Task 2: Fitting and Comparing Distributions

0

COURSE NAME

CSDS 413 Introduction to Data Analysis

Authors:

Wiam Skakri Jacob Anderson

September 22, 2025

Contents

C	context	2
1	Normal Distribution Dataset 1.1 Part A: Developing Hypotheses	4
2	Uniform Distribution Dataset 2.1 Part A: Developing Hypotheses	7
3	Power Law Distribution Dataset 3.1 Part A: Developing Hypotheses	ç
4	Exponential Distribution Dataset 4.1 Part A: Developing Hypotheses	10

Context

In this task, you will explore how different types of real-world datasets may follow different distributions. You will need to develop a set of hypotheses and perform experiments to validate your own hypotheses.

1 Normal Distribution Dataset

1.1 Part A: Developing Hypotheses

Identify and collect a real-world dataset that you hypothesize follows a Normal distribution. Please be clear about the reasoning behind your hypothesis and be specific about the source of the dataset.

We have collected the Iris dataset for this example. Specifically, we will use the sepal widths of the Setosa species in the dataset. We hypothesize this data to follow a Normal distribution because the sepal widths of Setosas ought to be influenced by many underlying environmental factors. These factors could be anything from the composition of the soil, the level of shade, the composition of the surrounding ecosystem, the agricultural practices of surrounding human civilizations, etc. More generally, notions of performance in a homogenous population often approximate a Gaussian, as most observations will tend to distribute about the mean, symmetrically deviating from the general behavior on each side of the spectrum, becoming less frequent at extreme conditions. The Iris dataset was originally produced by Ronald Fisher in 1936 and was sourced from this page on Kaggle.

As our hypothesize relates to the Gaussian distribution PDF:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$

The model defines a mean parameter μ that the distribution mass is centered around, reflecting the idea that the sepal widths are going to average to some value over the observations. The exponential term $e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2}$ defines the decay behavior for the Gaussian, dictated by the standard deviation parameter σ . The difference between the observation x and μ is squared so that deviations from the mean decay exponentially and symmetrically about the center, which are then scaled relative to the variance σ^2 , i.e. the general proclivity of a population to deviate from its mean.

In other words, if the distribution of mass for some population tends to deviate from the mean naturally (higher σ^2), then the decay for observations far from the mean is much slower. This captures the natural variance of the observations in our data, and the bell shape reflects the fact that many Setosas ought to have generally similar sepal widths, and extreme cases ought to be less and less common the more extreme the observations get.

1.2 Part B: Fitting Distributions

For this exercise, we will call each of the four different theoretical distributions (normal, uniform, power law, exponential) a "model". Fit the dataset (i.e., estimate the model parameters) against each model (not just the one you hypothesized) using maximum likelihood estimation (or using any technique you think is appropriate; make sure to comment on the validity of your approach). This should result in a total of **4 parameter sets**. Report the estimated parameters in the following tabular format:

		Model				
Dataset	# Observations	Normal Uniform Power law Expon				
Dataset 1	n_1	μ_1, σ_1	a_1, b_1	α_1, x_{\min_1}	λ_1	

Be sure to show the code you used to arrive at your final estimates clearly.

Below is the code that produced the parameter estimates and the tabulated estimates for this dataset (for the full tabulation described in the original assignment TeX, see Appendix):

```
def dists_fit(input_csv: str) -> tuple:
    """
    Fits the obs dataset to each model using MLE.

    :param input_csv: Path to input data to fit paramater(s) to
    :type input_csv: str
    """
    obs = pd.read_csv(input_csv).iloc[:, 0].to_numpy()

    mu = np.mean(obs)
    std = np.sqrt(np.sum((obs - mu) ** 2) / len(obs))

a, b = obs.min(), obs.max()

alpha = 1 + len(obs) / np.sum(np.log(obs / a))

lamb = 1 / np.mean(obs)

return (mu, std, a, b, alpha, lamb)
```

Figure 1: Parameter estimation function for the four models.

		Model			
Dataset	# Observations	Normal	Uniform	Power law	Exponential
Iris Sepal Widths (Setosa)	50	3.4, 0.4	2.3, 4.4	3.6, 2.3	0.3

Figure 2: Parameter estimates for each model on Iris Sepal Widths (Setosa).

1.3 Part C: Comparing Real and Synthetic Data

For each fitted distribution (there will be 4 of them for this dataset, each corresponding to a different model), generate a synthetic sample of data points equal to the sample size of the real dataset using the respective model parameters you inferred from the real dataset.

Compare the real vs. synthetic data distributions using methods you think are the most appropriate, including visualizations. So, for this dataset, we compare the original dataset to four synthetic datasets, all with equal number of observations, but each synthetic dataset is generated using a different model.

For this dataset, identify the synthetic dataset (which corresponds to a model) that is most similar to the original data in terms of its distribution.

Now revisit your initial hypothesis. For this dataset: Did the dataset behave as expected, or was another model (assumed distribution) a better fit to the dataset? Reflect on why the observed results may differ from your expectations.

Below is the code that produced the synthetic datasets for each fitted distribution (for the full tabulation described in the original assignment TeX, see Appendix):

```
def dists_generate(input_csv: str, params: tuple, dist_type: str):
    Generates N synthetic examples
    :param input_csv: Path to input dataset
    :type input_csv: str
    :param params: tuple of parameters returned by dists_fit (mu, std, a, b, alpha, lamb)
    :type params: tuple
    :param dist_type: name of the folder correponsding to a type of distribution
    :type dist_type: str
    obs_df = pd.read_csv(input_csv)
    obs_name = obs_df.columns[0]
    obs = obs_df.iloc[:, 0].to_numpy()
    n = len(obs)
    mu, std, a, b, alpha, lamb = params
    gaussian_samples = int(np.random.normal(loc=mu, scale=std, size=n))
    uniform_samples = int(np.random.uniform(low=a, high=b, size=n))
    powerlaw_samples = int((a * (1 - np.random.uniform(0, 1, n)) ** (-1 / (alpha - 1))))
    exponential_samples = int(np.random.exponential(scale=1/lamb, size=n))
    gaussian_df = pd.DataFrame({f'{obs_name}_gaussian': gaussian_samples})
    uniform_df = pd.DataFrame({f'{obs_name}_uniform': uniform_samples})
    powerlaw_df = pd.DataFrame({f'{obs_name}_powerlaw': powerlaw_samples})
    exponential_df = pd.DataFrame({f'{obs_name}_exponential': exponential_samples})
    gaussian_df.to_csv(f'.../datasets/{dist_type}/synth/{obs_name}_gaussian.csv', index=False)
    uniform_df.to_csv(f'../datasets/{dist_type}/synth/{obs_name}_uniform.csv', index=False)
    powerlaw_df.to_csv(f'.../datasets/{dist_type}/synth/{obs_name}_powerlaw.csv', index=False)
    exponential_df.to_csv(f'../datasets/{dist_type}/synth/{obs_name}_exponential.csv', index=False)
```

Figure 3: Sampling function for fitted distributions.

2 Uniform Distribution Dataset

2.1 Part A: Developing Hypotheses

Identify and collect a real-world dataset that you hypothesize follows a Uniform distribution. Please be clear about the reasoning behind your hypothesis and be specific about the source of the dataset.

For this example, we sourced a dataset of 20-sided (d20) die rolls from Kaggle. The dataset contains the outcomes of 145 rolls of a single, unweighted d20. We hypothesize that this data follows a Uniform distribution because an unweighted die ought to have an equal probability of landing on any of its faces.

That would make repeated d20 rolls a clear example of a discrete uniform distribution, where all possible values in its range are equally massed. The probability mass function for a discrete uniform distribution from a to b (inclusive) is given by:

$$P(X = x) = \frac{1}{b - a + 1}, \text{ for } x \in \{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$$

where a is 1 and b is 20, so each face of the die ought to have a probability of around:

$$P(X=x) = \frac{1}{20} = 0.05$$

Because the d20 has a finite outcome space and possesses a notion of fairness, we expect the Uniform distribution to be the most appropriate model for representing this sample. It specifies exactly the parameters necessary to characterize this environment; nothing more imposed. The die doesn't not possess notions of general behavior or extreme values nor does it possess a unique spread of mass within different ranges of outcomes; it simply traverses the outcome space freely, bounded only by the values it can take on.

2.2 Part B: Fitting Distributions

For this exercise, we will call each of the four different theoretical distributions (normal, uniform, power law, exponential) a "model". Fit the dataset (i.e., estimate the model parameters) against each model (not just the one you hypothesized) using maximum likelihood estimation (or using any technique you think is appropriate; make sure to comment on the validity of your approach). This should result in a total of **4 parameter sets**. Report the estimated parameters in the following tabular format:

		Model				
Dataset	# Observations	Normal Uniform Power law Expone				
Dataset 2	n_2	μ_2, σ_2	a_2, b_2	α_2, x_{\min_2}	λ_2	

Be sure to show the code you used to arrive at your final estimates clearly.

Below are the tabulated parameter estimates for this dataset (for the full tabulation described in the original assignment TeX, see Appendix). The code in Fig. 1 was also used to arrive at our final parameter estimates for this dataset, here is the same implementation below for convenience:

```
def dists_fit(input_csv: str) -> tuple:
    """
    Fits the obs dataset to each model using MLE.

    :param input_csv: Path to input data to fit paramater(s) to
    :type input_csv: str
    """
    obs = pd.read_csv(input_csv).iloc[:, 0].to_numpy()

    mu = np.mean(obs)
    std = np.sqrt(np.sum((obs - mu) ** 2) / len(obs))

a, b = obs.min(), obs.max()

alpha = 1 + len(obs) / np.sum(np.log(obs / a))

lamb = 1 / np.mean(obs)

return (mu, std, a, b, alpha, lamb)
```

		Model				
Dataset	# Observations	Normal	Uniform	Power law	Exponential	
D20 Rolls	145	11.117, 6.055	1, 20	1.459, 1	0.090	

Figure 3: Parameter estimates for each model on D20 Rolls dataset.

2.3 Part C: Comparing Real and Synthetic Data

For each fitted distribution (there will be 4 of them for this dataset, each corresponding to a different model), generate a synthetic sample of data points equal to the sample size of the real dataset using the respective model parameters you inferred from the real dataset.

Compare the real vs. synthetic data distributions using methods you think are the most appropriate, including visualizations. So, for this dataset, we compare the original dataset to four synthetic datasets, all with equal number of observations, but each synthetic dataset is generated using a different model.

For this dataset, identify the synthetic dataset (which corresponds to a model) that is most similar to the original data in terms of its distribution.

Now revisit your initial hypothesis. For this dataset: Did the dataset behave as expected, or was another model (assumed distribution) a better fit to the dataset? Reflect on why the observed results may differ from your expectations.

3 Power Law Distribution Dataset

3.1 Part A: Developing Hypotheses

Identify and collect a real-world dataset that you hypothesize follows a Power Law distribution. Please be clear about the reasoning behind your hypothesis and be specific about the source of the dataset. https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/bharxhav/us-city-populations-and-coordinates

3.2 Part B: Fitting Distributions

For this exercise, we will call each of the four different theoretical distributions (normal, uniform, power law, exponential) a "model". Fit the dataset (i.e., estimate the model parameters) against each model (not just the one you hypothesized) using maximum likelihood estimation (or using any technique you think is appropriate; make sure to comment on the validity of your approach). This should result in a total of **4 parameter sets**. Report the estimated parameters in the following tabular format:

		Model				
Dataset	# Observations	Normal	Uniform	Power law	Exponential	
Dataset 3	n_3	μ_3, σ_3	a_3, b_3	α_3, x_{\min_3}	λ_3	

Be sure to show the code you used to arrive at your final estimates clearly.

3.3 Part C: Comparing Real and Synthetic Data

For each fitted distribution (there will be 4 of them for this dataset, each corresponding to a different model), generate a synthetic sample of data points equal to the sample size of the real dataset using the respective model parameters you inferred from the real dataset.

Compare the real vs. synthetic data distributions using methods you think are the most appropriate, including visualizations. So, for this dataset, we compare the original dataset to four synthetic datasets, all with equal number of observations, but each synthetic dataset is generated using a different model.

For this dataset, identify the synthetic dataset (which corresponds to a model) that is most similar to the original data in terms of its distribution.

Now revisit your initial hypothesis. For this dataset: Did the dataset behave as expected, or was another model (assumed distribution) a better fit to the dataset? Reflect on why the observed results may differ from your expectations.

4 Exponential Distribution Dataset

4.1 Part A: Developing Hypotheses

Identify and collect a real-world dataset that you hypothesize follows an Exponential distribution. Please be clear about the reasoning behind your hypothesis and be specific about the source of the dataset. https://github.com/AllenDowney/DataExploration

4.2 Part B: Fitting Distributions

For this exercise, we will call each of the four different theoretical distributions (normal, uniform, power law, exponential) a "model". Fit the dataset (i.e., estimate the model parameters) against each model (not just the one you hypothesized) using maximum likelihood estimation (or using any technique you think is appropriate; make sure to comment on the validity of your approach). This should result in a total of **4 parameter sets**. Report the estimated parameters in the following tabular format:

		Model			
Dataset	# Observations	Normal	Uniform	Power law	Exponential
Dataset 4	n_4	μ_4, σ_4	a_4, b_4	α_4, x_{\min_4}	λ_4

Be sure to show the code you used to arrive at your final estimates clearly.

4.3 Part C: Comparing Real and Synthetic Data

For each fitted distribution (there will be 4 of them for this dataset, each corresponding to a different model), generate a synthetic sample of data points equal to the sample size of the real dataset using the respective model parameters you inferred from the real dataset.

Compare the real vs. synthetic data distributions using methods you think are the most appropriate, including visualizations. So, for this dataset, we compare the original dataset to four synthetic datasets, all with equal number of observations, but each synthetic dataset is generated using a different model.

For this dataset, identify the synthetic dataset (which corresponds to a model) that is most similar to the original data in terms of its distribution.

Now revisit your initial hypothesis. For this dataset: Did the dataset behave as expected, or was another model (assumed distribution) a better fit to the dataset? Reflect on why the observed results may differ from your expectations.