

# Unwrapping Graphs with Local Metrics

November 11, 2020

BSc Project for Jakob Meyer, September 2020

## 1 Problem

View-graphs with local metric are generated as representations of space in the PhD project of Tristan Baumann. The nodes of these graphs are small image features (“micro-snapshots”) numbered  $i = 1, \dots, n$  with adjacencies  $a_{ij} \in [0, 1]$ . Each link is labeled with an angle  $\phi_{ij}$  specifying the direction of node  $j$  as seen from node  $i$ , relative to a reference direction  $\nu_i$ . During exploration of the environment, this agent continuously updates the reference direction and stores it with the node as a label. The updating process is error-prone.

## 2 Approach

The unwrapping problem can be stated as follows: Given an adjacency matrix  $A = \{a_{ij}\}$ , a set of connection angles  $\phi_{ij}$  for all pairs with  $a_{ij} \neq 0$ , and (maybe) the local assumed reference directions  $\nu_i$ , find an embedding  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^2$  of the nodes satisfying

$$\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i \approx \lambda \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi_{ij} \\ \sin \phi_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the distance between the two nodes’ embeddings.

In this constraint,  $\nu_i$  does not show up. This is to say that it is implicitly set to zero. Once an embedding is found, we could say that  $\nu_i$  has been rotated by the angle

$$\nu_i^* = \frac{\sum_j a_{ij} (\arctan(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i) - \phi_{ij})}{\sum_j a_{ij}} \quad (2)$$

where the denominator is the out-degree of node  $i$ .

We now denote the unit vector in direction  $\phi_{ij}$  as  $\mathbf{p}_{ij}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathbf{p}_{ij} := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi_{ij} \\ \sin \phi_{ij} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

From the constraint equation (1), we can derive an objective function as

$$f(\mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} \left( \frac{\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i}{\|\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i\|} - \mathbf{p}_{ij} \right)^2 \quad (4)$$

or

$$f(\mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \sum_{ij} a_{ij} (\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{p}_{ij})^2 \quad (5)$$

which would also punish node distances differing from 1. Other objective functions might be possible.

Since we now have implicitly assumed  $\nu = 0$  for all nodes, solutions cannot be rotated. The only degree of freedom remaining is shift, which is dealt with by setting  $\mathbf{x}_1 = (0, 0)'$ .

### 3 Analytical solution for the non-normalized case

For the non-normalized case, Eq. 5, an analytical solution might be possible. The ideal solution should satisfy the equations

$$a_{ij}\mathbf{p}_{ij} = \mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_i \quad \text{for all } i \neq j. \quad (6)$$

This system of equation can be expressed in matrix form as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{12}\mathbf{p}_{12}^\top \\ a_{13}\mathbf{p}_{13}^\top \\ a_{14}\mathbf{p}_{14}^\top \\ \vdots \\ a_{1n}\mathbf{p}_{1n}^\top \\ \hline \vdots \\ \hline a_{n1}\mathbf{p}_{n1}^\top \\ a_{n2}\mathbf{p}_{n2}^\top \\ a_{n3}\mathbf{p}_{n3}^\top \\ \vdots \\ a_{n,n-1}\mathbf{p}_{n,n-1}^\top \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \hline \vdots & & & & & \vdots \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_1^\top \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_n^\top \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

for which we write in short  $P = MX$ .  $P$  is  $n(n-1) \times 2$ ,  $M$  is  $n(n-1) \times n$  and  $X$  is  $n \times 2$ . For the construction of the matrix, we will need the index functions

$$i(k) = \text{ceiling}(k/(n-1)) \quad \text{and} \quad j(k) = \begin{cases} \text{mod}(k, n-1) & \text{for } \text{mod}(k, n-1) < i(k) \\ 1 + \text{mod}(k, n-1) & \text{for } \text{mod}(k, n-1) \geq i(k) \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

such that  $P_k = a_{i(k),j(k)}\mathbf{p}_{i(k),j(k)}^\top$ ,  $M_{kl} = -1$  for  $i(k) = l$ ,  $M_{kl} = 1$  for  $j(k) = l$ , and  $M_{kl} = 0$  otherwise.

The least square solution for this exists if the  $n \times n$  matrix  $M^\top M$  is invertible and will then be given as

$$(M^\top M)^{-1}M^\top P = X \quad (9)$$

If  $M^\top M$  is singular, we might try to delete the first row of  $M$  and reduce  $X$  to  $(\mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ , as discussed above.

### 4 Next Steps

- Try out the objective function with simple graphs (chains, triangles, small loops, 4-cliques etc)
- Think about analytical approaches to minimizing the objective function
- Check MDS literature on related problems. (One is Hübner & Mallot, Autonomous Robots 2007, but there the angles are local, not with respect to a global reference direction. Still. the idea of an objective function working on triples rather than pairs may be useful. )