

Effects and IO Monad Practice

Christine Rizkallah CSE, UNSW (and Data61) Term 2 2019

1

Recall: The IO Type

A procedure that performs some side effects, returning a result of type a is written as IO a.

Recall: The IO Type

A procedure that performs some side effects, returning a result of type a is written as IO a.

World interpretation

IO a is an abstract type. But we can think of it as a function:

(that's how it's implemented in GHC)

Recall: The IO Type

A procedure that performs some side effects, returning a result of type a is written as IO a.

World interpretation

IO a is an abstract type. But we can think of it as a function:

```
RealWorld -> (RealWorld, a)
```

(that's how it's implemented in GHC)

```
(>>=) :: I0 a -> (a -> I0 b) -> I0 b
pure :: a -> I0 a

getChar :: I0 Char
readLine :: I0 String
putStrLn :: String -> I0 ()
```

4

QuickCheck lets us test IO (and ST) using this special property monad interface:

QuickCheck lets us test IO (and ST) using this special property monad interface:

Example (Testing hash)

Let's test that our IO password hash function works like GHC's non-effectful one.

QuickCheck lets us test IO (and ST) using this special property monad interface:

Example (Testing hash)

Let's test that our IO password hash function works like GHC's non-effectful one.

• This implementation is functionally correct but is it secure?

QuickCheck lets us test IO (and ST) using this special property monad interface:

Example (Testing hash)

Let's test that our IO password hash function works like GHC's non-effectful one.

- This implementation is functionally correct but is it secure?
- Does functional correctness imply security?

QuickCheck lets us test IO (and ST) using this special property monad interface:

Example (Testing hash)

Let's test that our IO password hash function works like GHC's non-effectful one.

- This implementation is functionally correct but is it secure?
- Does functional correctness imply security?
- Could hash still be identity? Is it a good hash function?

Recall: State Monads

```
newtype State s a = State (s -> (s, a))
```

Recall: State Monads

```
newtype State s a = State (s -> (s, a))
```

```
State Monad
```

```
get :: State s s
put :: s -> State s ()
modify :: (s -> s) -> State s ()
```

Recall: State Monads

```
newtype State s a = State (s -> (s, a))
```

```
State Monad

get :: State s s

put :: s -> State s ()

modify :: (s -> s) -> State s ()
```

Here we use a monadic interface to simplify the passing of our state around, so that we don't need to manually plumb data around.

Example (Testing Fibonacci)

Let's test that our stateful Fibonacci function works like the pure one.

Example (Testing Fibonacci)

Let's test that our stateful Fibonacci function works like the pure one.

 Does the performance of the abstract model matter when testing?

Example (Testing Fibonacci)

Let's test that our stateful Fibonacci function works like the pure one.

- Does the performance of the abstract model matter when testing?
- But shouldn't our abstract model be as abstract as possible?

Example (Testing Fibonacci)

Let's test that our stateful Fibonacci function works like the pure one.

- Does the performance of the abstract model matter when testing?
- But shouldn't our abstract model be as abstract as possible?
- This is a cost that testing incurs as opposed to formal verification

Homework

- New exercise out, due Tuesday next week.
- 2 Last week's quiz is due on Friday.
- This week's quiz is due the following Friday.
- O Note: Assignment 2 released next week!