond ((NULL (get request &active))
        (cond ((flagon NO-KILL-flag)
          (remove-flag NO-KILL-flag)
          (putprop request T &active)))
        T)
      (if nil))))))
```

* Evaluate the text of a request clause.

* Use of ::= in test is done by a rexp.
 (def eval-TEST (CL) (eval (CADR CL)))

*
 ::= handles pseudo-assignments within the tests of requests; it works by
 changing the value of CLAUSE, which is local to consider out free here;
 changing CLAUSE appropriately communicates the effect of a pseudo-assignment
 to eval-ACTION; V.B. ::= the function only works when called from eval-TEST;
 the appearance of this symbol for pseudo-assignment in the actions of a
 request looks the same, but in that case the work is done by eval-ACTION;
 *

```
(DEFN ::= (X)
  (let (BINDING (eval (cadr X)))
    (cond (BINDING
           (setq CLAUSE (SUBST (list QUOTE BINDING) (car X) CLAUSE))
           T)
          (T nil))))
```

* evaluate the actions in a request clause

* Note that if a pseudo-assignment appears in an action, eval-ACTION itself
 does the work; the function ::= is not called;

```
(def eval-ACTION (CL)
  (PRG (ACTION ACT-list)
    (setq ACT-list (CADR CL))
    LOOP: (cond ((NULL ACT-list) (return T)))
    (setq ACTION (car ACT-list))
    (setq ACT-list (cdr ACT-list))
    (cond ((EQUAL (car ACTION) ::=)
           (setq ACT-list
                 (SUBST (list QUOTE (eval (CADR ACTION))
                                   (cadr ACTION)
                                   ACT-list)))
           (if (eval ACTION))
           (GO LOOP:)))
    (GO LOOP:)))
```

* Activates requests associated with the current word, if any.

* extra-requests holds requests built by requests in :lexical-pool

* for activation in the next request pool built, which is here;

```
(def activate-item-requests ()
  (cond ((or :extra-requests (let (get !lex 'pool:) 'specs:))
        (activate-pool
         (append :extra-requests
                 (cond ((flag? skip-word-flag)
                        (remove-flag skip-word-flag)
                        nil)
                       (T (make-requests (get (get !lex 'pool:) 'requests:))))))
        (setq :extra-requests nil))))
```

* When a request knows what to do with the next word (by checking get-next-item)

* then don't load the definitions under the new word.

* (see activate-item-requests)

```
(def skip-next-word () (add-flag skip-word-flag))
```

* build-pool takes a dictionary and builds it into an active structure;

* that means constructing a request pool out of it.

```
(def build-pool (new-requests)
  (let (pool (new-pool))
```

```
(putprop pool new-requests aspects:)
pool))
```

4.
Activate-pool takes a pool which has been built using Build-pool and puts in on the list of request pools.

```
5.  
(de activate-pool (pool)
  (setf :request-pools (cons pool :request-pools)))
```

6.
Given a list of requests in dictionary format, this puts them into active format.

```
(de make-requests (reqs)
  (mapcar (function gen-request) reqs))
```

7.
Changes one request from dictionary format to active format

```
(de gen-request (R)
  (let (request (new-req))
    (cond ((atom R) (setf R (eval R))))    ~for named requests.
    (setf R (car R))    ~removes word "request from front of request.
    (putprop request (clausify R) @body)
    (putprop request t @active)
    request))
```

8.
clausify filters out non-request-related information from a lexical specification

```
(de clausify (x) (split-clauses (for (y in x) (filter
                                                    (and (memq (car y) '(test action)
                                                    y))))))
```

9.
Changes a list of test-action pairs from dictionary format to internal clause format. (T A T A ...) => ((T A) (T A) ...)

```
(de split-clauses (X)
  (and X (append (list (list (car X) (cadr X)))
                  (split-clauses (cadr X)))))
```