

Through the celebration of notable successes, including the excellent fit to cosmic microwave background (CMB) data at high and low redshift [1, 2]; the prediction of baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) [3–5] discovered by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) [6, 7]; the measurement of cosmic expansion using type Ia supernovae [8, 9] or the description of large-scale structure in the Universe, the standard  $\Lambda$ CDM model of cosmology has undoubtedly earned its scientific credibility. Dominated by dark energy and cold dark matter (DM), it describes the collapse of infinitesimal density perturbations in the substructure of the early Universe, observable in the CMB [10], as the origin of galaxy formation; DM halos resulting from the collapse of these density anisotropies gravitationally interact and grow through hierarchical clustering, leading to the accretion of gas through large-scale filaments in the cosmic web and, subsequently, to the formation of galaxies [11–14]. In addition to being permanently fed by the inflow of gas, galaxies also grow more massive through continuous mergers with smaller nearby systems [12, 15].

Following the discovery of large amounts of sub-structure in the stellar halo of the Milky Way (MW) [16–18], our galaxy has been found to be the epitome of  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology. The Galactic halo contains  $<1\%$  of the stellar mass of the MW [19], with estimates of the stellar halo mass ranging between  $4 \times 10^8 M_\odot$  and  $1.4 \pm 0.4 \times 10^9 M_\odot$  [20–22]. These estimates encompass the significant component resulting from the ongoing merger with the Sagittarius dwarf galaxy [23–25], past accretion events [26–28] and kinematically heated in-situ populations [29–33]. Therefore, the Galactic stellar halo is composed of a combination of heated disc stars and accreted populations, as predicted by a large number of galaxy formation simulations [34–46].

Whether the Galactic halo was predominantly formed in-situ [47] or through merger events involving dwarf satellite galaxies [35, 48–50] remains a major topic of conversation in MW astronomy. Home to the most metal-poor stars of our galaxy with lifetimes comparable to the current age of the Universe [51], the Galactic stellar halo holds one of the most powerful archaeological tools in partially uncovering the appearance of the MW in the past [47, 52], as the chemical elements imprinted in the atmospheres of halo stars reflect the physical conditions of the interstellar medium in which they were born [52]. Thanks to observations of several halo substructures in the high-quality Gaia data, a distinct population of stellar debris can be linked to a massive, possibly single, accretion event with the Gaia-Sausage-Enceladus (GSE) dwarf galaxy [32, 53–58].

#### RESEARCH EXPERIENCE - THE LAST MAJOR MERGER OF THE MW

By running a series of state-of-the-art,  $N$ -body, hydrodynamical simulations (GIZMO [11, 59]) between the MW progenitor and a massive GSE-like satellite, I verified the self-consistency of a single GSE-merger event by studying the impact of gas on the kinematic properties of the major merger and its imprint in the present day kinematic-space of the MW stellar halo. From our grid of MW-GSE-like merger models, I found that a gas-rich, GSE-progenitor on a retrograde orbit most robustly reproduces the significant properties observed in the kinematic space of the Galactic halo and proposed constraints for the mass components of the GSE progenitor. More importantly, I showed that a model with such properties is sufficient in reproducing merger debris with properties similar to those attributed to alternative populations, which goes to show that the impact of hydrodynamics on  $N$ -body simulations cannot be neglected when modelling galaxy pair mergers. I highlighted the importance of new-generation spectroscopic surveys such as MOONS or 4MOST in further disentangling debris populations and building upon the work presented, the findings of this research laying the groundwork for complementary chemical analyses of MW-GSE-like mergers. A subsequent publication is available as an eprint on arXiv.

## RESEARCH INTERESTS - HIGH REDSHIFT GALAXY FORMATION AND EVOLUTION

While the ongoing Gaia mission [60] is perpetually digging deeper to answer questions in Galactic archaeology, the discovery of galaxies at cosmic dawn and the iconic images of the Hubble deep field [61, 62] have marked a profound milestone in our investigations of extra-galactic astrophysics. Since, the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) has observed galaxies as far away as  $z \sim 9-11$  [63–75], at the horizon of the reionisation epoch less than 500 Myr after the Big Bang [76]. The remarkable properties of the most distant galaxy discovered by the HST, GN-z11 [69], pave the way to probing high UV luminosity galaxies at  $z > 10$  and, by demonstrating that galaxy build-up was well underway early in the reionization epoch, invite us to rethink our understanding of early structure formation. The derived properties of GN-z11 have been reproduced with excellent agreement by numerical simulations [77].

Beyond redshifts of  $z \sim 8.5$ , sources begin to “drop out” in the HST Y-band (F105W) and J-band (F125W) filters [65, 67, 78, 79], marking a notable threshold for the HST. The James Webb Space Telescope (JWST) [80], successor to the HST, was constructed to enhance the performance of its predecessor and push the measurable redshift frontier even closer to the Big Bang [81, 82]. The JWST has already begun to exceed expectations [83], with recorded observations of galaxies between  $z \sim 11-14$  [84–99]. The overabundance of surprisingly luminous candidates among the first high-redshift galaxies revealed by the JWST highlights a discrepancy between observations and theoretical predictions (eg [87, 99]), suggesting an inconsistency with the  $\Lambda$ CDM model [100, 101]. This is a mere addition to a growing list of signals in cosmological and astrophysical data, which are shedding the light on tensions with the  $\Lambda$ CDM paradigm [102] and making it increasingly difficult to discard its theoretical and observational failures [103, 104].

Beyond the JWST, the successful launch and ongoing development of pioneering telescopes and surveys (e.g. DESI [105], EUCLID [106], WFIRST-AFTA [107], LSST [108], SKA [109]) highlights the determination of the scientific community to provide an unprecedented view of the large-scale structure of the Universe. These will complement theoretical models of galaxy formation (see [110] for an extensive review of recent theoretical models and studies, in particular the in-depth summary provided in Table 1 and the following page, which have pushed to construct a coherent picture of the Universe in its early stages), with the JWST in particular being expected to play a crucial role in shedding the light on questions remaining open from numerical simulations. The emergence of machine learning (ML) methods [111] brings a revolutionary tool for the handling of such vast datasets, introducing new methods of galaxy classification [111]; photometric redshift estimations [112]; strong gravitational lensing effects [113]; image deconvolution [114]; identifying merger remnants [115], blended galaxies [116, 117] or anomalous objects [118].

In an era characterised by the dominance of big data, gaps in theoretical frameworks and the ongoing re-evaluation of the  $\Lambda$ CDM paradigm, computational and observational opportunities for research in early galaxy formation and cosmology have never been so vast. The modern generation of space and ground telescopes, led by the JWST and in close collaboration with complementary, cutting-edge numerical simulations, offers to unveil the foundational mechanisms governing galaxy formation at epochs neighbouring the Big Bang.

## OTHER RESEARCH - RADIOLOGY

### NITRANSFORMS

Brain image transformations are stored across a disparate range of file formats as a result of the multitude of possible image registration software implementations. Consequently, the lack of a unified consensus regarding the format of transform files largely complexifies the application of transformations, further enhanced by the question of compatibility between tools. Although existing tools have been developed to address this issue [119], they lack compatibility with a wide range of packages and find themselves restricted in the analysis of non-linear transforms.

`NiTransforms` is a multi-dimensional, open source python library integrated to *fMRIPrep* [120]. It is built for resampling images and converting between formats to apply transforms generated by the most popular neuroimaging packages and libraries (AFNI [121], FSL [122], FreeSurfer [123], ITK via ANTs [124] and SPM [125]). My main tasks involved outsourcing the application of transforms to become a stand-alone method operating on one composite transform and on images or surfaces; reading and writing tests to ensure the correctness of transformations; following code review procedures to provide feedback on pull requests. These implementations namely led to a reduction of the error propagation induced by the previous version, which used to apply transforms in individual components. My contributions have been incorporated in v.24.0.0.

### MAGNETIC RESONANCE IMAGING (MRI)

Functional MRI (fMRI) provides information about brain regional activity, based on blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) response [126–129]. Acting as a proxy for neural activity, fMRI is a common tool for investigating interhemispherical connectivity. The corpus callosum (CC) is the major white matter commissure connecting homologous structure in the left and right cerebral hemispheres, consisting of approximately 200 million fibres [130, 131]. It mediates sensory, motor, audio and visual integration across hemispheres, as well as higher-level cognitive functions. CC dysgenesis (CCD) is the most common form of brain malformation [132], resulting in the generation of axons which form aberrant ipsilateral antero-posterior ‘bundles of Probst’ [133–136] as they completely or partially fail to cross the midline. Individuals with CCD have been found to exhibit social impairments [137–141] as well as deficits in pragmatic linguistic functions [137, 142, 143] and problem solving [137]. The higher interhemispheric transfer time displayed by CCD patients shows impediment in visual [144, 145], motor [146, 147] and executive [148] processing, with an additional performance drop when carrying out more complex tasks.

We carry out a longitudinal and multimodal study to investigate the impact of CCD abnormalities on cognitive and brain development in children at school-age in light of pre-natal (i.e., foetal MRI) and post-natal (i.e., school age MRI) neuroimaging, as well as exploring neuroplastic responses associated with this brain malformation, with the aim to provide novel insight into genetic variations associated with atypical callosal development. Data collection is split among general socio-demographic information, medical history and hand-preference; neuropsychological assessment; MRI acquisitions; genetic testing (saliva DNA sampling, optional). In addition to participating to the MRI data acquisition, my specific role involved the development of the data-analysis pipeline for the task-based fMRI sequence. Namely, I computed and designed first and second level event-based generalised linear model (GLM) design matrices, as well as the contrasts highlighting regions of brain activity detected during the MRI acquisition. With a timeline set by the rhythm of data acquisition, results are expected to be finalised and published in 2026.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Planck Collaboration et al. “Planck 2018 results. I. Overview and the cosmological legacy of Planck”. In: *A&A* 641, A1 (Sept. 2020), A1. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201833880. arXiv: 1807.06205 [astro-ph.CO].
- [2] Simone Aiola et al. “The Atacama Cosmology Telescope: DR4 maps and cosmological parameters”. In: *J. Cosmology Astropart. Phys.* 2020.12, 047 (Dec. 2020), p. 047. doi: 10.1088/1475-7516/2020/12/047. arXiv: 2007.07288 [astro-ph.CO].
- [3] P. J. E. Peebles and J. T. Yu. “Primeval Adiabatic Perturbation in an Expanding Universe”. In: *ApJ* 162 (Dec. 1970), p. 815. doi: 10.1086/150713.
- [4] J. R. Bond and G. Efstathiou. “Cosmic background radiation anisotropies in universes dominated by nonbaryonic dark matter”. In: *ApJ* 285 (Oct. 1984), pp. L45–L48. doi: 10.1086/184362.
- [5] Wayne Hu and Naoshi Sugiyama. “Small-Scale Cosmological Perturbations: an Analytic Approach”. In: *ApJ* 471 (Nov. 1996), p. 542. doi: 10.1086/177989. arXiv: astro-ph/9510117 [astro-ph].
- [6] C. J. Grillmair and O. Dionatos. “Detection of a 63° Cold Stellar Stream in the Sloan Digital Sky Survey”. In: *ApJ* 643.1 (May 2006), pp. L17–L20. doi: 10.1086/505111.
- [7] Daniel J. Eisenstein et al. “Detection of the Baryon Acoustic Peak in the Large-Scale Correlation Function of SDSS Luminous Red Galaxies”. In: *ApJ* 633.2 (Nov. 2005), pp. 560–574. doi: 10.1086/466512. arXiv: astro-ph/0501171 [astro-ph].
- [8] Adam G. Riess et al. “Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant”. In: *AJ* 116.3 (Sept. 1998), pp. 1009–1038. doi: 10.1086/300499. arXiv: astro-ph/9805201 [astro-ph].
- [9] S. Perlmutter et al. “Measurements of  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$  from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae”. In: *ApJ* 517.2 (June 1999), pp. 565–586. doi: 10.1086/307221. arXiv: astro-ph/9812133 [astro-ph].
- [10] Planck Collaboration. “Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters”. In: *A&A* 571, A16 (Nov. 2014), A16. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201321591.
- [11] Volker Springel. “The cosmological simulation code GADGET-2”. In: *MNRAS* 364.4 (Dec. 2005), pp. 1105–1134. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09655.x.
- [12] Volker Springel et al. “Simulations of the formation, evolution and clustering of galaxies and quasars”. In: *Nature* 435.7042 (June 2005), pp. 629–636. doi: 10.1038/nature03597.
- [13] D. Kereš et al. “How do galaxies get their gas?” In: *MNRAS* 363.1 (Oct. 2005), pp. 2–28. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09451.x.
- [14] Joop Schaye et al. “The EAGLE project: simulating the evolution and assembly of galaxies and their environments”. In: *MNRAS* 446.1 (Jan. 2015), pp. 521–554. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stu2058.
- [15] Simon D. M. White and Carlos S. Frenk. “Galaxy Formation through Hierarchical Clustering”. In: *ApJ* 379 (Sept. 1991), p. 52. doi: 10.1086/170483.
- [16] David Martínez-Delgado et al. “Stellar Tidal Streams in Spiral Galaxies of the Local Volume: A Pilot Survey with Modest Aperture Telescopes”. In: *AJ* 140.4 (Oct. 2010), pp. 962–967. doi: 10.1088/0004-6256/140/4/962.
- [17] Adam M. Atkinson, Roberto G. Abraham, and Annette M. N. Ferguson. “Faint Tidal Features in Galaxies within the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey Wide Fields”. In: *ApJ* 765.1, 28 (Mar. 2013), p. 28. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/765/1/28.
- [18] Pierre-Alain Duc et al. “The ATLAS<sup>3D</sup> project - XXIX. The new look of early-type galaxies and surrounding fields disclosed by extremely deep optical images”. In: *MNRAS* 446.1 (Jan. 2015), pp. 120–143. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stu2019.
- [19] Heather L. Morrison. “The Local Density of Halo Giants”. In: *AJ* 106 (Aug. 1993), p. 578. doi: 10.1086/116662.
- [20] Joss Bland-Hawthorn and Ortwin Gerhard. “The Galaxy in Context: Structural, Kinematic, and Integrated Properties”. In: *ARA&A* 54 (Sept. 2016), pp. 529–596. doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-081915-023441. arXiv: 1602.07702 [astro-ph.GA].
- [21] Paul J. McMillan. “The mass distribution and gravitational potential of the Milky Way”. In: *MNRAS* 465.1 (Feb. 2017), pp. 76–94. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stw2759. arXiv: 1608.00971 [astro-ph.GA].
- [22] Alis J. Deason, Vasily Belokurov, and Jason L. Sanders. “The total stellar halo mass of the Milky Way”. In: *MNRAS* 490.3 (Dec. 2019), pp. 3426–3439. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stz2793. arXiv: 1908.02763 [astro-ph.GA].
- [23] R. A. Ibata, G. Gilmore, and M. J. Irwin. “A dwarf satellite galaxy in Sagittarius”. In: *Nature* 370.6486 (July 1994), pp. 194–196. doi: 10.1038/370194a0.
- [24] Kathryn V. Johnston, David N. Spergel, and Lars Hernquist. “The Disruption of the Sagittarius Dwarf Galaxy”. In: *ApJ* 451 (Oct. 1995), p. 598. doi: 10.1086/176247. arXiv: astro-ph/9502005 [astro-ph].
- [25] David Martínez-Delgado et al. “Tracing Out the Northern Tidal Stream of the Sagittarius Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxy”. In: *ApJ* 601.1 (Jan. 2004), pp. 242–259. doi: 10.1086/380298. arXiv: astro-ph/0308009 [astro-ph].
- [26] Amina Helmi and Simon D. M. White. “Building up the stellar halo of the Galaxy”. In: *MNRAS* 307.3 (Aug. 1999), pp. 495–517. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-8711.1999.02616.x. arXiv: astro-ph/9901102 [astro-ph].
- [27] Amina Helmi et al. “Debris streams in the solar neighbourhood as relicts from the formation of the Milky Way”. In: *Nature* 402.6757 (Nov. 1999), pp. 53–55. doi: 10.1038/46980. arXiv: astro-ph/9911041 [astro-ph].
- [28] Alis J. Deason, Yao-Yuan Mao, and Risa H. Wechsler. “The Eating Habits of Milky Way-mass Halos: Destroyed Dwarf Satellites and the Metallicity Distribution of Accreted Stars”. In: *ApJ* 821.1, 5 (Apr. 2016), p. 5. doi: 10.3847/0004-637X/821/1/5. arXiv: 1601.07905 [astro-ph.GA].
- [29] Daniela Carollo et al. “Two stellar components in the halo of the Milky Way”. In: *Nature* 450.7172 (Dec. 2007), pp. 1020–1025. doi: 10.1038/nature06460. arXiv: 0706.3005 [astro-ph].
- [30] Jelte T. A. de Jong et al. “Mapping the Stellar Structure of the Milky Way Thick Disk and Halo Using SEGUE Photometry”. In: *ApJ* 714.1 (May 2010), pp. 663–674. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/714/1/663. arXiv: 0911.3900 [astro-ph.GA].
- [31] Ana Bonaca et al. “Gaia Reveals a Metal-rich, in situ Component of the Local Stellar Halo”. In: *ApJ* 845.2, 101 (Aug. 2017), p. 101. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aa7d0c. arXiv: 1704.05463 [astro-ph.GA].
- [32] P. Di Matteo et al. “The Milky Way has no in-situ halo other than the heated thick disc. Composition of the stellar halo and age-dating the last significant merger with Gaia DR2 and APOGEE”. In: *A&A* 632, A4 (Dec. 2019), A4. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201834929. arXiv: 1812.08232 [astro-ph.GA].
- [33] Vasily Belokurov et al. “The biggest splash”. In: *MNRAS* 494.3 (May 2020), pp. 3880–3898. doi: 10.1093/mnras/staa876. arXiv: 1909.04679 [astro-ph.GA].

- [34] Mario G. Abadi, Julio F. Navarro, and Matthias Steinmetz. “Stars beyond galaxies: the origin of extended luminous haloes around galaxies”. In: *MNRAS* 365.3 (Jan. 2006), pp. 747–758. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09789.x. arXiv: astro-ph/0506659 [astro-ph].
- [35] James S. Bullock and Kathryn V. Johnston. “Tracing Galaxy Formation with Stellar Halos. I. Methods”. In: *ApJ* 635.2 (Dec. 2005), pp. 931–949. doi: 10.1086/497422. arXiv: astro-ph/0506467 [astro-ph].
- [36] A. P. Cooper et al. “Galactic stellar haloes in the CDM model”. In: *MNRAS* 406.2 (Aug. 2010), pp. 744–766. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16740.x. arXiv: 0910.3211 [astro-ph.GA].
- [37] Andrew P. Cooper et al. “Formation of in situ stellar haloes in Milky Way-mass galaxies”. In: *MNRAS* 454.3 (Dec. 2015), pp. 3185–3199. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stv2057. arXiv: 1501.04630 [astro-ph.GA].
- [38] A. S. Font et al. “Cosmological simulations of the formation of the stellar haloes around disc galaxies”. In: *MNRAS* 416.4 (Oct. 2011), pp. 2802–2820. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19227.x. arXiv: 1102.2526 [astro-ph.CO].
- [39] Kathryn V. Johnston et al. “Tracing Galaxy Formation with Stellar Halos. II. Relating Substructure in Phase and Abundance Space to Accretion Histories”. In: *ApJ* 689.2 (Dec. 2008), pp. 936–957. doi: 10.1086/592228. arXiv: 0807.3911 [astro-ph].
- [40] Chris W. Purcell, James S. Bullock, and Stelios Kazantzidis. “Heated disc stars in the stellar halo”. In: *MNRAS* 404.4 (June 2010), pp. 1711–1718. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16429.x. arXiv: 0910.5481 [astro-ph.GA].
- [41] Adi Zolotov et al. “The Dual Origin of Stellar Halos”. In: *ApJ* 702.2 (Sept. 2009), pp. 1058–1067. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/702/2/1058. arXiv: 0904.3333 [astro-ph.GA].
- [42] Robert J. J. Grand et al. “The dual origin of the Galactic thick disc and halo from the gas-rich Gaia-Enceladus Sausage merger”. In: *MNRAS* 497.2 (Sept. 2020), pp. 1603–1618. doi: 10.1093/mnras/staa2057. arXiv: 2001.06009 [astro-ph.GA].
- [43] Florent Renaud et al. “VINTERGATAN - II. The history of the Milky Way told by its mergers”. In: *MNRAS* 503.4 (June 2021), pp. 5846–5867. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stab250. arXiv: 2006.06011 [astro-ph.GA].
- [44] Sergey Khoperskov et al. “The stellar halo in Local Group Hestia simulations. I. The in situ component and the effect of mergers”. In: *A&A* 677, A89 (Sept. 2023), A89. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202244232. arXiv: 2206.04521 [astro-ph.GA].
- [45] Sergey Khoperskov et al. “The stellar halo in Local Group Hestia simulations. II. The accreted component”. In: *A&A* 677, A90 (Sept. 2023), A90. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202244233. arXiv: 2206.04522 [astro-ph.GA].
- [46] M. S. Fujii et al. “The Dynamics of Spiral Arms in Pure Stellar Disks”. In: *ApJ* 730.2, 109 (Apr. 2011), p. 109. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/730/2/109. arXiv: 1006.1228 [astro-ph.GA].
- [47] O. J. Eggen, D. Lynden-Bell, and A. R. Sandage. “Evidence from the motions of old stars that the Galaxy collapsed.” In: *ApJ* 136 (Nov. 1962), p. 748. doi: 10.1086/147433.
- [48] L. Searle and R. Zinn. “Composition of halo clusters and the formation of the galactic halo.” In: *ApJ* 225 (Oct. 1978), pp. 357–379. doi: 10.1086/156499.
- [49] Steven R. Majewski, Jeffrey A. Munn, and Suzanne L. Hawley. “Absolute Proper Motions to B approximately 22.5: Large-Scale Streaming Motions and the Structure and Origin of the Galactic Halo”. In: *ApJ* 459 (Mar. 1996), p. L73. doi: 10.1086/309944.
- [50] Eric F. Bell et al. “The Accretion Origin of the Milky Way’s Stellar Halo”. In: *ApJ* 680.1 (June 2008), pp. 295–311. doi: 10.1086/588032. arXiv: 0706.0004 [astro-ph].
- [51] Ken Freeman and Joss Bland-Hawthorn. “The New Galaxy: Signatures of Its Formation”. In: *ARA&A* 40 (Jan. 2002), pp. 487–537. doi: 10.1146/annurev.astro.40.060401.093840. arXiv: astro-ph/0208106 [astro-ph].
- [52] Amina Helmi. “The stellar halo of the Galaxy”. In: *A&A Rev.* 15.3 (June 2008), pp. 145–188. doi: 10.1007/s00159-008-0009-6. arXiv: 0804.0019 [astro-ph].
- [53] Amina Helmi et al. “The merger that led to the formation of the Milky Way’s inner stellar halo and thick disk”. In: *Nature* 563.7729 (Oct. 2018), pp. 85–88. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0625-x. arXiv: 1806.06038 [astro-ph.GA].
- [54] Alis J. Deason et al. “Apocenter Pile-up: Origin of the Stellar Halo Density Break”. In: *ApJ* 862.1, L1 (July 2018), p. L1. doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/aad0ee. arXiv: 1805.10288 [astro-ph.GA].
- [55] Lachlan Lancaster et al. “The halo’s ancient metal-rich progenitor revealed with BHB stars”. In: *MNRAS* 486.1 (June 2019), pp. 378–389. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stz853. arXiv: 1807.04290 [astro-ph.GA].
- [56] Helmer H. Koppelman, Roy O. Y. Bos, and Amina Helmi. “The messy merger of a large satellite and a Milky Way-like galaxy”. In: *A&A* 642, L18 (Oct. 2020), p. L18. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/202038652. arXiv: 2006.07620 [astro-ph.GA].
- [57] J. M. Diederik Kruijssen et al. “Kraken reveals itself - the merger history of the Milky Way reconstructed with the E-MOSAICS simulations”. In: *MNRAS* 498.2 (Oct. 2020), pp. 2472–2491. doi: 10.1093/mnras/staa2452. arXiv: 2003.01119 [astro-ph.GA].
- [58] Rohan P. Naidu et al. “Reconstructing the Last Major Merger of the Milky Way with the H3 Survey”. In: *ApJ* 923.1, 92 (Dec. 2021), p. 92. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac2d2d. arXiv: 2103.03251 [astro-ph.GA].
- [59] Philip F. Hopkins. “A new class of accurate, mesh-free hydrodynamic simulation methods”. In: *MNRAS* 450.1 (June 2015), pp. 53–110. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stv195.
- [60] Gaia Collaboration et al. “The Gaia mission”. In: *A&A* 595, A1 (Nov. 2016), A1. doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201629272. arXiv: 1609.04153 [astro-ph.IM].
- [61] Robert E. Williams et al. “The Hubble Deep Field: Observations, Data Reduction, and Galaxy Photometry”. In: *AJ* 112 (Oct. 1996), p. 1335. doi: 10.1086/118105. arXiv: astro-ph/9607174 [astro-ph].
- [62] Henry C. Ferguson, Mark Dickinson, and Robert Williams. “The Hubble Deep Fields”. In: *ARA&A* 38 (Jan. 2000), pp. 667–715. doi: 10.1146/annurev.astro.38.1.667. arXiv: astro-ph/0004319 [astro-ph].
- [63] Wei Zheng et al. “A magnified young galaxy from about 500 million years after the Big Bang”. In: *Nature* 489.7416 (Sept. 2012), pp. 406–408. doi: 10.1038/nature11446. arXiv: 1204.2305 [astro-ph.CO].
- [64] Dan Coe et al. “CLASH: Three Strongly Lensed Images of a Candidate  $z \approx 11$  Galaxy”. In: *ApJ* 762.1, 32 (Jan. 2013), p. 32. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/762/1/32. arXiv: 1211.3663 [astro-ph.CO].
- [65] Richard S. Ellis et al. “The Abundance of Star-forming Galaxies in the Redshift Range 8.5–12: New Results from the 2012 Hubble Ultra Deep Field Campaign”. In: *ApJ* 763.1, L7 (Jan. 2013), p. L7. doi: 10.1088/2041-8205/763/1/L7. arXiv: 1211.6804 [astro-ph.CO].
- [66] P. A. Oesch et al. “Probing the Dawn of Galaxies at  $z \sim 9$ –12: New Constraints from HUDF12/XDF and CANDELS data”. In: *ApJ* 773.1, 75 (Aug. 2013), p. 75. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/773/1/75. arXiv: 1301.6162 [astro-ph.CO].

- [67] P. A. Oesch et al. “The Most Luminous  $z \sim 9$ -10 Galaxy Candidates Yet Found: The Luminosity Function, Cosmic Star-formation Rate, and the First Mass Density Estimate at 500 Myr”. In: *ApJ* 786.2, 108 (May 2014), p. 108. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/786/2/108. arXiv: 1309.2280 [astro-ph.CO].
- [68] P. A. Oesch et al. “First Frontier Field Constraints on the Cosmic Star Formation Rate Density at  $z \sim 10$ —The Impact of Lensing Shear on Completeness of High-redshift Galaxy Samples”. In: *ApJ* 808.1, 104 (July 2015), p. 104. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/808/1/104. arXiv: 1409.1228 [astro-ph.GA].
- [69] P. A. Oesch et al. “A Remarkably Luminous Galaxy at  $z=11.1$  Measured with Hubble Space Telescope Grism Spectroscopy”. In: *ApJ* 819.2, 129 (Mar. 2016), p. 129. doi: 10.3847/0004-637X/819/2/129. arXiv: 1603.00461 [astro-ph.GA].
- [70] Adi Zitrin et al. “A Geometrically Supported  $z \sim 10$  Candidate Multiply Imaged by the Hubble Frontier Fields Cluster A2744”. In: *ApJ* 793.1, L12 (Sept. 2014), p. L12. doi: 10.1088/2041-8205/793/1/L12. arXiv: 1407.3769 [astro-ph.GA].
- [71] R. J. Bouwens et al. “UV Luminosity Functions at Redshifts  $z \sim 4$  to  $z \sim 10$ : 10,000 Galaxies from HST Legacy Fields”. In: *ApJ* 803.1, 34 (Apr. 2015), p. 34. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/803/1/34. arXiv: 1403.4295 [astro-ph.CO].
- [72] Leopoldo Infante et al. “Young Galaxy Candidates in the Hubble Frontier Fields. II. MACS J0416-2403”. In: *ApJ* 815.1, 18 (Dec. 2015), p. 18. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/815/1/18. arXiv: 1510.07084 [astro-ph.GA].
- [73] D. J. McLeod et al. “New redshift  $z \approx 9$  galaxies in the Hubble Frontier Fields: implications for early evolution of the UV luminosity density”. In: *MNRAS* 450.3 (July 2015), pp. 3032–3044. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stv780. arXiv: 1412.1472 [astro-ph.GA].
- [74] Masafumi Ishigaki et al. “Hubble Frontier Fields First Complete Cluster Data: Faint Galaxies at  $z \sim 5$ -10 for UV Luminosity Functions and Cosmic Reionization”. In: *ApJ* 799.1, 12 (Jan. 2015), p. 12. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/799/1/12. arXiv: 1408.6903 [astro-ph.GA].
- [75] V. Calvi et al. “Bright Galaxies at Hubble’s Redshift Detection Frontier: Preliminary Results and Design from the Redshift  $z \sim 9$ -10 BoRG Pure-Parallel HST Survey”. In: *ApJ* 817.2, 120 (Feb. 2016), p. 120. doi: 10.3847/0004-637X/817/2/120. arXiv: 1512.05363 [astro-ph.GA].
- [76] Brant E. Robertson et al. “Early star-forming galaxies and the reionization of the Universe”. In: *Nature* 468.7320 (Nov. 2010), pp. 49–55. doi: 10.1038/nature09527. arXiv: 1011.0727 [astro-ph.CO].
- [77] Simon J. Mutch et al. “Dark-ages reionization and galaxy-formation simulation- VI. The origins and fate of the highest known redshift galaxy”. In: *MNRAS* 463.4 (Dec. 2016), pp. 3556–3562. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stw2187. arXiv: 1605.08054 [astro-ph.GA].
- [78] R. J. Bouwens et al. “A candidate redshift  $z_{\sim 10}$  galaxy and rapid changes in that population at an age of 500Myr”. In: *Nature* 469.7331 (Jan. 2011), pp. 504–507. doi: 10.1038/nature09717. arXiv: 0912.4263 [astro-ph.CO].
- [79] Yuichi Harikane et al. “A Search for H-Dropout Lyman Break Galaxies at  $z$  12-16”. In: *ApJ* 929.1, 1 (Apr. 2022), p. 1. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ac53a9. arXiv: 2112.09141 [astro-ph.GA].
- [80] Jonathan P. Gardner et al. “The James Webb Space Telescope”. In: *Space Sci. Rev.* 123.4 (Apr. 2006), pp. 485–606. doi: 10.1007/s11214-006-8315-7. arXiv: astro-ph/0606175 [astro-ph].
- [81] Marcia J. Rieke et al. “JADES Initial Data Release for the Hubble Ultra Deep Field: Revealing the Faint Infrared Sky with Deep JWST NIRCIm Imaging”. In: *ApJS* 269.1, 16 (Nov. 2023), p. 16. doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/acf44d. arXiv: 2306.02466 [astro-ph.GA].
- [82] Daniel J. Eisenstein et al. “Overview of the JWST Advanced Deep Extragalactic Survey (JADES)”. In: *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2306.02465 (June 2023), arXiv:2306.02465. doi: 10.48550/arXiv.2306.02465. arXiv: 2306.02465 [astro-ph.GA].
- [83] Jane Rigby et al. “The Science Performance of JWST as Characterized in Commissioning”. In: *PASP* 135.1046, 048001 (Apr. 2023), p. 048001. doi: 10.1088/1538-3873/acb293. arXiv: 2207.05632 [astro-ph.IM].
- [84] Brant Robertson et al. “Earliest Galaxies in the JADES Origins Field: Luminosity Function and Cosmic Star Formation Rate Density 300 Myr after the Big Bang”. In: *ApJ* 970.1, 31 (July 2024), p. 31. doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ad463d. arXiv: 2312.10033 [astro-ph.GA].
- [85] D. J. McLeod et al. “The galaxy UV luminosity function at  $z \approx 11$  from a suite of public JWST ERS, ERO, and Cycle-1 programs”. In: *MNRAS* 527.3 (Jan. 2024), pp. 5004–5022. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stad3471. arXiv: 2304.14469 [astro-ph.GA].
- [86] Steven L. Finkelstein et al. “The Complete CEERS Early Universe Galaxy Sample: A Surprisingly Slow Evolution of the Space Density of Bright Galaxies at  $z \sim 8.5$ -14.5”. In: *ApJ* 969.1, L2 (July 2024), p. L2. doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/ad4495. arXiv: 2311.04279 [astro-ph.GA].
- [87] Ivo Labbé et al. “A population of red candidate massive galaxies 600 Myr after the Big Bang”. In: *Nature* 616.7956 (Apr. 2023), pp. 266–269. doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-05786-2. arXiv: 2207.12446 [astro-ph.GA].
- [88] Emma Curtis-Lake et al. “Spectroscopic confirmation of four metal-poor galaxies at  $z = 10.3$ -13.2”. In: *Nature Astronomy* 7 (May 2023), pp. 622–632. doi: 10.1038/s41550-023-01918-w. arXiv: 2212.04568 [astro-ph.GA].
- [89] B. E. Robertson et al. “Identification and properties of intense star-forming galaxies at redshifts  $z > 10$ ”. In: *Nature Astronomy* 7 (May 2023), pp. 611–621. doi: 10.1038/s41550-023-01921-1. arXiv: 2212.04480 [astro-ph.GA].
- [90] C. T. Donnan et al. “The evolution of the galaxy UV luminosity function at redshifts  $z \approx 8$  - 15 from deep JWST and ground-based near-infrared imaging”. In: *MNRAS* 518.4 (Feb. 2023), pp. 6011–6040. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac3472. arXiv: 2207.12356 [astro-ph.GA].
- [91] Hakim Atek et al. “Revealing galaxy candidates out to  $z$  16 with JWST observations of the lensing cluster SMACS0723”. In: *MNRAS* 519.1 (Feb. 2023), pp. 1201–1220. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac3144. arXiv: 2207.12338 [astro-ph.GA].
- [92] Yuichi Harikane et al. “A Comprehensive Study of Galaxies at  $z$  9-16 Found in the Early JWST Data: Ultraviolet Luminosity Functions and Cosmic Star Formation History at the Pre-reionization Epoch”. In: *ApJS* 265.1, 5 (Mar. 2023), p. 5. doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/acaaa9. arXiv: 2208.01612 [astro-ph.GA].
- [93] Kevin Hainline et al. “Unraveling the Origins of the Cosmos: A Large Population of JADES Candidate Galaxies at  $z > 8$ ”. In: *American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts*. Vol. 242. American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts. June 2023, 212.02, p. 212.02.
- [94] Steven L. Finkelstein et al. “CEERS Key Paper. I. An Early Look into the First 500 Myr of Galaxy Formation with JWST”. In: *ApJ* 946.1, L13 (Mar. 2023), p. L13. doi: 10.3847/2041-8213/acade4. arXiv: 2211.05792 [astro-ph.GA].
- [95] N. J. Adams et al. “Discovery and properties of ultra-high redshift galaxies ( $9 < z < 12$ ) in the JWST ERO SMACS 0723 Field”. In: *MNRAS* 518.3 (Jan. 2023), pp. 4755–4766. doi: 10.1093/mnras/stac3347. arXiv: 2207.11217 [astro-ph.GA].

- [96] Gene C. K. Leung et al. “NGDEEP Epoch 1: The Faint End of the Luminosity Function at  $z$  9-12 from Ultradeep JWST Imaging”. In: *ApJ* 954.2, L46 (Sept. 2023), p. L46. DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/acf365. arXiv: 2306.06244 [astro-ph.GA].
- [97] Rohan P. Naidu et al. “Two Remarkably Luminous Galaxy Candidates at  $z \approx 10$ -12 Revealed by JWST”. In: *ApJ* 940.1, L14 (Nov. 2022), p. L14. DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac9b22. arXiv: 2207.09434 [astro-ph.GA].
- [98] Marco Castellano et al. “Early Results from GLASS-JWST. III. Galaxy Candidates at  $z$  9-15”. In: *ApJ* 938.2, L15 (Oct. 2022), p. L15. DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac94d0. arXiv: 2207.09436 [astro-ph.GA].
- [99] Christopher J. Conselice et al. “EPOCHS. I. The Discovery and Star-forming Properties of Galaxies in the Epoch of Reionization at  $6.5 < z < 18$  with PEARLS and Public JWST Data”. In: *ApJ* 983.1, 30 (Apr. 2025), p. 30. DOI: 10.3847/1538-4357/ada608. arXiv: 2407.14973 [astro-ph.GA].
- [100] Deng Wang and Yizhou Liu. “JWST high redshift galaxy observations have a strong tension with Planck CMB measurements”. In: *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2301.00347 (Dec. 2022), arXiv:2301.00347. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2301.00347. arXiv: 2301.00347 [astro-ph.CO].
- [101] N. Menci et al. “High-redshift Galaxies from Early JWST Observations: Constraints on Dark Energy Models”. In: *ApJ* 938.1, L5 (Oct. 2022), p. L5. DOI: 10.3847/2041-8213/ac96e9. arXiv: 2208.11471 [astro-ph.CO].
- [102] L. Perivolaropoulos and F. Skara. “Challenges for  $\Lambda$ CDM: An update”. In: *New A Rev.* 95, 101659 (Dec. 2022), p. 101659. DOI: 10.1016/j.newar.2022.101659. arXiv: 2105.05208 [astro-ph.CO].
- [103] Eleonora Di Valentino. “Challenges of the Standard Cosmological Model”. In: *Universe* 8.8, 399 (July 2022), p. 399. DOI: 10.3390/universe8080399.
- [104] George Efstathiou, Erik Rosenberg, and Vivian Poulin. “Improved Planck Constraints on Axionlike Early Dark Energy as a Resolution of the Hubble Tension”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 132.22, 221002 (May 2024), p. 221002. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.132.221002. arXiv: 2311.00524 [astro-ph.CO].
- [105] DESI Collaboration et al. “The DESI Experiment Part I: Science, Targeting, and Survey Design”. In: *arXiv e-prints* (Oct. 2016). DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1611.00036.
- [106] Euclid Collaboration et al. “Euclid. I. Overview of the Euclid mission”. In: *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2405.13491 (May 2024), arXiv:2405.13491. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2405.13491. arXiv: 2405.13491 [astro-ph.CO].
- [107] D. Spergel et al. “Wide-Field Infrared Survey Telescope-Astrophysics Focused Telescope Assets WFIRST-AFTA 2015 Report”. In: *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1503.03757 (Mar. 2015), arXiv:1503.03757. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.1503.03757. arXiv: 1503.03757 [astro-ph.IM].
- [108] LSST Science Collaboration et al. “LSST Science Book, Version 2.0”. In: *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:0912.0201 (Dec. 2009), arXiv:0912.0201. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.0912.0201. arXiv: 0912.0201 [astro-ph.IM].
- [109] R. Braun et al. “Advancing Astrophysics with the Square Kilometre Array”. In: *Advancing Astrophysics with the Square Kilometre Array (AASKA14)*. Apr. 2015, 174, p. 174. DOI: 10.22323/1.215.0174.
- [110] Pratika Dayal and Andrea Ferrara. “Early galaxy formation and its large-scale effects”. In: *Phys. Rep.* 780 (Dec. 2018), pp. 1–64. DOI: 10.1016/j.physrep.2018.10.002. arXiv: 1809.09136 [astro-ph.GA].
- [111] Kana Moriwaki, Takahiro Nishimichi, and Naoki Yoshida. “Machine learning for observational cosmology”. In: *Reports on Progress in Physics* 86.7, 076901 (July 2023), p. 076901. DOI: 10.1088/1361-6633/acd2ea. arXiv: 2303.15794 [astro-ph.IM].
- [112] Massimo Brescia et al. “Photometric Redshifts With Machine Learning, Lights and Shadows on a Complex Data Science Use Case”. In: *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences* 8, 70 (June 2021), p. 70. DOI: 10.3389/fspas.2021.658229. arXiv: 2104.06774 [astro-ph.IM].
- [113] Yashar D. Hezaveh, Laurence Perreault Levasseur, and Philip J. Marshall. “Fast automated analysis of strong gravitational lenses with convolutional neural networks”. In: *Nature* 548.7669 (Aug. 2017), pp. 555–557. DOI: 10.1038/nature23463. arXiv: 1708.08842 [astro-ph.IM].
- [114] Hong Wang et al. “Neural Network Based Point Spread Function Deconvolution For Astronomical Applications”. In: *The Open Journal of Astrophysics* 6, 30 (Aug. 2023), p. 30. DOI: 10.21105/astro.2210.01666. arXiv: 2210.01666 [astro-ph.IM].
- [115] Connor Bottrell et al. “The combined and respective roles of imaging and stellar kinematics in identifying galaxy merger remnants”. In: *MNRAS* 511.1 (Mar. 2022), pp. 100–119. DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stab3717. arXiv: 2201.03579 [astro-ph.GA].
- [116] Alexandre Boucaud et al. “Photometry of high-redshift blended galaxies using deep learning”. In: *MNRAS* 491.2 (Jan. 2020), pp. 2481–2495. DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stz3056. arXiv: 1905.01324 [astro-ph.GA].
- [117] Bastien Arcelin et al. “Deblending galaxies with variational autoencoders: A joint multiband, multi-instrument approach”. In: *MNRAS* 500.1 (Jan. 2021), pp. 531–547. DOI: 10.1093/mnras/staa3062. arXiv: 2005.12039 [astro-ph.IM].
- [118] Michelle Lochner and Bruce A. Bassett. “A Hitchhiker’s Guide to Anomaly Detection with Astronomical”. In: *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:2201.10189 (Jan. 2022), arXiv:2201.10189. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2201.10189. arXiv: 2201.10189 [astro-ph.IM].
- [119] P. A. Yushkevich. “Open Source Software: Convert3D Medical Imaging Processing tool”. In: ().
- [120] Oscar Esteban et al. “fMRIPrep: a robust preprocessing pipeline for functional MRI”. In: *Nature Methods* 16.1 (Jan. 2019), pp. 111–116. ISSN: 1548-7105. DOI: 10.1038/s41592-018-0235-4.
- [121] Robert W. Cox and James S. Hyde. “Software tools for analysis and visualization of fMRI data”. In: *NMR in Biomedicine* 10.4-5 (1997), pp. 171–178. DOI: 10.1002/(SICI)1099-1492(199706/08)10:4/5<171::AID-NBM453>3.0.CO;2-L.
- [122] Mark Jenkinson et al. “FSL”. In: *NeuroImage* 62.2 (2012). 20 YEARS OF fMRI, pp. 782–790. ISSN: 1053-8119. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.09.015.
- [123] “FreeSurfer”. In: *NeuroImage* 62.2 (2012). 20 YEARS OF fMRI, pp. 774–781. ISSN: 1053-8119. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.021.
- [124] B.B. Avants et al. “Symmetric diffeomorphic image registration with cross-correlation: Evaluating automated labeling of elderly and neurodegenerative brain”. In: *Medical Image Analysis* 12.1 (2008). Special Issue on The Third International Workshop on Biomedical Image Registration – WBIR 2006, pp. 26–41. ISSN: 1361-8415. DOI: 10.1016/j.media.2007.06.004.
- [125] K. J. Friston et al. *Statistical parametric mapping : The analysis of functional brain images*. Academic Press, 2006. ISBN: 978-0-12-372560-8.
- [126] S. Ogawa et al. “Brain magnetic resonance imaging with contrast dependent on blood oxygenation”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 87.24 (1990), pp. 9868–9872. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.87.24.9868.

- [127] S. Ogawa and T. M. Lee. “Magnetic resonance imaging of blood vessels at high fields: in vivo and in vitro measurements and image simulation”. In: *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 16.1 (1990), pp. 9–18. DOI: 10.1002/mrm.1910160103.
- [128] P. A. Bandettini et al. “Time course EPI of human brain function during task activation”. In: *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 25.2 (1992), pp. 390–397. DOI: 10.1002/mrm.1910250220.
- [129] S. Ogawa et al. “Intrinsic signal changes accompanying sensory stimulation: functional brain mapping with magnetic resonance imaging”. In: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 89.13 (1992), pp. 5951–5955. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.89.13.5951.
- [130] Francisco Aboitiz et al. “Fiber composition of the human corpus callosum”. In: *Brain Research* 598.1 (1992), pp. 143–153. ISSN: 0006-8993. DOI: 10.1016/0006-8993(92)90178-C.
- [131] Tomasch J. “Size, distribution, and number of fibres in the human corpus callosum”. In: *The Anatomical record* 119.1 (1954), pp. 119–135. DOI: doi.org/10.1002/ar.1091190109.
- [132] J. S. Jeret et al. “Frequency of agenesis of the corpus callosum in the developmentally disabled population as determined by computerized tomography”. In: *Pediatric Neuroscience* 12.2 (1985), pp. 101–103. DOI: 10.1159/000120229.
- [133] P. Rakic and P. I. Yakovlev. “Development of the corpus callosum and cavum septi in man”. In: *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* 132.1 (1968), pp. 45–72. DOI: 10.1002/cne.901320103.
- [134] S. W. Herts et al. “Anomalies of the corpus callosum: an MR analysis of the phenotypic spectrum of associated malformations”. In: *AJR. American Journal of Roentgenology* 187.5 (2006), pp. 1343–1348. DOI: 10.2214/AJR.05.0146.
- [135] F. Tovar-Moll et al. “Neuroplasticity in human callosal dysgenesis: a diffusion tensor imaging study”. In: *Cerebral Cortex* 17.3 (2007), pp. 531–541. DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhj178.
- [136] C. Raybaud. “The corpus callosum, the other great forebrain commissures, and the septum pellucidum: anatomy, development, and malformation”. In: *Neuroradiology* 52.6 (2010), pp. 447–477. DOI: 10.1007/s00234-010-0696-3.
- [137] Warren S. Brown and Lynn K. Paul. “Cognitive and psychosocial deficits in agenesis of the corpus callosum with normal intelligence”. In: *Cognitive Neuropsychiatry* 5.2 (2000), pp. 135–157. DOI: 10.1080/135468000395781.
- [138] L. K. Paul, B. Schieffer, and W. S. Brown. “Social processing deficits in agenesis of the corpus callosum: narratives from the Thematic Appreciation Test”. In: *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology* 19.2 (2004), pp. 215–225. DOI: 10.1016/S0887-6177(03)00024-6.
- [139] Denise H. Badaruddin et al. “Social and Behavioral Problems of Children with Agenesis of the Corpus Callosum”. In: *Child Psychiatry and Human Development* 38 (2007), pp. 287–302. DOI: 10.1007/s10578-007-0065-6.
- [140] Y. C. Lau et al. “Autism traits in individuals with agenesis of the corpus callosum”. In: *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders* 43.5 (2013), pp. 1106–1118. DOI: 10.1007/s10803-012-1653-2.
- [141] B. Lábadí and A. M. Beke. “Mental State Understanding in Children with Agenesis of the Corpus Callosum”. In: *Frontiers in Psychology* 8 (2017), p. 94. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00094.
- [142] L. K. Paul et al. “Communicative deficits in agenesis of the corpus callosum: nonliteral language and affective prosody”. In: *Brain and Language* 85.2 (2003), pp. 313–324. DOI: 10.1016/S0093-934X(03)00062-2.
- [143] W. S. Brown et al. “Comprehension of humor in primary agenesis of the corpus callosum”. In: *Neuropsychologia* 43.6 (2005), pp. 906–916. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2004.09.008.
- [144] M. C. Lassonde et al. “Hemispheric asymmetry in callosal agenesis as revealed by dichotic listening performance”. In: *Neuropsychologia* 19.3 (1981), pp. 455–458. DOI: 10.1016/0028-3932(81)90075-0.
- [145] H. Sauerwein and M. C. Lassonde. “Intra- and interhemispheric processing of visual information in callosal agenesis”. In: *Neuropsychologia* 21.2 (1983), pp. 167–171. DOI: 10.1016/0028-3932(83)90084-2.
- [146] M. A. Jeeves and P. H. Silver. “Interhemispheric transfer of spatial tactile information in callosal agenesis and partial commissurotomy”. In: *Cortex* 24.4 (1988), pp. 601–604. DOI: 10.1016/S0010-9452(88)80056-X.
- [147] M. Fabri et al. “Contribution of posterior corpus callosum to the interhemispheric transfer of tactile information”. In: *Brain Research. Cognitive Brain Research* 24.1 (2005), pp. 73–80. DOI: 10.1016/j.cogbrainres.2004.12.003.
- [148] W. S. Brown et al. “Bilateral field advantage and evoked potential interhemispheric transmission in commissurotomy and callosal agenesis”. In: *Neuropsychologia* 37.10 (1999), pp. 1165–1180. DOI: 10.1016/S0028-3932(99)00011-1.