Exercise 2.1

This language appears to be head-final, SOV. The alignment for the sentence pair would be < 2, 1, 5, 4, 3 >

Exercise 2.2

After first Iteration: $n_{\text{'eat','mange'}} = 0.\overline{6}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ (eat','mange') = 0.25 After second Iteration: $n_{\text{'eat','mange'}} = 1.\overline{3}$, $\tau_{\text{'eat','mange'}} = 0.2\overline{7}$

(Did not use the null word.)

(Screenshots of the Excel file I used to arrive at these numbers are at the end of this document.)

Exercise 2.3

For a word aligned corpus, $n_{e,o}$ will only be the same as the word count of e if for every instance of e there is a word that aligns with it. One obvious reason that this is unlikely is that it presupposes that every pair of E/F sentences has equal length. We can see from exercise 2.2 that this is not always the case from French to English ('du' would align with nothing), and there are probably analogous examples from English to French.

Exercise 2.4

Totally. This is good because it allows the translation of idioms; i.e. a phrase like "kick the bucket" may best translate to a 3 word phrase that does not contain "kick," "the," or "bucket," but is a colloquial euphemism for death.

Exercise 2.5

Initially all τ 's are equal. Let's call this value c. Then p_k for some arbitrary k will be a sum over τ 's, so $p_k = dc$ for some k and some integer d. (p_k then is the value that does vary depending on the initial value of c) Then $n_{e_j f_k} = \frac{\tau_{e_j f_k}}{p_k} = \frac{c}{dc} = \frac{1}{d}$. You can see that at this point in the algorithm, the initial τ value cancels out; because k is arbitrary, this will apply to any $n_{e,f}$ value. The new τ values are derived from the newly incremented $n_{e,f}$ values, so they are likewise unaffected by our initial τ values.

Exercise 2.6

"The" is one of the most common English words, so any French word will align with 'the' very often. As a result, while the frequency of "the" aligning with "pain" is high, the *relative* frequency of "the" aligning with "pain" is more or less negligible; if "the" did show up in figure 2.6 as a translation for "pain", its values would all be incredibly close to zero.

Exercise 2.7

Equation 2.24 is false because our IBM Model 1 has gone through training with the EM algorithm, which yields word alignment probabilities for any e/f word pair. Therefore since the probabilities in 2.24 are conditioned on an E/F sentence pair, (i.e. since we know which French words need to be aligned with our English words) then not all sentence alignment probabilities will be equal. 2.23 is still true though, because given only an English sentence and no French, then IBM model 1's e/f word-pair alignment probabilities are useless, leaving all alignments equally likely.

tao1								
lao i	she	eats	bread	he	beef			
Elle	1							
mande	1		1					
du	1		1					
ou pain	1							
•	1							
boef il	1							
11	1	1	'		1		-1:404	
							pk1S1 Elle	
nef2	-1		bassad		h 6		mande	
	she	eats	bread	he	beef		du	
Elle	0.3333333333						pain	
mange	0.3333333333		0.3333333333				1.400	
du	0.3333333333		0.3333333333				pk1S2	
pain	0.333333333						il	
boef	0						mange	
il	0						du	
n_eo	1.333333333	2.66666667	1.333333333	1.333333333	1.333333333		boef	
tao2								
	she	eats	bread	he	beef	p	k2S1	
Elle	0.25	0.125	0.25	0	0	E	Elle	0.625
mande	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	n	nande	0.75
du	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	d	u	0.75
pain	0.25	0.125	0.25	0	0	p	ain	0.625
boef	0	0.125	0	0.25	0.25			
il	0	0.125	0	0.25	0.25	p	k2S2	
						n	nange	0.75
nef3						d	u	0.75
	she	eats	bread	he	beef			
Elle	0.7333333333	0.5333333333	0.7333333333	0	0	b	oef	0.625
mange	0.6666666667	1.333333333	0.6666666667	0.6666666667	0.6666666667	il		0.625
du	0.666666667	1.333333333	0.6666666667	0.6666666667	0.6666666667			
pain	0.7333333333	0.5333333333	0.7333333333	0	0			
boef	0	0.5333333333	0	0.7333333333	0.7333333333			
il	0	0.5333333333	0	0.7333333333	0.7333333333			
neo	2.8	4.8	2.8	2.8	2.8			
tao3								
	she	eats	bread	he	beef			
Elle	0.2619047619	0.1111111111	0.2619047619	0	0			
mange	0.2380952381	0.277777778	0.2380952381	0.2380952381	0.2380952381			
du	0.2380952381	0.277777778	0.2380952381	0.2380952381	0.2380952381			
pain	0.2619047619	0.1111111111	0.2619047619	0	0			
boef	0	0.1111111111	0	0.2619047619	0.2619047619			
I	0	0.1111111111	0	0.2619047619	0.2619047619			