Whole Exome Sequencing Reveals a Novel Mutation in *CUL7* in a Patient with an Undiagnosed Growth Disorder

Andrew Dauber, MD, MMSc[1,2], Joan Stoler, MD[3], Eliana Hechter, PhD[2], Jason Safer, BS[1], and Joel N. Hirschhorn, MD, PhD[1,2,3,4,5]

We present the case of a 19-year-old man with a growth disorder, which was undefined, despite extensive evaluation. Whole exome sequencing demonstrated a novel homozygous frameshift mutation in *CUL7*, one of the causative genes of 3-M syndrome. We discuss the utility of exome sequencing in diagnosing rare disorders. *(J Pediatr 2013;162:202-4)*

See editorial, p 10

The proband was the second child born to Irish parents. He was born at 39 weeks' gestation with a birth weight of 1850 g (−3.7 SDS) and length of 33 cm (−6.8 SDS). He had difficulty feeding and subsequent poor growth, resulting in gastrostomy tube placement at age 17 months, which was removed at age 3 years. His head circumference was always large for his age (range +1.9 to +2.4 SDS), but cognitive development was normal. Given his severe short stature and dysmorphic features, he was assumed to have a syndromic growth disorder, although his clinical features did not suggest a specific diagnosis. He had normal insulin-like growth factor 1 and insulin-like growth factor binding protein 3 levels, as well as an extensive genetic workup, with normal results (**Table I**). Multiple skeletal surveys were performed throughout his life but did not yield a distinct diagnosis despite evaluation by international experts. During one evaluation, he was noted to have gracile-appearing long bones at age 15 months. He was treated with growth hormone for a period of ∼5 years with a mild, transient increase in growth velocity. His history is also notable for significant scoliosis requiring surgical repair at age 14 years, delayed dentition, and tonsillectomy and tympanostomy tube placement as a toddler for frequent otitis media. In addition, he had testicular maldescent and inguinal hernias requiring surgical repair. There were no other affected family members. Physical and radiographic features are depicted in the **Figure** and **Table II** (available at www.jpeds.com).

This study was approved by the Children's Hospital Boston Institutional Review Board, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants. Whole exome sequencing of the proband, his brother, and both parents was performed at the Broad Institute. Hybrid selection was performed using Agilent's SureSelect Human All Exon Kit v2 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, California). We sequenced the 2 samples using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform (Illumina Inc, San Diego, California), aligned the resulting reads to the human genome version 19 reference genome with Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (http://bio-bwa.sourceforge.net/)[1]; applied Genome Analysis Toolkit (http://www.broadinstitute.org/gatk),[2] base quality score recalibration, and indel realignment; and performed single nucleotide polymorphism and indel discovery and genotyping across all samples simultaneously using variant quality score recalibration.[3] Variants were annotated for functional effect using SnpEff 2.0.5 (http://snpeff.sourceforge.net/). Because individuals with phenotypes of this severity are extremely uncommon, we assumed that if there were underlying causal genetic variants, they would also be quite rare. We filtered out all variants with minor allele frequency >1% in the 1000 Genomes Project (February 2012 release),[4] the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute exome variant server,[5] or the 50 HapMap control exomes whose variants were called in conjunction with our subject's variants.

A priori, we did not know whether our subject's condition was due to a de novo dominant or an inherited recessive disorder. To assess for the possibility of a dominant variant, we searched for rare de novo nonsynonymous variants and found one such missense variant in *FAM134A*. To investigate the recessive model, we searched for autosomal genes containing either 1 homozygous or 2 heterozygous rare nonsynonymous variants. We found 9 genes meeting these criteria. Using the parental exome data, we were able to exclude 7 of these genes because both rare variants were present in 1 of the 2 parents, indicating that the proband is not a compound heterozygote. In addition to *FAM134A*, this left 2 candidate genes, *CUL7* and *EPG5*, with *CUL7* clearly being the causal gene as it is known to cause the rare primordial growth disorder 3-M syndrome (MIM 273750), which fits our subject. We identified a novel homozygous frameshift

From the [1]Division of Endocrinology, Children's Hospital Boston;[2]Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute;[3]Division of Genetics,[4]Center for Basic and Translational Obesity Research, Children's Hospital Boston; and [5]Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

Supported by National Institutes of Health (5K12HD052896 to A.D.), Genentech Center for Clinical Research in Endocrinology (fellowship grant to A.D.), March of Dimes (6-FY09-507 to J.H.), Harvard Catalyst, the Harvard Clinical and Translational Science Center (NIH award UL1 RR 025758), and financial contributions from Harvard University and its affiliated academic health care centers. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of Harvard Catalyst, Harvard University, its affiliated academic health care centers, the National Center for Research Resources, or the National Institutes of Health. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2012.07.055

Table I. Proband's diagnostic genetic testing

Genetic test	Cost
Karyotype	$315
Chromosomal microarray	$1595
PTPN11 gene sequencing (Noonan syndrome)	$1400
SOS1 gene sequencing (Noonan syndrome)	$2400
FGFR3 mutational analysis (achondroplasia/ hypochondroplasia)	$310
SHOX gene sequencing	$990
Russell-Silver testing (H19 methylation and uniparental disomy of chromosome 7)	$900
Total cost of genetic testing performed	$7910
Clinical exome sequencing	$7000-$7900

Costs are based on current pricing at various commercial laboratories in the US. Research exome sequencing costs ~$1000.

mutation in *CUL7* (NM_014780.4 c.2836_2839dupATAG) resulting in loss of the terminal 740 amino acids (NP_055595.2 p.Arg948Aspfs*12). The variant was confirmed by Sanger sequencing and was present in the heterozygous state in both parents and the brother.

As noted, *CUL7* is 1 of 3 genes (*CUL7*, *OBSL1*, and *CCDC8*) responsible for causing 3-M syndrome,[6] a very rare primordial growth disorder presenting with severe prenatal and postnatal growth retardation, relative macrocephaly, normal intelligence, characteristic facial features, and radiographic findings of long, slender bones, tall vertebral bodies, and small pelvic bones[7] (Table II). 3-M syndrome was first described in 1975,[8] and a recent article stressed the need for increased recognition of this disorder as a cause of severe short stature.[9] 3-M syndrome shares many features with Russell-Silver syndrome including prenatal and postnatal growth retardation with normal head size and intelligence.[10] Despite the description of 3-M syndrome >30 years ago, there have been <100 families reported in the medical literature.[11] Despite evaluation by multiple experienced geneticists, endocrinologists, and radiologists and the review of our patient's radiographs by international experts, his diagnosis remained elusive. This case demonstrates the potential clinical utility of whole exome sequencing for the diagnosis of rare Mendelian conditions. Whole exome sequencing facilitated a rapid presumptive diagnosis in this case, with the causal variant being identified within 1 hour after the receipt of the variant calls, by systematic filtering and interpretation of the few remaining candidate variants in light of the patient's clinical picture. This diagnosis has profound clinical ramifications for our subject. First, he is now aware that his condition is due to a recessive condition and, thus, the likelihood of passing it on to his children is negligible. Second, the diagnosis led to a reexamination of his testicular volume (5 mL, and 8 mL as an adult) and the realization that he has hypergonadotrophic hypogonadism (luteinizing hormone 12.99 IU/L [normal 1.4-11.1], and follicle-stimulating hormone 21.96 IU/L [normal 1.3-12.7]). Referral was made to urology and further discussions regarding fertility preservation options are ongoing. There was 1 prior report of decreased testicular volume and elevated follicle-stimulating hormone level in 3 male subjects with 3-M syndrome but the molecular etiology was not known.[12] This is the first documented case of hypergonadotrophic hypogonadism in a subject with a *CUL7* defect.

Whole exome sequencing has the potential to be cost-saving and more effective compared with performing multiple targeted genetic tests when faced with a puzzling clinical presentation that is suggestive of a monogenic disorder.[13] For our patient, targeted genetic testing alone cost almost $8000 and did not reveal the diagnosis. In addition, the patient had multiple physician visits for diagnostic evaluation, including multiple radiologic studies. Clinical exome sequencing for



Figure. Subject at 19 years of age. Many of the typical facial features of 3-M syndrome, such as triangular face, prominent mouth and lips, pointed chin, and mid-face hypoplasia, are more prominent in younger children, making the syndrome more difficult to diagnose in adulthood.

a similar cost to his genetic testing would have revealed the diagnosis in a much more expeditious fashion. We believe that this case is an excellent example of how exomic and potentially genomic sequencing technology can revolutionize the way genetic diagnoses are made, leading to more rapid and less expensive evaluations. Of course, exome sequencing will not resolve every undiagnosed condition. Many phenotypes are not caused by single-gene defects in known or likely pathogenic genes. Even where a single-gene defect is responsible, the mutations may escape detection or may lie in genes that have not yet been connected to the clinical phenotype. There are many other issues surrounding the interpretation of exome sequence results, including the discovery of incidental genetic findings that may have implications for a patient's health.[14] Despite these challenges, it is increasingly clear that exome sequencing will be of substantial benefit when applied to the right patients. Our case demonstrates the power of this new technology to dramatically shorten the diagnostic odyssey. ■

Submitted for publication May 8, 2012; last revision received Jun 21, 2012; accepted Jul 26, 2012.

Reprint requests: Andrew Dauber, MD, MMSc, Division of Endocrinology, Children's Hospital Boston, Boston, MA 02115. E-mail: andrew.dauber@childrens.harvard.edu

References

1. Li H, Durbin R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics 2009;25:1754-60.
2. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res 2010;20:1297-303.
3. DePristo MA, Banks E, Poplin R, Garimella KV, Maguire JR, Hartl C, et al. A framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data. Nat Genet 2011;43:491-8.
4. A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. Nature 2010;467:1061-73.
5. National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Exome variant server [homepage on the Internet]. Seattle, WA; c2012 [cited 2012 May 5]. Available from http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/.
6. Huber C, Dias-Santagata D, Glaser A, O'Sullivan J, Brauner R, Wu K, et al. Identification of mutations in CUL7 in 3-M syndrome. Nat Genet 2005;37:1119-24.
7. Holder-Espinasse M. 3-M syndrome. In GeneReviews. Seattle (WA): National Center for Biotechnology Information; 2010.
8. Miller JD, McKusick VA, Malvaux P, Temtamy S, Salinas C. The 3-M syndrome: a heritable low birthweight dwarfism. Birth Defects Orig Artic Ser 1975;11:39-47.
9. Al-Dosari MS, Al-Shammari M, Shaheen R, Faqeih E, Alghofely MA, Boukai A, et al. 3M syndrome: an easily recognizable yet underdiagnosed cause of proportionate short stature. J Pediatr 2012;161:139-45.
10. Akawi NA, Ali BR, Hamamy H, Al-Hadidy A, Al-Gazali L. Is autosomal recessive Silver-Russel syndrome a separate entity or is it part of the 3-M syndrome spectrum? Am J Med Genet A 2011;155A:1236-45.
11. Hanson D, Murray PG, Black GC, Clayton PE. The genetics of 3-M syndrome: unravelling a potential new regulatory growth pathway. Horm Res Paediatr 2011;76:369-78.
12. van der Wal G, Otten BJ, Brunner HG, van der Burgt I. 3-M syndrome: description of six new patients with review of the literature. Clin Dysmorphol 2001;10:241-52.
13. Bamshad MJ, Ng SB, Bigham AW, Tabor HK, Emond MJ, Nickerson DA, et al. Exome sequencing as a tool for Mendelian disease gene discovery. Nat Rev Genet 2011;12:745-55.
14. Lantos JD, Artman M, Kingsmore SF. Ethical considerations associated with clinical use of next-generation sequencing in children. J Pediatr 2011;159:879-80.e1.

Table II. Clinical and radiographic features of 3-M syndrome

	Typical patient with 3-M syndrome	Current patient
Physical examination findings		
Birth weight	Low	1850 g (−3.7 SDS)
Birth length	Severely low	33 cm (−6.8 SDS)
Postnatal growth	Severely retarded, final height typically −5 to −6 SDS	Adult height 146.6 cm (−4.17 SDS), weight 51.4 kg (−2.27 SDS)
Body proportions	Normal	Upper-to-lower segment ratio normal at 0.93
Head size	Relatively large compared to body size	Large (adult head circumference +2.4 SDS)
Intelligence	Normal	Normal
Characteristic facies	Triangular face, hypoplastic midface, full eyebrows, fleshy nose tip, long philtrum, prominent mouth and lips, and pointed chin	Mildly depressed midface, long philtrum, asymmetric forehead, and mild retrognathia Triangular face more prominent as a child
Feet	Prominent heels	Prominent heels and pes cavus
Joint mobility	Increased joint laxity	Hyperextensible thumb but no increased laxity at wrists, elbows, or knees
Hypogonadism	Small testicular size	Small testicular size (5 mL, and 8 mL as adult) with normal phallus and Tanner 5 pubic hair
Radiographic findings		
Vertebral bodies	Tall with reduced anteroposterior and transverse diameter	Normal
Long bones	Slender with widened metaphyses	Long “gracile” bones without widened metaphyses
Pelvic bones	Small	Normal

For a more extensive description, see the review by Holder-Espinasse.[7]