# 1. L1 and L2 Loss Functions with Benefits and Tradeoffs

## L2 Loss (Ridge Regression)

- \*\*Objective\*\*:  $\min_{\beta} \|X\beta - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_2^2$  - \*\*Closed-form solution\*\*:  $\beta^* = (X^TX + \lambda I)^{-1}X^Ty$  - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Shrinks coefficients, prevents overfitting, retains all features. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Does not perform feature selection, which reduces interpretability in high-dimensional spaces.

## L1 Loss (Lasso Regression)

- \*\*Objective\*\*:  $\min_{\beta} \|X\beta - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1$  - \*\*No closed-form solution\*\*: Requires iterative optimization (e.g., coordinate descent). - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Performs feature selection by driving some coefficients to zero, improving interpretability. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Excludes features that may still hold important information, may underperform if many features are relevant.

#### 2. Ridge Regularized Least Squares

- \*\*Objective\*\*:  $\|X\beta-y\|_2^2 + \lambda\|\beta\|_2^2$  - \*\*Closed-form solution\*\*:  $\beta^* = (X^TX + \lambda I)^{-1}X^Ty$  - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Regularization avoids overfitting by shrinking coefficients. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Requires careful tuning of  $\lambda$  for the right balance between bias and variance.

### 3. Loss Functions

## Mean Squared Error (MSE)

- \*\*Objective\*\*:  $\min_{\beta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - X_i \beta)^2$  - \*\*Closed-form solution\*\*:  $\beta^* = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$  - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Simple and easy to compute. Works well with linear regression. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Sensitive to outliers, which can dominate the loss.

## Cross-Entropy Loss (Logistic Regression)

- \*\*Objective\*\*:  $L(\beta) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i \log(\hat{y}_i) + (1-y_i) \log(1-\hat{y}_i)\right)$  - \*\*No closed-form solution\*\*: Solved iteratively via gradient descent. - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Ideal for binary classification, models probabilities effectively. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: More computationally expensive, requires careful parameter tuning.

### Hinge Loss (Support Vector Machines)

- \*\*Objective\*\*:  $L = \max(0, 1 - y_i X_i \beta)$  - \*\*No closed-form solution\*\*: Solved via convex optimization methods (e.g., quadratic programming). - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Useful in maximizing the margin between classes. - \*\*Trade-off\*\*: Computationally intensive for large datasets.

#### 0-1 Loss (Classification Problems)

- \*\*Objective\*\*:  $L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}(y_i \neq \hat{y}_i)$ , where  $\mathbb{1}$  is an indicator function. - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Easy to understand and directly penalizes misclassification. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Non-convex and discontinuous, so not suitable for gradient-based methods.

## Multi-Class Cross-Entropy Loss

- \*\*Objective\*\*: Used for multi-class classification problems to measure the performance of a classification model whose output is a probability distribution across multiple classes. - \*\*Formula\*\*:  $L = -\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{c=1}^C y_{i,c} \log(\hat{y}_{i,c})$ , where C is the number of classes,  $y_{i,c}$  is the true label (1 if class c is correct, 0 otherwise), and  $\hat{y}_{i,c}$  is the predicted probability for class c. - \*\*Benefits\*\*: - Ideal for problems where each instance can belong to one of several classes (e.g., image classification). - Models probabilistic outcomes effectively, providing confidence scores. - \*\*Tradeoffs\*\*: - More computationally intensive compared to binary cross-entropy due to multiple classes. - Sensitive to class imbalance, which may lead to biased predictions if one class dominates. - \*\*Key Concepts\*\*: This loss encourages models to output probabilities that are as close as possible to the true one-hot encoded labels.

### Binary Cross-Entropy Loss

- \*\*Objective\*\*: Used for binary classification problems, measuring the performance of a classification model whose output is a probability value between 0 and 1. - \*\*Formula\*\*:  $L = -\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i\log(\hat{y}_i) + (1-y_i)\log(1-\hat{y}_i))$ , where  $y_i$  is the true binary label (1 or 0), and  $\hat{y}_i$  is the predicted probability for label 1. - \*\*Benefits\*\*: - Ideal for binary classification tasks like spam detection or medical diagnosis. - \*\*Tradeoffs\*\*: - Can struggle with class imbalance - Sensitive to extreme predictions (very close to 0 or 1) that may cause large gradients, impacting training stability. - \*\*Key Concepts\*\*: This loss penalizes incorrect predictions and emphasizes confidence, making it widely used in classification problems involving two outcomes.

#### Equivalence of Multi-Class and Binary Cross-Entropy Loss

- \*\*Equivalence\*\*: Multi-class cross-entropy simplifies to binary cross-entropy when the number of classes C=2. - \*\*Setup\*\*: For binary classification, we set  $\beta^{(0)}=-\beta$  and  $\beta^{(1)}=\beta$ . - \*\*Multi-Class Cross-Entropy\*\* for two classes:

$$L = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{c=0}^{1} y_{i,c} \log(\hat{y}_{i,c})$$

where  $\hat{y}_{i,0} = \sigma(-\beta^T x_i)$  and  $\hat{y}_{i,1} = \sigma(\beta^T x_i)$ . - \*\*Simplification\*\*: Plugging in the values of  $\hat{y}_{i,0}$  and  $\hat{y}_{i,1}$ :

$$L = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( y_i \log(\sigma(\beta^T x_i)) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \sigma(\beta^T x_i)) \right)$$

This is the \*\*Binary Cross-Entropy Loss\*\*:

$$L = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i \log(\hat{y}_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - \hat{y}_i))$$

- \*\*Conclusion\*\*: Multi-class cross-entropy for two classes reduces to binary cross-entropy when  $\beta^{(0)} = -\beta$  and  $\beta^{(1)} = \beta$ .

#### 4. Gaussian Naive Bayes

- \*\*MAP\*\*:  $\operatorname{argmax}_y\left(p(y|x) = \frac{p(x|y)p(y)}{p(x)}\right)$  - \*\*Likelihood\*\*:  $p(x|y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\exp\left(\frac{-(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$  - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Fast to compute, assumes independence between features. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Assumption of independence is often unrealistic, which can lead to inaccuracies.

## 5. K-Fold Cross Validation

- \*\*Benefits\*\*: Provides better estimates of model performance by using every data point for both training and validation. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Computationally expensive, especially for large datasets or complex models.

## 6. Derivatives for Optimization

- \*\*Gradient\*\*:  $\nabla f(x) = \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}\right]$  - \*\*Chain Rule\*\*:  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ 

# 7. Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

- \*\*Gaussian MLE\*\*:  $\mu_{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i$ ,  $\sigma_{MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \mu)^2$  - \*\*Bernoulli MLE\*\*:  $\mu_{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i$  - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Provides efficient estimators if the assumptions about data distribution are correct. - \*\*Trade-off\*\*: Assumptions about data distribution can lead to poor results if incorrect

#### 8. Gradient Descent

- \*\*Update Rule\*\*:  $\beta \leftarrow \beta - \alpha \nabla L(\beta)$ , where  $\alpha$  is the learning rate. - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Works for large models without closed-form solutions (e.g., neural networks, logistic regression). - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Sensitive to choice of learning rate, can converge slowly or diverge.

### 9. Rayleigh Distribution MLE

- \*\*PDF\*\*:  $p(x) = \frac{x}{\sigma^2} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$  - \*\*MLE for  $\sigma^{**}$ :  $\sigma_{MLE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2n}\sum x_i^2}$  - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Provides a simple estimation method for certain non-negative data. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Assumes a specific distribution, may not generalize well to other data.

## 10. Matrix Calculus Rules

- \*\*Quadratic Form Derivative\*\*:  $\frac{d}{d\beta} \left( \beta^T X \beta \right) = 2X\beta$  - \*\*Logarithmic Derivative\*\*:  $\frac{d}{d\beta} \log f(\beta) = \frac{1}{f(\beta)} \cdot f'(\beta)$ 

#### 11. Bias-Variance Tradeoff

- \*\*Benefits\*\*: Helps in understanding model complexity, assisting in selecting simpler models to reduce variance or more complex models to reduce bias. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: High bias leads to underfitting (poor accuracy), high variance leads to overfitting (poor generalization).

#### 12. Regularization Techniques

# L2 Regularization (Ridge)

- \*\*Objective\*\*: Adds  $\lambda \|\beta\|_2^2$  to the loss function. - \*\*Closed-form solution\*\*:  $\beta^* = (X^TX + \lambda I)^{-1}X^Ty$  - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Prevents overfitting, improves generalizability. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Does not eliminate features, making models harder to interpret in high dimensions.

### L1 Regularization (Lasso)

- \*\*Objective\*\*: Adds  $\lambda \|\beta\|_1$  to the loss function. - \*\*No closed-form solution\*\*: Solved via optimization (e.g., coordinate descent). - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Encourages sparsity, making the model more interpretable. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Can exclude relevant features if not tuned carefully.

#### 13. One-Hot Encoding

- \*\*Definition\*\*: One-hot encoding is a process used to convert categorical data into a binary vector for each category. - \*\*Process\*\*: Each category in the dataset is transformed into a vector where only one element is 1, and the rest are 0s. - \*\*Benefits\*\*: Allows categorical data to be used in machine learning algorithms that require numerical input. - \*\*Tradeoff\*\*: Can lead to high-dimensional datasets when the number of categories is large, which may increase computational costs and memory usage.

#### 14. Distributions

- Laplace \*\*PDF\*\*:  $p(x)=\frac{1}{2b}\exp\left(-\frac{|x-\mu|}{b}\right)$  - Guassian \*\*PDF\*\*:  $p(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}\exp\left(-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$  - Bernoulli \*\*PMF\*\*:  $p(x)=\mu^x(1-\mu)^{1-x}$  - Rayleigh \*\*PDF\*\*:  $p(x)=\frac{x}{\sigma^2}\exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$