```
CAT. USP 10/19 W. L. Johnson
Most of the predicates for testing conceptualizations
TCheck it an item is a PP
(X) 44 (X)
 (E) (GET (CAR LATON-EVAG X)) TYPE) TPP
((NAPUH' ((X JAVB-ECTA) AAD) CE) (X) NAPUH BC)
(CEC-SYAG ((X JAVE-BVAL X)) (ÉQ (CÁR (AJUM-EVAL X)) 'PHYS-DBU))
(CCC)' ((X JAVB-MUTA) RAÎ) ÇED (X) KETTAGLÛ EC).
Tcheck if an item is an act
MOS ACT (X)
TO (UR (SCRIPT X) (CD-ACT X)))
Tourse if an item is a state
TOE STATE (X)
       LEQUAL COAR (ATUM-EVAL A)) @STATE!
"Check it an item is a script
COE SCRIPE (X)
  (E) (GET (CAR (ATUM-EVAL X)) 'TYPE) 'SCRIPTI
"Check if an item is a concept
(X) TYBORCE (X)
  CHRICAGO (GEN (CAR (ANDREVAL X)) 'TYPE)
            *(PRIMÍTIVÉ-ACT SCRIPT STATE INTERP-REGATION)]
      CCX JAMES CALOS FEED GRAPH
            a( LEAD- (O ENABLE) 1
      TGET-RULEEFILLER @(EQUIV) XI
      LAND LIET (CAR (ATOM-EVAL X)) GEORMI TI))
(DE: CONREG (X)
  (MEMQ. (CAR (ALDA-EVAL XI) a(ENABLE CONREL RESULID)))
"Inis is a kludge
(DE TIME (X)
  (MEMQ (CAR (ATDM-EVAL X)) @(TIME *YESTERDAY* *TODAY* *TOMORROW*)]
"Should we even have this?
(X) YAC-JUFTAAY (X)
  ((((THEHR) (BRIMESE) (RCCHARETBA) (BRIMSEM))5 (X JAVE-MUTA) HEEMEMD
Next, predicates which are more semantic; these really should be
considered memory calls, and eventually implemented that way;
È
```

```
Toneck it a group
(X) SUCKE (X)
  TEQUAL (GET-RULE-VALUE @(PPChASS) X) @(#GROUP)))
"Check if animate
CX) MINA (X)
  CMEMO (CAR CATOM-EVAL X)) (CHUMAN ANIM HIGHERANEM)]
"Check if nigher-animate (SAME)
(DE: HIMANIM (X)
  (PRUG. (THING)
        (COND L(EQ COAR (ATUM-EVAL X)) 'GROUPO
               (SETQ THING
                     CCAR (ATOM-EVAL (GET-ROLE-FIGLER *(MEMBER) X)
              LI (SETQ THING (CAR (ATOM-EVAL XI)
        (COND L(DR LEQ THING ENEGHERANIM)
                   (EQ PHING OHI-ANIM)
                   (EQ THING SHUMANI)
               (REIURN I)]))
```

f,