

Social-Historical Context and Impact Report

Socio-Historical Context

Sports fans engagement, the local economy, and city pride and identity are all societal factors that are impacted by the analysis of home-field advantage for major U.S sports teams.

Understanding home field advantage can impact fan engagement and the overall fan experience. When fans are aware of the advantages that home teams have, it can create a bandwagon effect where their excitement and support for their favorite teams increases (Wikipedia). This can lead to increased attendance, ticket sales, merchandise purchases, and overall enthusiasm for sports, which in turn can have economic and social implications.

Home field advantages can have an economic impact on the local community. Successful home teams often attract more fans, resulting in increased spending on tickets, concessions, transportation, and accommodations (Kuznitz). This can contribute to the growth of local businesses, including restaurants, hotels, and shops, leading to job creation and economic stimulation in the surrounding areas (Kuznitz).

Home field advantages can strengthen the sense of pride and identity among residents of a city or region. A successful home team can become a symbol of civic pride and bring communities together, fostering a shared sense of belonging and unity. The team's achievements and victories can boost morale, promote positive city image, and provide a sense of community cohesiveness. This can have intangible benefits for social interactions, cultural identity, and overall community well-being. A good example of this phenomenon is how Atlanta's MLS team's success increased civic pride amongst Atlanta's transient population (Lamle).

Current research on athletic home field advantage suggests that the most influential factor contributing to home field success is game scheduling (Pelechrinis and Walker). The timing and sequence of games can significantly impact team performance, as factors such as fatigue, travel, and recovery time come into play (Pelechrinis and Walker). Research indicates that teams with favorable scheduling, such as a higher number of consecutive home games or longer rest periods between matches, tend to exhibit a stronger home field advantage (Pelechrinis and Walker). On the other hand, teams with less favorable schedules may experience a diminished advantage or even a disadvantage when playing at home (Pelechrinis and Walker). Furthermore, recent studies have shown a trend of decreased home field advantage over time across various sports (Schonbrun). This decline could be attributed to factors such as improved travel conditions, increased parity among teams, and advancements in sports science and training methods, which have leveled the playing field and reduced the inherent advantage of playing at home (Schonbrun).

The current research on athletic home field advantage contradicts our initial hypotheses, highlighting the importance of further analysis in understanding the specific impact of game scheduling or physiotherapy methods on home field success. Future analyses should delve deeper into these areas to uncover the underlying mechanisms and nuances that contribute to the observed trends. By examining the intricate relationship between game scheduling, including factors such as rest periods, travel distance, and game density, as well as the implementation of advanced physiotherapy methods for injury prevention and recovery, we can gain a more comprehensive understanding of how these factors influence home field advantage. This deeper analysis can provide valuable insights for teams, coaches, and sports organizations to optimize game scheduling strategies and implement effective physiotherapy protocols, potentially enhancing home field success and overall team performance.

Ethical Considerations

The inclusion of location and median regional income data in the dataset for major U.S sports may introduce a bias against rural and low-income areas due to the criteria set for league expansion. For instance, in the case of Major League Soccer (MLS), expansion is typically limited to locations with sufficient attendance populations that possess a certain level of disposable income (Couch). This approach aims to ensure that each franchise team is financially solvent and can sustain its operations (Couch). However, this criteria inherently favors more affluent areas and urban centers, potentially excluding rural communities or regions with lower income levels. Consequently, the median regional income data in the dataset may not accurately represent the economic diversity across all areas where sports teams are established. It is crucial to recognize this bias when analyzing the relationship between location, median regional income, and home field advantage and consider its implications for the overall fairness and inclusivity of the sports leagues. For future analyses, a possible method to reduce this bias would be to include minor league teams whom are normally established in smaller markets.

The dataset for major sports teams may exhibit bias towards the United States due to the location of the researchers involved in its collection. This bias stems from a natural tendency to prioritize and focus on the sports leagues and teams within one's own country. To address this bias, it is important to expand the dataset by including leagues from other countries beyond the United States and Canada. Incorporating data from international leagues can provide a more comprehensive and diverse perspective on home field advantage. It would enable researchers to examine the influence of different cultural, environmental, and competitive factors on team performance, offering a broader understanding of home field advantage in a global context. By including a wider range of leagues from various countries, researchers can mitigate the bias and provide a more inclusive analysis of home field advantage across different sporting cultures and regions worldwide.

Works Cited

Couch, Ben. "MLS announces expansion process and timeline | MLSsoccer.com." *Major*

League Soccer, 15 December 2016,

<https://www.mlssoccer.com/news/mls-announces-expansion-process-and-timeline>.

Accessed 14 May 2023.

Kuznitz, A. J. *Professional Sports Teams and their Local Economic Impact*. *Coastal Carolina*

University Digital Commons, Coastal Carolina University,

<https://digitalcommons.coastal.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1103&context=honors-theses>.

Lamle, Keaton. "46000 fans a game: Atlanta United's strange success far from soccer's

heartland." *The Guardian*, 22 August 2017,

<https://www.theguardian.com/football/blog/2017/aug/21/atlanta-united-mls-soccer-popularity-average-attendance>. Accessed 14 May 2023.

Pelechrinis, Konstantinos, and Ben Walker. "What really causes home field advantage – and

why it's on the decline." *PBS*, PBS, 3 November 2019,

<https://www.pbs.org/newshour/nation/what-really-causes-home-field-advantage-and-why-its-on-the-decline>. Accessed 13 May 2023.

Schonbrun, Zach. "Why Home Field Advantage Is Not What It Used to Be (Published 2020)."

The New York Times, The New York Times, 11 January 2020,

<https://www.nytimes.com/2020/01/10/sports/football/road-team-advantage.html>.

Accessed 13 May 2023.

Wikipedia. "Bandwagon effect." *Wikipedia*, Wikipedia,

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bandwagon_effect. Accessed 14 May 2023.

Willoughby, Jack. *Attendance, Home Advantage, and the Effect of a City on its Professional Sports Teams*. 2014. *Duke University*, Duke University,
<https://sites.duke.edu/djepapers/files/2016/10/willoughbydjepaper.pdf>.