Homework 2

Joshua Cragun *

September 2018

^{*}Prof. Savin, Math 3210

Question 1

A complex number z is called *algebraic* if there exists integers $a_0, a_1, ..., a_n$, such that $a_n z^n + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0 = 0$. Prove that the algebraic numbers are countable.

Proof. To begin, first consider all polynomials in the form

$$a_n z^n + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$$

Let \mathbb{F} be the set of all polynomials with integer coefficients and let F_i be a set of all polynomials with integer coefficients of degree i. Then clearly,

$$\mathbb{F} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} F_i$$

And clearly this is a countable union, since the *i*th term can be mapped to i+1 in the natural numbers. Next, consider the mapping $f: F_i \mapsto \mathbb{Z}^{i+1}$:

$$a_i z^i + \dots + a_1 z + a_0 \mapsto (a_0, \dots, a_i)$$

Evidently this defines an bijection on F_i since if any outputs in \mathbb{Z}^{i+1} were the same it would indicate the exact same polynomial (injective) and any $(a_0, ..., a_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^{i+1}$ will corresponds unquiely to a polynomial in F_i (surjective). Further, this shows that F_i is countable since this is a bijection with \mathbb{Z}^{i+1} , which is countable as a consequence of theorem 2.13 in Rutin's *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. Since \mathbb{Z}^{i+1} is countable, there exists an injection $g: \mathbb{Z}^{i+1} \mapsto \mathbb{N}$. Then by taking the composition $g \circ f$ we get an injection from each F_i to \mathbb{N} . Hence, F_i is countable. In addition, \mathbb{F} is countable since it is a countable union of countable sets.

Now, since each F_i is countable it is possible for each F_i to put all of their polynomials $f_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ into a sequence $f_1, f_2, ...$ and so on. By the fundamental theorem of algebra, each f_n has at most i roots. Let $r_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$ correspond to the set of roots of the jth polynomial in $\{f_n\}$. Then we also have the sequence $r_1, r_2, ...$ for each $F_i \subseteq \mathbb{F}$. Define R_i as the union of each r_j derived from an F_i . Each R_i is therefore countable since it is a countable union of countable (or more spectifically, finite) sets. But then clearly the algebraic numbers are the union of all R_i , hence then the algebraic numbers must be countable since they can be expressed as a countable union of countable sets.

Question 2

Prove that the following two (X, d) are metric spaces:

- $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $d((x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)) = \max(|x_1 y_1|, |x_2 y_2|)$
- $X = \mathbb{Z}$ and d(x, x) = 0 or $d(x, y) = \frac{1}{2^n}$, if $x \neq y$, where 2^n is the largest power of 2 dividing x y.

To show if any (X, d) is a metric space, one needs to show three things:

- $d(x,y) \ge 0$ and d(x,y) = 0 iff $x = y, \forall x, y \in X$
- $d(x,y) = d(y,x), \forall x, y \in X$
- $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z), \forall x,y,z \in X$

Part 1: To prove the first property, one must consider two cases:

Case 1: x = y

If x = y, where $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$ then $x_1 = y_1$ and $x_2 = y_2$. Then $d(x, y) = \max(|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|) = \max(|x_1 - x_1|, |x_2 - x_2|) = \max(|0|, |0|) = 0$. Thus, when x = y, d(x, y) = 0.

Case 2: $x \neq y$

Since $x \neq y$, $x_1 \neq y_1$ or $x_2 \neq y_2$. Then $d(x,y) = \max(|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|)$. Without loss of generality, assume that either $x_1 = y_1$ or $x_2 = y_2$. Then one of the arguments in $\max(|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|)$ is zero, however the other argument must be > 0 since the two points are not equal. Therefore then, the output must be that difference which is > 0. Conversely, if neither $x_k = y_k$, k = 1, 2 then both differences will be greater than zero, and therefore the distance will be greater than 0 regardless of which one had the greater difference. Hence, d(x,y) > 0, $d(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$.

For the next property, let x and y be as above.

Then $d(x, y) = \max(|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|)$. Suppose $x_1 - y_1 = n$ and $x_2 - y_2 = m$. Then $y_1 - x_1 = -n$ and $y_2 - x_2 = -m$. However

$$|y_1 - x_1| = |-n| = |n| = |x_1 - y_1|$$

And

$$|y_2 - x_2| = |-m| = |m| = |x_2 - y_2|$$

Therefore $\max(|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|) = \max(|y_1 - x_1|, |y_2 - x_2|) = d(y, x)$. Thus proving the second property.

Lastly, let x, y, and z be in the same form as in the previous parts. Then $d(x, z) = |x_k - z_k|$ where k can be 1 or 2 exclusively. Since d(x, y) = |x - y| is known to form a metic space with \mathbb{R} , it is true that

$$|x_k - z_k| \le |x_k - y_k| + |y_k - z_k|$$

Further, it is also true that

$$|x_k - y_k| \le max(|x_1 - y_1|, |x_2 - y_2|)$$

$$|y_k - z_k| \le max(|y_1 - z_1|, |y_2 - z_2|)$$

Hence, $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$, $\forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Thus this is a metric space, as was to be shown.

Part 2: Proving the first property is very straightforward, as it is given that the distance is 0 if and only if the two integers are the same. Further, if the two integers are not the same there is no integer m such that $\frac{1}{2^m} = 0$ thus no two unequal integers will ever have a distance of zero.

The second part is a similarly quick proof. To start, if x = y, then by definition d(x,y) = 0 = d(y,x). Conversely, if $x \neq y$ then we have if $d(x,y) = \frac{1}{2^n}$. Which implies that $x - y = 2^n \cdot r$ where r is odd and 2^n is the largest power of two that divides x - y. Then we have:

$$x - y = 2^{n} \cdot r$$
$$-(x - y) = -(2^{n} \cdot r)$$
$$y - x = 2^{n}(-r)$$

Hence, 2^n also divides y-x and still is the largest 2^n that divides them since -r is odd. Hence, d(y,x)=d(x,y).

To prove the triangle inequality however proves a bit more complex. If any two or more of the three points are the same it trivial to see that the triangle

inequality is upheld. One must consider two cases when all three points are distinct:

Case 1: Suppose $d(x,y) = \frac{1}{2^n}$ and $d(y,z) = \frac{1}{2^m}$ where $n \neq m$. Then either n > m or n < m. Without loss of generality, assume m > n. Then $x - y = 2^n \cdot r$ and $y - z = 2^n \cdot s$ where r and s are odd. One can add these equations together and get:

$$(x-y) + (y-z) = 2^n r + 2^m s$$

 $x-z = 2^n (r + 2^{m-n} s)$

Where $r+2^{m-n}s$ is odd, hence 2^n is the greatest power of 2 that divides x-z i.e. $d(x,z)=\frac{1}{2^n}$. And it is true that $\frac{1}{2^n}\leq \frac{1}{2^n}+\frac{1}{2^m}$ hence, $d(x,z)\leq d(x,y)+d(y,z)$

Case 2: Suppose $d(x,y) = \frac{1}{2^n} = d(y,z)$. Then $x - y = 2^n r$ and $y - z = 2^n s$. In a similar fashion as in the previous case, one can extrapolate an equation for d(x,z).

$$x - z = 2^n(r+s)$$

Where r + s is even so the expression can be further simplified to

$$x - z = 2^{n+k}q$$

With q being odd. So then we have $d(x,y) + d(y,z) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \ge \frac{1}{2^{n+k}}$ Hence in all cases, $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$. Thus this is a metric space. \square

Question 3

Let (X, d) be a metric space. The closed ball centered at x and of radius r is the set of y such that $d(x, y) \leq r$. Prove that the compliment of the closed ball is an open set in X.

Proof. Let B be a closed ball about x with a radius r. Then the compliment of B, B^c is defined as follows:

$$B^c = \left\{ y \in X | d(x, y) > r \right\}$$

Take any $y \in B^c$. Then d(x, y) > r; call it s. Then s - r > 0, and $B_{open}(y, s - r)$ is a subset of B^c . The reason is that the distance between any point

 $t \in B_{open}(y, s - r)$ and y is less than s - r. So then, the minimum distance between some t and x would be greater than s - (s - r) = r. d(x, t) > r. Hence, all points are exclusively in B^c . Thus by definition, B^c is open. \square

Question 4

Prove that the intersection taken over all closed sets F containing E is the closure of E, \bar{E} ; and in particular \bar{E} is closed.

Proof. To begin, because this is an intersection of closed sets, the intersection is closed i.e. it contains all of its limit points. Note that all the limit points of E are limit points of the intersection as well. This is because each neighborhood of any $x \in \bar{E} \setminus E$ is nonempty, and since each set contains E, the intersection of any neighborhood of x and that set F must also be nonempty. Hence, they are limit points of F and since F is closed, that point must be in every F. Therefore, the intersection must contain \bar{E} .

Next, consider any member of the intersection of closed sets that contain E. It is already known that the intersection contains all points and limit points of E. Consider a point j in the intersection that is not in \bar{E} . Then it must be a point in a closed set F that \bar{E} is a proper subset of. Let s be the minimum distance from j to any point in \bar{E} . Then take the open ball B centered about j with a radius of $\frac{s}{2}$ and subtract it from F. Call this new set F'. Evidently, F' is closed as $F \setminus B = F \cap B^c$. But B^c is closed since B is open, and a finite intersection of closed sets is also closed. Further, F' does not contain j and contains \bar{E} as for any $x \in \bar{E}, d(x,j) \geq s > \frac{s}{2}$ and thus is not part of the open ball subtracted from F. Thus, for every j not in \bar{E} , one can find a closed set that contains E but does not contain j. Therefore any member of the intersection must be a member of \bar{E} .

Hence $\bigcap F = \overline{E}$, and since the intersection defines a closed set, \overline{E} must be closed.

Question 5

Assume a metric space X contains a countable subset X_0 such that the closure of $X_0 = X$. Prove that the collection of balls centered at $x \in X_0$ with rational radii is a countable base for X.

Proof. To begin, it would be easiest to show that the collection of balls centered at $x \in X_0$ with rational radii is countable. Since X_0 is countable, $\exists g: X_0 \mapsto \mathbb{N}$ for each $x \in X_0$ that is injective. Then one can define an injection f from the collection of balls centered at $x \in X_0$ with rational radii to \mathbb{Z}^3 with

$$B(x, \frac{n}{d}) \mapsto (g(x), n, d)$$

And since \mathbb{Z}^3 is countable, $\exists h : \mathbb{Z}^3 \mapsto \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the composition $h \circ g$ defines an injection into \mathbb{N} and therefore must be countable.

Let A be an open set in X. Then $\forall a \in A, \exists B(a,r)$ where r is rational. Although there is no gurantee that $a \in X_0$, because by definition X is a dense set, there is some b in any open ball about a that is in X_0 . Let $b \in B(x, \frac{r}{2})$. Then $B(b, \frac{r}{2})$ is in B(x,r) since for any $c \in B(b, \frac{r}{2}), d(c,b) < \frac{r}{2}$ and $d(b,x) < \frac{r}{2}$ and then $\frac{r}{2} + \frac{r}{2} = r > d(c,b) + d(b,x) \ge d(c,x)$, c must be in B(x,r). And if $B(b,\frac{r}{2}) \subseteq B(x,r) \subseteq A$, then $B(b,\frac{r}{2})$ is in A. Hence, the collection of balls centered at $x \in X_0$ with rational radii is a base for X since this can be done for any point in A.

Thus, since the balls centered at $x \in X_0$ with rational radii are both countable and form a base of X, they must be a countable base for X. \square

Question 6

Show that a convex set in \mathbb{R}^2 is connected.

Proof. This is most easily shown as a proof by contrapositive. Let a set S in \mathbb{R}^2 be disconnected, then

$$S = A \cup B$$

where A and B are separate, i.e. neither contains any element of the other nor their limit points. Then take any segment from [a, b] with $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Then consider two different cases:

Case 1: A and B share at least one limit point

Then, since A and B are seperate, neither contain those limit points. Let α be a shared limit point between A and B. Then by definition, any ball about α with a radius r contains some points in A and B. Then one can find some $(a_1, a_2) \in A$ and $(b_1, b_2) \in B$ such that $a_1 - \alpha = \alpha - b_1$ and $a_2 - \alpha = \alpha - b_2$ (or vice versa) due to the symmetric shape of the ball. Then that segment $[(a_1, a_2), (b_1, b_2)]$ would contain α , but α is in neither A nor B, hence these sets are not convex.

Case 2: A and B share no limits points.

Without loss of generality, take any ball centered about some $a \in A$ with radius r. Then increase the ball's radius until for some limit point of A called α , $d(a,\alpha)=r$. This is the nearest limit point to a. No matter what α is not a limit point of b, there is some s such that $B(\alpha,s)\cap B=\emptyset$. Because of the symmetrical shape of the ball, one of the line segments of length s from α is colinear to the line segment from $[a,\alpha]$. But clearly the union of those two segments contains elements in neither A, nor B. Hence, A and B cannot be convex.