## EC321: Problem Set 4

Johannes Boehm

London School of Economics

12 July 2011

## Q2 Optimal Monetary Policy

Central bank minimizes

$$L_t = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \pi_t - \pi^* \right)^2 + a x_t^2 \right]$$

- Quadratic loss function (symmetric!). Parameter a governs relative weight of the output target.
- What affects inflation target  $\pi^*$ ?
  - Shoe-leather costs, supports deflation
  - Avoiding menu costs, supports price stability
  - Avoiding relative price distortions, supports price stability
  - ullet Upward bias in measured inflation: supports  $\pi^*>0$
  - Lack of indexation in tax system: supports price stability
  - Avoid risk of a liquidity trap (zero lower bound on  $i_t$ ), supports  $\pi^*>0$
  - Downward nominal wage rigidity, supports price stability

• Let  $\pi^* = 0$ . Central bank minimizes

$$L_t = rac{1}{2}\left[\pi_t^2 + \mathit{ax}_t^2
ight]$$

subject to NKPC and IS

$$\pi_t = E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t + u_t$$

$$x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - \sigma(i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \hat{r}_t)$$

In period t we learn that from t+1 onwards the cost-push shock will be higher:

$$u_t = 0$$
,  $u_{t+1} = u_{t+2} = \cdots = \bar{u} > 0$ 

• Solve optimal policy problem in period t+1 (periods t+2 etc will be the same), this gives us  $\pi_{t+1}$ , then go back to period t and use this as  $E_t\pi_{t+1}$ .

$$\max_{\mathbf{x}_{t+1}, \pi_{t+1}} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \pi_{t+1}^2 + a \mathbf{x}_{t+1}^2 \right]$$
 s.t.  $\pi_{t+1} = E_{t+1} \pi_{t+2} + \kappa \mathbf{x}_{t+1} + \bar{u}$ 

First-order condition:

$$\frac{\partial L_{t+1}}{\partial x_{t+1}} = \kappa \pi_{t+1} + a x_{t+1} = 0$$

• Inflation is entirely forward-looking, and periods t+1, t+2, ... are exactly the same, therefore

$$\pi_{t+1} = \pi_{t+2} = \pi_{t+3} = \cdots$$

and  $\pi_{t+1} = E_{t+1}\pi_{t+2}$ . Plugging this into the NKPC:

$$x_{t+1} = -\frac{1}{\kappa}\bar{u}$$

Use this in the first-order condition to get

$$\pi_{t+1} = -\frac{\mathsf{a}}{\kappa} \mathsf{x}_{t+1} = \frac{\mathsf{a}}{\kappa^2} \bar{\mathsf{u}}$$

- 4 ロ ト 4 個 ト 4 種 ト 4 種 ト - 種 - からぐ

• Having found  $\pi_{t+1}$ , we have also found  $E_t\pi_{t+1}$ ,

$$E_t \pi_{t+1} = \pi_{t+1} = \frac{\mathsf{a}}{\kappa^2} \bar{u}$$

so we can use this in the period t problem:

$$\max L_t = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \pi_t^2 + a x_t^2 \right]$$
  
s.t.  $\pi_t = E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t + 0$ 

• The first-order condition is again

$$\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial x_t} = \kappa \pi_t + \mathsf{a} x_t = 0$$

Two equations, two unknowns ( $E_t \pi_{t+1}$  is known). Solve for  $\pi_t$  and  $x_t$ :

$$x_t = -rac{a}{a+\kappa^2}rac{1}{\kappa}ar{u}, \qquad \pi_t = rac{a}{a+\kappa^2}rac{a}{\kappa^2}ar{u}$$

Optimal policy spreads burden of cost-push shock over output and inflation.

• (graph)

## THE VERY IMPORTANT SLIDE

- How to solve optimal policy problems (minimize loss fct subject to NKPC, IS):
- To solve for optimal  $x_t$ ,  $\pi_t$  you need three components
  - The first-order condition. Plug NKPC into objective function and take first-order conditions w.r.t. x<sub>t</sub> to get it.
  - The NKPC. You have that.
  - Expectations (particularly  $E_t\pi_{t+1}$ ). This is the tricky bit. You might need to solve the optimal policy problem in the next period to get  $\pi_{t+1}$ , then take expectations  $E_t$  of that. Or, make an educated guess and verify it afterwards.
- Once you have these three components, plug expectations into the NKPC, then you have two equations (FOC and NKPC) in two variables  $x_t$  and  $\pi_t$ . Solve for this.
- If the question asks you to solve for the actual optimal interest rate  $i_t$ , you then need to plug the optimal  $x_t$  together with expectations  $E_t \pi_{t+1}$  and  $E_t x_{t+1}$  into the IS curve and solve for  $i_t$ . That should be easy once you have solved the above.

## Q3 Optimal Monetary Policy with persistent CP shocks

Central bank minimizes

$$L_t = rac{1}{2}\left[\pi_t^2 + \mathsf{a} \mathsf{x}_t^2
ight]$$

subject to the NKPC and IS curves,

$$\pi_t = E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t + u_t 
x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - \sigma(i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \hat{r}_t).$$

All variables in percentage (log) deviations from steady state.

• (a) Why can we regard the CB as setting the output gap  $x_t$ ? Nominal interest rate only appears in the IS curve, so there is a one-to-one relationship between interest rate  $i_t$  and output gap  $x_t$ . So we can say the CB is setting  $x_t$  optimally (actually get optimal  $i_t$  from the IS curve). Only constraint is NKPC.

 (b) Find the first-order condition for optimal policy (under **discretion).** Plug NKPC for  $\pi_t$  into the objective function, take the derivative w.r.t  $x_t$  and set it equal to zero: (as in the previous question)

$$\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial x_t} = \kappa \pi_t + a x_t = 0 \tag{1}$$

- (c) + (d) Assume shock is unpredictable, i.e.  $E_t u_{t+1} = 0$ . Find the optimal policy  $i_t$  and the implied  $\pi_t$  and  $x_t$ . Again, we first assume that the CB can control  $x_t$  perfectly, then calculate  $\pi_t$  and  $x_t$ under the optimal policy and then finally solve for the optimal  $i_t$  using the IS equation.
- Start off by guessing that  $E_t \pi_{t+1}$ . We will later verify that this is indeed the case.
- Substitute the first-order condition (1) into the NKPC to get

$$\pi_t = 0 - \kappa \frac{\kappa}{a} \pi_t + u_t$$

and solve for  $\pi_t$ , and then use this to get  $x_t$  from the FOC

$$\pi_t = rac{a}{a + \kappa^2} u_t, \qquad x_t = -rac{\kappa}{a + \kappa^2} u_t.$$

Problem Set 4 12 July 2011 8 / 12

Johannes Boehm (LSE, CEP)

$$\pi_t = \frac{a}{a + \kappa^2} u_t, \qquad x_t = -\frac{\kappa}{a + \kappa^2} u_t \tag{2}$$

• Period t + 1 is exactly the same as period t (apart from the realization of the u shock, of course), so

$$\pi_{t+1} = \frac{a}{a + \kappa^2} u_{t+1}, \qquad x_{t+1} = -\frac{\kappa}{a + \kappa^2} u_{t+1}.$$

Taking expectations, and noting that  $E_t u_{t+1} = 0$  (see text),

$$E_t \pi_{t+1} = \frac{a}{a + \kappa^2} E_t u_{t+1} = 0, \qquad E_t x_{t+1} = -\frac{\kappa}{a + \kappa^2} E_t u_{t+1} = 0.$$

So we have verified that indeed  $E_t \pi_{t+1} = 0$ .

• Optimal policy  $i_t$ : plug the solution (2) together with  $E_t \pi_{t+1} = E_t x_{t+1} = 0$  into the IS curve

$$x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - \sigma(i_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - \hat{r}_t)$$

to get

$$i_t = \hat{r}_t + \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{\kappa}{a + \kappa^2} u_t.$$

- (e) Assume the cost-push shock is persistent, i.e.  $E_t u_{t+1} = \delta u_t$ , where  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Guess that in equilibrium  $\pi_t = \psi u_t$ , for some coefficient  $\psi$ , and find inflation  $\pi_t$  and output gap  $x_t$  under optimal policy.
- Note that the first-order condition is the same as above, it does not depend on  $E_t u_{t+1}$  (try it! good exercise!), so

$$\kappa \pi_t + a x_t = 0.$$

• Guess  $\pi_t = \psi u_t$  and plug this into the FOC to get

$$x_t = -\frac{\kappa}{a}\pi_t = -\frac{\kappa}{a}\psi u_t$$

Next period:  $\pi_{t+1} = \psi u_{t+1}$ , so

$$E_t \pi_{t+1} = \psi E_t u_{t+1} = \psi \delta u_t$$

Plug all this into the NKPC to get

$$\pi_t = E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t + u_t = \psi \delta u_t - \frac{\kappa^2}{a} \psi u_t + u_t = \left( \psi \delta - \frac{\kappa^2}{a} \psi + 1 \right) u_t$$

$$\pi_t = \left(\psi\delta - rac{\kappa^2}{\mathsf{a}}\psi + 1
ight)u_t$$

• But notice also that  $\pi_t = \psi u_t$ , so the coefficients of  $u_t$  in the two equations must be the same! Thus,

$$\psi = \psi \delta - rac{\kappa^2}{\mathsf{a}} \psi + 1$$

Solve for  $\psi$  to obtain

$$\psi = \frac{\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a} - \delta \mathsf{a} + \kappa^2}$$

so this means that

$$\pi_t = \psi u_t = \frac{\mathsf{a}}{\mathsf{a} - \delta \mathsf{a} + \kappa^2} u_t, \qquad \mathsf{x}_t = -\frac{\kappa}{\mathsf{a} - \delta \mathsf{a} + \kappa^2} u_t$$

- (f) Calculate the loss function  $L_t$  as a function of  $\delta$ . Increasing or decreasing in  $\delta$ ? Intuition?
- Plug optimal solution  $x_t$ ,  $\pi_t$  into the loss function

$$L_t = rac{1}{2}\left[\pi_t^2 + \mathsf{a} \mathsf{x}_t^2
ight]$$

and do some tedious simplifications to get

$$L_t = \frac{\mathsf{a}}{2} \left( \frac{\mathsf{a} + \kappa^2}{\left( (1 - \delta) \, \mathsf{a} + \kappa^2 \right)^2} \right) u_t^2$$

This is increasing in  $\delta$ . Persistent cost-push shock means higher inflation for a longer period of time, adverse shift of the NKPC (higher inflation expectations  $\rightarrow$  higher current inflation).

• GOOD LUCK FOR THE EXAM! (and don't panic!)