

Advanced Proof Patterns

Example 1 : Proof by Cases (Three - Way Split)

Prove: For all integers n , $n \in \{0 \mid n < 0 \mid n > 0\}$

$$\frac{}{n < 0 \vee n = 0 \vee n > 0} \text{ [trichotomy of integers, axiom]}$$

This uses the trichotomy property as an axiom. To prove something about all integers, we can case-analyze on these three possibilities.

Example 2 : Multi - Level Case Analysis

Prove: $(p \vee q) \wedge (r \vee s) \Rightarrow (p \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge s)$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{p \wedge r} [\wedge \text{ intro}]}{(p \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge s)} [\vee \text{ intro}]}{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{p \wedge s} [\wedge \text{ intro}]}{(p \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge s)} [\vee \text{ intro}]}{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{p \wedge r} [\wedge \text{ intro}]}{(p \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge s)} [\vee \text{ intro}]}{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{p \wedge s} [\wedge \text{ intro}]}{(p \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge s)} [\vee \text{ intro}]}{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{q \wedge r} [\wedge \text{ intro}]}{(p \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge s)} [\vee \text{ intro}]}{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{q \wedge s} [\wedge \text{ intro}]}{(p \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge s)} [\vee \text{ intro}]}{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{(p \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge s)} [\vee \text{ elim on } r \vee s]}{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{(p \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge s)} [\vee \text{ elim on } p \vee q]}{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{(p \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge s)} [\Rightarrow \text{-intro}^{[1]}]}{((p \vee q) \wedge (r \vee s)) \Rightarrow (p \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge s) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (q \wedge s)} [\Rightarrow \text{-intro}^{[1]}]}$$

Nested case analysis on two disjunctions, exploring all four combinations.

Example 3 : Proof by Mathematical Induction (Base land Step)

Prove: For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{sum}(1 \text{ to } n) = n(n+1)/2$

Base case ($n = 0$):

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\text{true}} [\top]}{\text{sum_to}(0) = 0} [\text{definition}]}{0 * ((0 + 1))(\text{div})(2) = 0} [\text{arithmetic}]}{\frac{\text{sum_to}(0) = 0 * ((0 + 1))(\text{div})(2)}{\text{true} \Rightarrow (\text{sum_to}(0) = 0 * ((0 + 1))(\text{div})(2))} [\Rightarrow \text{-intro}^{[1]}]} [\text{equality}]$$

Inductive step (assume for n , prove for $n+1$):

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\lceil sum_to(n) = n * ((n + 1))(div)(2) \rceil^{[1]}}{sum_to(n + 1) = sum_to(n) + (n + 1)} \text{ [definition]}}{sum_to(n + 1) = n * ((n + 1))(div)(2) + (n + 1)} \text{ [substitution]}}{sum_to(n + 1) = ((n * (n + 1) + 2 * (n + 1)))(div)(2)} \text{ [algebra]}}{sum_to(n + 1) = (n + 1) * ((n + 2))(div)(2)} \text{ [factoring]} \\ \overline{(sum_to(n) = n * ((n + 1))(div)(2)) \Rightarrow (sum_to(n + 1) = (n + 1) * ((n + 2))(div)(2))} \text{ } [\Rightarrow\text{-intro}^{[1]}]$$

By induction, the formula holds for all natural numbers.

Example 4 : Structural Induction on Lists

Prove: For all sequences s , $\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(s)) = s$

Base case (empty sequence):

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\text{true}^{\neg[1]}}{\text{reverse}(\text{emptyseq}) = \text{emptyseq}} \text{ [definition]}}{\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(\text{emptyseq})) = \text{reverse}(\text{emptyseq})} \text{ [substitution]}}{\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(\text{emptyseq})) = \text{emptyseq}} \text{ [definition]}}{\text{true} \Rightarrow (\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(\text{emptyseq})) = \text{emptyseq})} \text{ } [\Rightarrow \text{-intro}^{[1]}]$$

Inductive step (assume for s , prove for $\text{cons}(x, s)$):

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(s)) = s}{\text{reverse}(\text{cons}(x, s)) = \text{append}(\text{reverse}(s), x)}{\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(\text{cons}(x, s))) = \text{reverse}(\text{append}(\text{reverse}(s), x))}{\text{reverse}(\text{append}(\text{reverse}(s), x)) = \text{cons}(x, \text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(s)))}{\text{cons}(x, \text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(s))) = \text{cons}(x, s)}{\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(s)) = s} \Rightarrow (\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(\text{cons}(x, s))) = \text{cons}(x, s))}{\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(s)) = s} \Rightarrow (\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(\text{cons}(x, s))) = \text{cons}(x, s))}{\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(s)) = s} \Rightarrow (\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(\text{cons}(x, s))) = \text{cons}(x, s)) \quad [\Rightarrow \text{-intro}^{[1]}]$$

By structural induction, $\text{reverse}(\text{reverse}(s)) = s$ for all sequences.

Example 5 : Constructive Existence Proof

Prove: There \exists an even number greater than 10

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \frac{\text{true}}{12 = 2 * 6} \quad [\text{arithmetic}] \\ \frac{}{\text{even}(12)} \quad [\text{definition of even, } 12 = 2 * 6] \\ \frac{}{12 > 10} \quad [\text{arithmetic}] \end{array}}{\text{even}(12) \wedge 12 > 10} \quad [\wedge \text{ intro}]$$
$$\frac{\exists n : \mathbb{N} \bullet \text{even}(n) \wedge n > 10}{\text{true} \Rightarrow (\exists n : \mathbb{N} \bullet \text{even}(n) \wedge n > 10)} \quad [\exists \text{ intro with } n = 12]$$
$$\frac{}{\text{true} \Rightarrow (\exists n : \mathbb{N} \bullet \text{even}(n) \wedge n > 10)} \quad [\Rightarrow \text{-intro}^{[1]}]$$

Constructive proof: we exhibit a specific witness (12).

Main theorem: If $n \neq 2$ is odd, then n is odd

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\text{even}(\text{power}(n, 2))} \text{ [Lemma1]} \\
\frac{}{\text{odd}(\text{power}(n, 2)) \wedge \text{even}(\text{power}(n, 2))} \text{ [contradiction]} \\
\frac{\text{false}}{\text{odd}(n)} \text{ [false elim]} \quad \frac{}{\text{odd}(n)} \text{ [identity]} \\
\frac{}{\text{odd}(n)} \text{ [}\vee \text{ elim]} \\
\frac{}{\text{odd}(\text{power}(n, 2)) \Rightarrow \text{odd}(n)} \text{ [}\Rightarrow \text{-intro}^{[1]}]
\end{array}$$

Proof by contrapositive using lemma.

Example 9 : Proof by Minimal Counterexample

Prove: All natural numbers $n \geq 1$ satisfy $P(n)$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\mathbb{P} 1} \text{ [base case proved separately]} \quad \frac{}{\forall k \bullet k \geq 1 \wedge k < m \Rightarrow \mathbb{P} k} \text{ [minimality of m]} \\
\frac{}{\neg \mathbb{P} m \wedge \mathbb{P} 1} \text{ [contradiction]} \quad \frac{\mathbb{P}(m-1)}{\mathbb{P} m} \text{ [by inductive step from } P(m-1)] \\
\frac{}{\text{false}} \text{ [contradiction]} \quad \frac{}{\neg \mathbb{P} m \wedge \mathbb{P} m} \text{ [contradiction]} \\
\frac{}{\text{false}} \text{ [}\vee \text{ elim]} \\
\frac{}{\forall n \bullet n \geq 1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{P} n} \text{ [}\neg \text{-intro}^{[2]}] \\
\frac{}{\text{true} \Rightarrow (\forall n \bullet n \geq 1 \Rightarrow \mathbb{P} n)} \text{ [}\Rightarrow \text{-intro}^{[1]}]
\end{array}$$

Minimal counterexample combines well-ordering with contradiction.

Example 10 : Proof by Invariant

Prove: A loop maintains invariant I

Initialization:

$$\frac{\frac{}{\ulcorner \text{initial_state} \urcorner^{[1]}} \text{ [verification]}}{\text{initial_state} \Rightarrow \text{invariant}(\text{initial_state})} \text{ [}\Rightarrow \text{-intro}^{[1]}]$$

Preservation:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{\ulcorner \text{invariant}(\text{before_state}) \wedge \text{executes_loop_body} \urcorner^{[1]}} \text{ [}\wedge \text{-elim-1]}}{\frac{\text{invariant}(\text{before_state})}{\text{executes_loop_body}}} \text{ [}\wedge \text{-elim-2]}}{\text{invariant}(\text{after_state})} \text{ [verification]} \\
\frac{}{(\text{invariant}(\text{before_state}) \wedge \text{executes_loop_body}) \Rightarrow \text{invariant}(\text{after_state})} \text{ [}\Rightarrow \text{-intro}^{[1]}]$$

Termination:

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash \text{loop_terminates}^{\neg[1]}}{\text{invariant}(\text{termination_state})} \text{ [by preservation]}}{\text{invariant}(\text{termination_state}) \wedge \text{termination_condition}} \text{ [\wedge intro]}}{\frac{\text{desired_property}}{\text{loop_terminates} \Rightarrow \text{desired_property}} \text{ [\Rightarrow -intro}^{[1]}]} \text{ [logic]}
\end{array}$$

Example 11 : Proof by Diagonalization

Prove: The set of real numbers is uncountable

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash \text{countable}(\text{reals})^{\neg[2]}}{\exists f \bullet \text{enumeration}(f, \text{reals})} \text{ [definition of countable]}}{\text{diagonal_construction}(r)} \text{ [diagonal method]}}{\frac{\forall n \bullet r \neq \text{apply}(f, n)}{\text{not_in_range}(r, f)} \text{ [previous line]}} \text{ [by construction, differs at nth digit]}}{\frac{\text{not_in_range}(r, f) \wedge \text{enumeration}(f, \text{reals})}{\text{false}} \text{ [contradiction]}} \text{ [contradiction]}}{\frac{\text{uncountable}(\text{reals})}{\text{true} \Rightarrow \text{uncountable}(\text{reals})} \text{ [\neg -intro}^{[2]}]} \text{ [\Rightarrow -intro}^{[1]}]
\end{array}$$

Cantor's diagonal argument (outline).

Example 12 : Constructive Proof Pattern

To constructively prove: $\exists x \bullet \mathbb{P} x$

Strategy:

1. Explicitly construct a witness w
2. Verify $\mathbb{P}(w)$ holds
3. Conclude $\exists x \bullet \mathbb{P} x$ with $x = w$

Example: Prove $\exists n : \mathbb{N} \bullet n > 100 \wedge n$ is even

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash \text{true}^{\neg[1]}}{\text{witness_construction}(102)} \text{ [construction]}}{102 > 100} \text{ [arithmetic]}}{102 = 2 * 51} \text{ [arithmetic]}}{\text{even}(102)} \text{ [definition]}}{\frac{102 > 100 \wedge \text{even}(102)}{\exists n \bullet n > 100 \wedge \text{even}(n)} \text{ [\wedge intro]}} \text{ [\exists intro with n = 102]}}{\text{true} \Rightarrow (\exists n \bullet n > 100 \wedge \text{even}(n))} \text{ [\Rightarrow -intro}^{[1]}]
\end{array}$$

Example 13 : Proof Composition

Combine multiple proof techniques:

Theorem: Property P holds for all cases

Overall strategy: Case analysis + Induction + Contradiction

[illegible]

Example 14 : Best Practices for Complex Proofs

Guidelines for writing advanced proofs:

1. State strategy at the beginning
2. Label cases clearly
3. Discharge assumptions promptly
4. Reference lemmas explicitly
5. Show key algebraic steps
6. Justify non-obvious steps
7. Use proof by cases when structure suggests it
8. Use induction for recursive definitions
9. Use contradiction for negative conclusions
10. Verify base cases thoroughly

Example 15 : Proof Documentation

Document complex proofs:

- **Goal**: State what you're proving
- **Strategy**: Explain the proof approach
- **Lemmas needed**: List dependencies
- **Key insights**: Highlight non-obvious steps
- **Pitfalls**: Note where proof could go wrong
- **Generalization**: Explain how proof extends

Well-documented proofs are maintainable and reusable.