

Homework Assignment 3

Joshua Cragun ^{*}
Prof. Gordan Savin, MATH 3210
October 2018

^{*}u1025691

Question 1

Let x_n be a sequence of positive real numbers such that $\lim_n x_n = x > 0$. Prove that

- $\lim_n x_n^2 = x^2$.
- $\lim_n \sqrt{x_n} = \sqrt{x}$.

Part 1: Using the identity

$$x_n^2 - x^2 = (x_n - x)^2 + 2x(x_n - x)$$

Where for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an integer N such that for any $m \geq N$, $|x_m - x| < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$. This implies that $|(x_n - x)^2| < \varepsilon$ and therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - x)^2 = 0$. And clearly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2x(x_n - x) = 2x \cdot 0 = 0$$

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^2 - x^2 = 0$ and therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^2 = x^2$$

□

Part 2:

By what was given, one need not consider the cases where $x \leq 0$. If $x > 0$ then there exists an N such that if $m \geq N$, $|x_m - x| < \varepsilon\sqrt{x}$. Then, because this is over positive real numbers,

$$|\sqrt{x_m} - \sqrt{x}| = \frac{|x_m - x|}{|\sqrt{x_m} + \sqrt{x}|} < \frac{|x_m - x|}{\sqrt{x}} < \frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}} = \varepsilon$$

Thus $\lim_n \sqrt{x_n} = \sqrt{x}$.

□

Question 2

Define a sequence by $s_1 = 1$ and $s_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_n}}$. Prove that $s_n < 2$ for all n , and that s_n is an increasing sequence. Find the limit.

To begin, one sees immediately that s_n increases between $s_1 = 1$ and $s_2 = \sqrt{3}$. Suppose that s_n is increasing from $n = 1, \dots, m$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} s_m &= \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_{m-1}}} > s_{m-1} \\ \sqrt[4]{2 + \sqrt{s_{m-1}}} &> \sqrt{s_{m-1}} \\ 2 + \sqrt[4]{2 + \sqrt{s_{m-1}}} &> 2 + \sqrt{s_{m-1}} \\ \sqrt{2 + \sqrt[4]{2 + \sqrt{s_{m-1}}}} &= s_{m+1} > \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_{m-1}}} = s_m \end{aligned}$$

Hence $s_{m+1} > s_m$. Thus the sequence is increasing for all $n \geq 1$. Next, suppose that some $s_m \geq 2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &\leq \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_{m-1}}} \\ 4 &\leq 2 + \sqrt{s_{m-1}} \\ 2 &\leq \sqrt{s_{m-1}} \\ 4 &\leq s_{m-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $s_m \geq 2$ then $s_{m-1} \geq 4$. But this contradicts the fact that the sequence is strictly increasing. Thus $s_n < 2$ for all $n \geq 1$. To find the limit of the sequence, let $\lim_n \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_n}} = L$. Then

$$L = \sqrt{\lim_n (2 + \sqrt{s_n})} = \sqrt{2 + \lim_n \sqrt{s_n}} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{\lim_n s_n}} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{L}}$$

Thus the limit will be a solution to the expression above, or when rearranged:

$$L^4 - 4L^2 - L + 4 = 0$$

Which is approximately 1.83118, or in exact form:

$$\frac{1}{3} \left(-1 + \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}(79 - 3\sqrt{249})} + \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{2}(79 + 3\sqrt{249})} \right)$$

Question 3

Let $X = \mathbb{Z}$ and $d(x, x) = 0$ or $d(x, y) = \frac{1}{2^n}$, if $x \neq y$, where 2^n is the largest power of 2 dividing $x - y$. Prove that the following two series are Cauchy. One of them is convergent (find its sum) while the other not (explain).

- $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n$
- $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-2)^n$

To begin, let s denote the first series as s , and let r denote the second. Consider two nonequal partial sums s_n , and s_m . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= 2^0 + 2^1 + \cdots + 2^n \\ s_m &= 2^0 + 2^1 + \cdots + 2^m \end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, assume $m > n$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} s_m - s_n &= 2^{n+1} + \cdots + 2^m \\ &= 2^{n+1}(1 + 2^1 + \cdots + 2^{m-n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the differences between any two nonequal partial sums of degrees m and n with $m > n$ is $\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$. If $n = m$ then the distance is zero, by definition. One can easily see that the same is true for r by simply replacing any 2 in the steps above with (-2) and then factoring out 2^{n+1} at the very end.

With this is it very straightforward to show that both series are Cauchy. Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ one can find a j such that $0 < \frac{1}{2^j} < \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}$. Without loss of generality, take any partial sum of degree $k > j$ of s , then

$$d(s_k, s_j) = \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} < \frac{1}{2^j} < \varepsilon$$

It is very easy to see that this is equally true for r . Hence, both series are Cauchy. \square

Although both are Cauchy, only s is convergent, and it converges to -1. The reason is through considerations of the limit of the similar sequence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n$. One sees that the distance between 0 and any 2^n is $\frac{1}{2^n}$ since 0 is divisible by any integer. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(0, 2^n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} = 0$$

Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n = 0$

Question 4

Question 5

Question 6

Question 7