

# EC321: Problem Set 6 Question 1

Johannes Boehm

London School of Economics

13 July 2011

# Question 1: Debt deflation with credit frictions

Kiyotaki-Moore model of credit markets:

- **(a) Derive farmer's demand for capital.**
- Budget constraint of a farmer is

$$c_{f,t} = a_t k_{f,t-1} + q_t k_{f,t-1} - (1+r)b_{f,t-1} - q_t k_{f,t} + b_{f,t}$$

where  $c_{f,t}$  is farmer's consumption,  $k_{f,t}$  is capital owned at the end of period  $t$ ,  $b_{f,t}$  is amount borrowed by farmers between  $t$  and  $t+1$ , and  $q_t$  is the price of capital at time  $t$ .

- Farmer's collateral constraint:

$$(1+r)b_{f,t} \leq q_{t+1} k_{f,t}$$

This must bind (see lecture notes).

- Marketability constraint:

$$c_{f,t} \geq (1-\sigma)a_t k_{f,t-1}$$

i.e. at most a fraction  $\sigma$  of output  $a_t k_{f,t-1}$  can be sold. Must also bind in equilibrium.

- Plug the two binding constraints into the budget constraint to eliminate  $b_{f,t}$  and  $c_{f,t}$ . We get

$$k_{f,t} = \frac{\sigma a_t k_{f,t-1} + q_t k_{f,t-1} - (1+r)b_{f,t-1}}{q_t - \frac{q_{t+1}}{1+r}} \equiv \frac{n_t}{d_t}$$

The numerator is net worth  $n_t$ : value of capital he owns,  $q_t k_{f,t-1}$ , plus current income net of consumption,  $\sigma a_t k_{f,t-1}$ , minus debt repayments,  $(1+r)b_{f,t-1}$ . The denominator is the down-payment required to purchase a unit of capital: the direct cost of capital is  $q_t$ , but each unit of capital can act as collateral for a maximum amount of borrowing  $q_{t+1}/(1+r)$ .

- **(b) Derive an expression for the farmer's leverage ratio, i.e. the ratio of the value of capital goods to their net worth, in the steady state.**
- Leverage ratio is

$$\frac{q_t k_{f,t}}{n_t} = \frac{q_t}{d_t} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{q_{t+1}}{q_t} \frac{1}{1+r}}$$

and in steady state the price of capital  $q_t$  is constant  $q$ ,

$$\frac{q k_f}{n} = \frac{1+r}{r}$$

- (c) Suppose there is an unexpected decrease in the price level of goods, which increases the total value of the repayment  $B_{f,t-1} = (1+r)b_{f,t-1}$ . What is the direct effect of a 1% change in  $B_{f,t-1}$  from its steady state level on the amount of capital  $k_{f,t}$  purchased by farmers?
- Start with the definition of net worth:

$$n_t = \sigma a_t k_{f,t-1} + q_t k_{f,t-1} - (1+r)b_{f,t-1}$$

- Since productivity  $a$  is not changing, we have  $\Delta a = 0$ , and

$$\Delta n_t = \Delta q_t k_{f,t-1} - \Delta B_{f,t-1}.$$

- Since  $k_{f,t} = n_t / d_t$ ,

$$\Delta n_t \approx k_{f,t} \Delta d_t + \Delta k_{f,t} d_t.$$

- From the lecture notes,  $d_t = \mu_{t+1} / (1+r)$  where  $\mu_{t+1}$  is the marginal product of capital of the gatherers, and  $\mu_{t+1} = aG'(\bar{K} - k_{f,t})$ , with  $\bar{K}$  the exogenous supply of capital.

- Denote by  $\eta$  the elasticity of  $k_{f,t}$  with respect to  $d_t$ ,

$$\eta = \frac{k_{f,t}}{d_t} \frac{\partial d_t}{\partial k_{f,t}}$$

so approximately

$$\Delta d_t = \eta \frac{d}{k_f} \Delta k_{f,t}.$$

- Substitute this into the equations above,

$$\Delta k_{f,t} \approx \frac{\Delta n_t}{(1 + \eta) d}$$

and, substituting for  $\Delta n_t$ , (omit time subscripts since we're in steady state)

$$\frac{\Delta k_{f,t}}{k_f} \approx \frac{1}{1 + \eta} \left( \frac{\Delta q_t}{d} - \frac{\Delta B_{f,t-1}}{k_f d} \right)$$



$$\frac{\Delta k_{f,t}}{k_f} \approx \frac{1}{1+\eta} \left( \frac{\Delta q_t}{d} - \frac{\Delta B_{f,t-1}}{k_f d} \right)$$

and using the collateral constraint (binding)

$$B_f \frac{d}{q} = k_f d$$

and  $d = (r/(1+r))q$ ,

$$\frac{\Delta k_{f,t}}{k_f} \approx \frac{1}{1+\eta} \frac{1+r}{r} \left( \frac{\Delta q_t}{q} - \frac{\Delta B_{f,t-1}}{B_f} \right)$$

$$\frac{\Delta k_{f,t}}{k_f} \approx \frac{1}{1+\eta} \frac{1+r}{r} \left( \frac{\Delta q_t}{q} - \frac{\Delta B_{f,t-1}}{B_f} \right)$$

**Interpretation:** if  $B_{f,t-1}$  increases by one percent, then  $k_{f,t}$  decreases by  $\frac{1}{1+\eta} \frac{1+r}{r}$  percent. If  $q_t$  increases by one percent, then  $k_{f,t}$  increases by  $\frac{1}{1+\eta} \frac{1+r}{r}$  percent.

- Higher leverage ratio  $(1+r)/r$  means capital purchases more elastic w.r.t asset prices and real value of debt!



- **(d) What would happen if a fall in the demand for capital goods led to further deflation?**
- This model does not have a Phillips curve, so we need to think outside the model.
- Fall in demand for capital goods causes downward pressure on prices (think: NKPC), this increases the real value of debt.
- Net worth lower, thereby reducing capital demand (collateral constraint). And so on.
- This multiplier effect is in addition to the financial accelerator effect (which goes via  $q$ ), but only if contracts are in nominal terms.