# Homework Assignment 1

Joshua Cragun \* Prof. Domingo Toledo, MATH 3220 January 2019

<sup>\*</sup>u1025691

## Question 1

**a.)** Let  $f_n(x) = x^n \in \mathcal{C}([0,1])$ . Prove that  $\{f_n\}$  has no convergent subsequence in the norm of  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$ 

*Proof.* First we must note that  $\{f_n\}$  and any of its subsequences converge pointwise to the following function f(x):

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le x < 1 \\ 1 & x = 1 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* First note that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, f_n(0) = 0^n = 0$ , and  $f_n(1) = 1^n = 1$ , hence  $\{f_n\}$  and all of its subsequences converge to f at 0 and 1.

Next, consider all 0 < x < 1. Then for any infinite and monotone increasing subsequence  $s(n) : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  (including the identity function of the natural numbers, or in other words,  $\{f_n\}$  itself.)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f_{s(n)}(x) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n$$

But since 0 < x < 1,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n$  must be convergent; therefore implying that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_{s(n)}(x) = 0$ , thus showing that f(x) is the pointwise limit of  $\{f_n\}$ .

It is immediately clear however that f is not continuous, since if  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , then one cannot find a  $\delta$  such that  $|1 - x| < \delta \rightarrow |f(1) - f(x)| < \epsilon$  since |f(1) - f(x)| = 1 for all  $0 \le x < 1$ . As a result then,  $f(x) \notin \mathcal{C}([0,1])$ , and hence,  $\{f_n\}$  nor any of its subsequences converge in  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$ .

**b.)** Use this to prove that the unit ball  $B = \{f \in \mathcal{C}([0,1]) : ||f|| \le 1\}$  is not compact.

*Proof.* Using the norm  $||f(x)|| = \sup_{x \in [0,1]} (|f(x)|)$ , one can see that for all  $f_i \in \{f_n\}, ||f_i|| = 1$  since each  $f_i$  is monotone increasing with a maximum on [0,1] of  $f_i(1) = 1$ . Hence  $\{f_n\} \in B$ .

However, according to theorem 3.6 in Walter Rudin's *Priciples of Mathematical Analysis*, if  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence in a compact metric space, then some sub-sequence of  $\{f_n\}$  converges to a point in  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$ . However it has been shown that no subsequence of  $\{f_n\}$  converges in  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$  (and by extention any of its subsets), and that all  $f_i$  lie in B. Hence one must conclude that B is not compact.

## Question 2

**a.)** Let (X, d) be a metric space and let  $K \subset X$  be a compact subset. Prove that for all  $\epsilon > 0$  there are finitely many points  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in K$  so that, for every  $x \in K$  there exists an  $i, i = 1, \ldots, n$ , such that  $d(x, x_i) < \epsilon$ 

Proof. The set of all open balls centered at all points of K with radius  $\epsilon$  creates an open cover of K. Call this set B. Because K is compact, then B has a finite sub-covering of open balls of radius  $\epsilon$ ,  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_N$ . Therefore since  $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_i$ , it must be the case that for every  $x \in K$ , the distance between x and at least one of the ball's centers  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots x_n\}$  has a distance less than  $\epsilon$ . Therefore, there exists an  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  :  $1 \le i \le n$  such that  $\forall x \in K, d(x, x_i) < \epsilon$ .

**b.)** Use this to prove that, if  $K \subset \mathcal{C}([0,1])$  is compact, then K is equicontinuous.

Proof. Since K is compact, given any  $\epsilon > 0$  select  $f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_n$  such that  $\forall f \in K, \exists i \in \mathbb{N}([1,n])$  such that  $|f(x) - f_i(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Further, since K is compact it is also known that each  $f \in K$  are uniformly continuous. Therefore, for each  $f_i, \exists \delta_i > 0$  such that if  $|x-y| < \delta$ , then  $|f_i(x) - f_i(y)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Let  $\delta = \inf(\delta_i)$ . Then we have, if  $|x-y| < \delta$ 

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |(f(x) - f_i(x)) + (f_i(x) - f_i(y)) + (f_i(y) - f(y))|$$

$$\leq |f(x) - f_i(x)| + |f_i(x) - f_i(y)| + |f_i(y) - f(y)|$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon$$

Thus proving K to be equicontinuous.

#### Question 3

Recall the norms  $||f||_1 = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx$  and  $||f||_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in X} \{|f(x)|\}$  on  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$ .

**a.)** Prove that  $||f||_1 \leq ||f||_{\infty}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0,1])$ 

Proof. Note that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx \le (b-a) \cdot \sup_{x \in [a,b]} (|f(x)|)$$

Then in this case,

$$||f||_1 = \int_0^1 |f(x)| \ dx \le (1-0) \cdot \sup_{x \in [0,1]} (|f(x)|) = ||f||_{\infty}$$

Hence  $\forall f \in \mathcal{C}([0,1]), ||f||_1 \leq ||f||_{\infty}.$ 

**b.)** Prove that there is no constant C > 0 such that  $||f||_{\infty} < C||f||_{1}$  holds for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0,1])$  by producing a sequence  $f_n \in \mathcal{C}([0,1])$  with  $||f_n||_{\infty} \to \infty$  and  $||f_n||_{1} = 1$ 

*Proof.* Consider the following sequence of functions,  $\{f_n\}$ :

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 2n - 2n^2 x & 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n} < x \end{cases}$$

Observe that for any fixed n,

$$||f_n||_1 = \int_0^1 |f(x)| dx$$

$$= \int_0^{\frac{1}{n}} |2n - 2n^2x| dx + \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^1 |0| dx$$

$$= 2n\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - n^2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2$$

$$= 1$$

Also notice that since  $||f_n||_{\infty} = 2n$ ,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||f_n|| = \infty$ . Fix any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $||f_n||_{\infty} < C||f_n||_1$ . Then for any  $m \ge \left\lceil \frac{C}{2} \right\rceil$ , we will have

$$||f_m||_{\infty} \geq C = C||f_m||_1$$

Hence there does not exist any such C > 0 such that  $||f_i||_{\infty} < C||f_i||_1$  over all  $f_i \in \{f_n\}$  since one can find an m > n such that the inequality is no longer true. By extention it is the case that  $\not\equiv C > 0$  such that  $||f||_{\infty} < C||f||_1$  holds for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}([0,1])$ .

**c.)** Prove that  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$  with norm  $||f||_1$  is not a complete metric space. Observe that this gives another proof of (b).

*Proof.* To do this, one should consider a different sequence of functions in  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$ ,  $\{g_n\}$  defined like so:

$$g_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ n\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) & \frac{1}{2} < x \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that each  $g_n$  is continuous. For any  $\epsilon > 0$  choose  $0 < \delta < \frac{\epsilon}{n}$ . Then there are three cases to consider:

Case 1:  $x \le \frac{1}{2}$  and  $y \le \frac{1}{2}$  or  $x > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}$  and  $y > \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}$ . Given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , and if  $|x - y| < \delta$ , then  $|g_n(x) - g_n(y)| = 0 < \epsilon$ 

Case 2:  $\frac{1}{2} < x \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < y \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}$ Given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , and if  $|x - y| < \delta$ , then

$$|g_n(x) - g_n(y)| = \left| \left( nx - \frac{n}{2} \right) - \left( ny - \frac{n}{2} \right) \right|$$
$$= |nx - ny| = |n(x - y)|$$
$$= |n| \cdot |x - y| < n \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{n} = \epsilon$$

Case 3: Either  $\min(x,y) \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2} < \max(x,y) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}$  (which will be referred to as the "lower case") or  $\frac{1}{2} < \min(x,y) \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} < \max(x,y)$  (which will be referred to as the "upper case").

In this case, notice that, given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , and x, y such that  $|x - y| < \delta$  we have

$$|g_n(x) - g_n(y)| \le \left| \left( nx - \frac{n}{2} \right) - \left( ny - \frac{n}{2} \right) \right|$$

For the lower case suppose that, without loss of generality,  $\min(x, y) = x$ . This implies that  $x \leq \frac{1}{2} < y$  and thus

$$nx - \frac{n}{2} \le \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2} = 0$$

and

$$ny - \frac{n}{2} > \frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{2} = 0$$

Then since  $g_n(y) = ny - \frac{n}{2} > 0$  and f(x) = 0 but  $nx - \frac{n}{2} \le 0$ , it follows that the absolute difference between  $g_n(y)$  and  $nx - \frac{n}{2}$  must be greater than or equal to the absolute difference between  $g_n(x)$  and  $g_n(y)$ , as asserted above.

For the upper case suppose that, without loss of generality,  $\max(x, y) = y$ . This means that  $\frac{1}{2} < x \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} < y$ . It then follows that

$$ny - \frac{n}{2} > n\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\right) - \frac{n}{2} = \frac{n}{2} + 1 - \frac{n}{2} = 1$$

Hence  $ny - \frac{n}{2} > 1$  and  $g_n(y) = 1$ . Since expressions in the previous case show that  $nx - \frac{n}{2} = g_n(x) > 0$ , it follows that  $\left| g_n(x) - \left( ny - \frac{n}{2} \right) \right| \ge \left| g_n(x) - g_n(y) \right|$  since  $ny - \frac{n}{2} \ge g_n(y)$ .

Then it is very straightforward since in either the lower or upper case we have:

$$|g_n(x) - g_n(y)| \le \left| \left( nx - \frac{n}{2} \right) - \left( ny - \frac{n}{2} \right) \right|$$

$$= |nx - ny| = |n(x - y)|$$

$$= |n| \cdot |x - y| < n \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{n} = \epsilon$$

Hence  $\{g_n\}$  is a sequence of continuous functions in  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$ . Next, it is plain to see that  $\{g_n\}$  converges pointwise to the following function g:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{2} < x \le 1 \end{cases}$$

Since for any n, if  $0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $g_n(x) = 0$ . For any  $\frac{1}{2} < x < 1$ , choose any  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N > \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}$ . It follows that  $x > \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{2}$  and thus  $g_N(x) = 1$ , proving g(x) to be the pointwise limit of  $\{g_n\}$ .

It is also clear that g(x) is not continuous since  $\forall \delta > 0$ ,  $\exists x \in [\frac{1}{2} - \delta, \frac{1}{2} + \delta]$  such that  $|g(\frac{1}{2}) - g(x)| = 1$  since  $\frac{1}{2} + \delta > \frac{1}{2}$ . It follows then that for all

 $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $|x - y| < \delta$  does not imply that  $|g(x) - g(y)| < \epsilon$ . Hence g(x) is not continuous and  $g \notin \mathcal{C}([0,1])$ . This also implies that  $\{g_n\}$  does not converge in  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$ .

It then suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$  is not a complete metric space by showing that that  $\{g_n\}$  is Cauchy, since it has already been shown to not converge in  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$ .

*Proof.* Given some  $\epsilon > 0$ , select any  $m, n, N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \neq m, m, n \geq N$  and  $N > \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that n > m. Then

$$||f_n(x) - f_m(x)||_1 = \int_0^1 |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| dx$$

Since  $f_n(x) - f_m(x) = 0$  for all  $0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{m} < x \le 1$ , one need only consider two intervals of x:

$$\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}} (n - m)(x - \frac{1}{2}) dx + \int_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}}^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n}} 1 - m(x - \frac{1}{2}) dx$$

Thus  $\mathcal{C}([0,1])$  is not complete since  $\{g_n\}$  is Cauchy.

# Question 4

## Question 5