

Student Evaluation of Teaching - Form CSci TA Evaluation

Items	Options	Responses		
		No.	Pct	
1. The TA was approachable, friendly, and polite during his or her office hour(s). Mean = 4.6 S.D. = 0.6 Median = 5.0 Responses to item = 16 (94%) *	Not Applicable	0	1	6
	Unsatisfactory	1	0	0
	Marginal	2	0	0
	Adequate	3	1	6
	Good	4	4	24
	Excellent	5	11	65
2. The TA possessed a good grasp of the subject material. Mean = 4.8 S.D. = 0.5 Median = 5.0 Responses to item = 17 (100%) *	Not Applicable	0	0	0
	Unsatisfactory	1	0	0
	Marginal	2	0	0
	Adequate	3	1	6
	Good	4	1	6
	Excellent	5	15	88
3. The TA was helpful during his or her office hour(s). Mean = 4.5 S.D. = 0.8 Median = 5.0 Responses to item = 13 (76%) *	Not Applicable	0	4	24
	Unsatisfactory	1	0	0
	Marginal	2	0	0
	Adequate	3	2	12
	Good	4	3	18
	Excellent	5	8	47
4. The TA possessed good communication skills. Mean = 4.8 S.D. = 0.6 Median = 5.0 Responses to item = 17 (100%) *	Not Applicable	0	0	0
	Unsatisfactory	1	0	0
	Marginal	2	0	0
	Adequate	3	1	6
	Good	4	2	12
	Excellent	5	14	82
5. The TA was well-prepared and organized. Mean = 4.6 S.D. = 0.6 Median = 5.0 Responses to item = 17 (100%) *	Not Applicable	0	0	0
	Unsatisfactory	1	0	0
	Marginal	2	0	0
	Adequate	3	1	6
	Good	4	4	24
	Excellent	5	12	71
6. The TA presented the material clearly. Mean = 4.6 S.D. = 0.6 Median = 5.0 Responses to item = 17 (100%) *	Not Applicable	0	0	0
	Unsatisfactory	1	0	0
	Marginal	2	0	0
	Adequate	3	1	6
	Good	4	5	29
	Excellent	5	11	65
7. The TA graded consistently and fairly. Mean = 4.7 S.D. = 0.6 Median = 5.0 Responses to item = 17 (100%) *	Not Applicable	0	0	0
	Unsatisfactory	1	0	0
	Marginal	2	0	0
	Adequate	3	1	6
	Good	4	3	18
	Excellent	5	13	76
8. Overall, how would you rate the TA? Mean = 4.8 S.D. = 0.5 Median = 5.0 Responses to item = 17 (100%) *	Not Applicable	0	0	0
	Unsatisfactory	1	0	0
	Marginal	2	0	0
	Adequate	3	1	6
	Good	4	1	6
	Excellent	5	15	88

* The number of responses does not include those marked as N/A

Student Evaluation of Teaching - Form CSci TA Evaluation

9. What did the TA do especially well?

understood where people were going wrong, got people moving forward well

very friendly and approachable

3 Words: TA OF THE YEAR

He made Scheme understandable. Everything I know about Scheme I learned from John. He was EXTREMELY helpful when I needed extra help.

He explained things well and was able to convey what he meant better than the other TA's.

Being friendly

explaining and joking to make us forget about problems that we are going to ask him

Very good TA. Thanks for a good semester.

quick reviewing, excellent explanation, fun class

explained how things worked and helped me understand different procedures and how they worked. always helpful and available during office hours and lab. made me think about what i was doing wrong and what needed to be changed

when my procedures weren't working. encouraged me to go to class. very smart with the material so he was able to

see what i was doing wrong instantly and explain to me why it was wrong.

excellent TA. very willing to help and had a vast knowledge of the material

10. In what areas does the TA need to improve?

develop an awareness of how students are approaching the problems, relate thier frames of refrence to what experience says.

I wish you could have been the teacher. I would have understood more instead of always been troubling you on weekends.

But thank god you were there though, so three cheers for John the Scheme Master.

Public Speaking

none. He is a good TA to approach

Needs to be cloned.

N/A

nothing. excellent ta. rock on john.jonas.

nothing