
Ad-Hoc Networks

Mestrado em Engenharia de Computadores e Telemática 2022/2023

Mobile Ad-hoc networks

- Terminals may appear and disappear anywhere and anytime, and may freely move
- Nodes can act as routers or terminals
- Networks independently formed, can be merged and splitted anytime
- Dynamic topologies
- Coexistence of different access mediums
- Network is intelligent and self-organized
- Bandwidth constrained, variable capacity limit
- Energy constrained operation
- Limited physical security




Challenges in Mobile Environments – Ad-hoc increases them

- Limitations of the wireless network
 - Lack of central entity for organization available
 - Limited range of wireless communication
 - Packet loss due to transmission errors
 - Variable capacity links
 - Frequent disconnections/partitions
 - Limited communication bandwidth
 - Broadcast nature of the communications
- Limitations imposed by mobility
 - Dynamically changing topologies/routes
 - Lack of mobility awareness by system/applications
- Limitations of the mobile computer
 - Short battery lifetime
 - Limited capacities

Build a wireless ad-hoc network

- Try to build a network without infrastructure, using networking abilities of the participants
 - This is an ad-hoc network a network constructed "for a special purpose"
- Simplest example: Laptops in a conference room a single-hop ad-hoc network



Application Scenarios

Ad-hoc applications

- Personal area networking
 - Cell phone, laptop, ear phone, wrist watch
- Military environments
 - Soldiers, tanks, planes
- Civilian environments
 - Taxi cab network
 - Meeting rooms
 - Sports stadiums
 - Boats, small aircraft
- Emergency operations
 - Search-and-rescue
 - Policing and fire fighting

Usage scenarios – in general

- Setting up of fixed access points and backbone infrastructure is not always viable
 - Infrastructure may not be present in a disaster area or war zone
 - Infrastructure may not be practical for short-range radios; Bluetooth (range ~ 10m)
- Ad-hoc networks
 - Do not need backbone infrastructure support
 - Are easy to deploy
 - Useful when infrastructure is absent, destroyed or impractical
- Or when the objective is to have
 - Self-adapting and self-sufficient networks
 - Networks with constant changes
 - Networks that require mobility
 - Moving networks
 - Requirement to absent any external configuration and management process

Civilian environments

- Computer science classroom
 - Ad-hoc network between student laptops
- Conference
 - Users in different rooms accessing services through other users
- Shopping mall, restaurant, coffee shops
 - Customers spend part of the day in a networked mall of speciality shops, coffee shops, and restaurants
- Large campus


 Employees of a company moving within a large campus

with laptops, and cellphones

Civilian environments


- Traffic networks (smart cars and smart roads)
- Board systems talk with the road
 - Map delays and blocks
 - Obtain maps
 - Inform the road about its actions
- Finding out empty parking lots in a city, withou asking a server
- Car-to-car communication





Military environments


- Combat regiment in the field
 - Around 4000-8000 objects in constant and umpredictable movement
- Force intercommunication
 - Proximity, function, battle plan
- Moving soldiers with wearable computers
 - Eavesdropping, denial-ofservice and impersonation attacks can be launched
- Advantages
 - Low detection probability
 - Random topology and association between nodes




Others...

Disaster recovery

• Factory floor automation





Routing

Routing: Challenges and **Requirements**

- - Mobility path breaks, packet collisions, transient loops
 - Bandwidth constraint channel shared by all nodes in the broadcast region
 - Error-prone and shared channel take into account the larger BERs in wireless ad-hoc
 - Location-dependent contention high when the number of nodes increases

Major requirements

- Minimum route acquisition delay
- Quick route reconfiguration (handle path breaks)
- Loop-free routing (avoid waste of resources)
- Distributed routing approach (reduce bandwitdth consumed)
- Minimum control overhead (bandwidth, collisions)
- Scalability (scale with large network minimize control overhead)
- Provisioning of QoS (provide QoS levels) support for time-sensitive traffic
- Security and privacy (resilient to threats and vulnerabilities)

Proactive and Reactive Protocols

- Proactive protocols
 - Always maintain routes
 - Little or no delay for route determination
 - Consume bandwidth to keep routes up-to-date
 - Maintain routes which may never be used
- Reactive protocols
 - Lower overhead since routes are determined on demand
 - Significant delay in route determination
 - Employ flooding (global search)
 - Control traffic may be bursty
- Which approach achieves a better trade-off depends on the traffic and mobility patterns

Reactive routing protocols

AODV - Ad Hoc On-Demand Distance Vector Routing

Ad Hoc On-Demand Distance Vector Routing (AODV)

- AODV maintains routing tables at the nodes, so that data packets do not have to contain routes
- Routes are maintained only between nodes which need to communicate


AODV operation

- Route Requests (RREQ)
- When a node re-broadcasts a Route Request, it sets up a reverse path pointing towards the source
 - AODV assumes symmetric (bi-directional) links
- When the destination receives a Route Request, it replies by sending a Route Reply (RREP)
- Route Reply travels along the reverse path set-up when Route Request is forwarded

AODV operation

- Each node maintains non-decreasing sequence numbers
 - Sent in RREQ, RREP messages; incremented with each new message
 - Used to "timestamp" routing table entries for "freshness" comparison
- Intermediate node may return RREP if it has routing table entry for destination which is "fresher" than source's (or equal with lower hop count)
- Routing table entries assigned "lifetime", deleted on expiration
- Unique ID included in RREQ for duplicate rejection


Route Requests in AODV





Represents a node that has received RREQ for D from S

Route Requests in AODV




Represents transmission of RREQ


Node E receives RREQ

Makes reverse route entry for S dest = S, next hop = S, hop cnt = 1 It has no route to D, so it rebroadcasts RREQ


Route Requests in AODV



Represents links on Reverse Path




 Node C receives RREQ from G and H, but does not forward it again, because node C has already forwarded RREQ once



Node J receives RREQ

Makes reverse route entry for S, dest = S, next hop = F, hop cnt = 3
It has a route to D, and the seq# for route for D is <D's seq# in RREQ
Or

Makes reverse route entry for S, dest = S, next hop = F, hop cnt = 3It has a route to D, and the seq# for route for D is > = D's seq# in RREQ




is the target of the RREQ

Node D sends RREP

D creates a Route Reply (RREP), Enters D's IP addr, seq #S's IP addr, hop count to D (=0) Unicasts RREP towards J

Or Node J sends RREP

J creates a Route Reply (RREP), Enters D's IP addr, seq #S's IP addr, hop count to D (=1) Unicasts RREP towards F




Node E receives RREP

Makes forward route entry to D
dest = D, next hop = F, hop count = 3, Lifetime, Unicasts RREP to S
Node S receives RREP

Makes forward route entry to D dest = D, next hop = E, hop count = 4, Lifetime

Forward Path Setup in AODV



Forward links are setup when RREP travels along the reverse path

If multiple replies, uses one with lowest hop count



Represents a link on the forward path

Route Request and Route Reply

- Route Request (RREQ) includes the last known sequence number for the destination
- An intermediate node may also send a Route Reply
 (RREP) provided that it knows a more recent path than the
 one previously known to sender
- Intermediate nodes that forward the RREP, also record the next hop to destination
- A routing table entry maintaining a reverse path is purged after a timeout interval
- A routing table entry maintaining a forward path is purged if *not used* for a *active_route_timeout* interval

Link Failure

- A neighbor of node X is considered active for a routing table entry if the neighbor sent a packet within active_route_timeout interval which was forwarded using that entry
- Neighboring nodes periodically exchange hello messages
- Periodic route response to neighbors acts as hello, installing and refreshing route
- When the next hop link in a routing table entry breaks, all active neighbors are informed
- Link failures are propagated by means of Route Error (RERR) messages, which also update destination sequence numbers

Route Error

- When node X is unable to forward packet P (from node S to node D) on link (X,Y), it generates a RERR message
- Node X increments the destination sequence number for D cached at node X
- The incremented sequence number *N* is included in the RERR
- When node S receives the RERR, it initiates a new route discovery for D using destination sequence number at least as large as N
- When node D receives the route request with destination sequence number N, node D will set its sequence number to N, unless it is already larger than N

Local RERR

- Used when link breakage occurs
 - Link breakage detected by link-layer ACK, "passive ACK", AODV "Hello" messages
- Detecting node may attempt "local repair"
 - Send RREQ for destination from intermediate node
- Route Error (RERR) message generated
 - Contains list of unreachable destinations
 - Sent to "precursors": neighbors who recently sent packet which was forwarded over broken link
 - Propagated recursively

AODV: Summary

- Routes need not be included in packet headers
- Nodes maintain routing tables containing entries only for routes that are in active use
- At most one next-hop per destination maintained at each node
- Sequence numbers are used to avoid old/broken routes
- Sequence numbers prevent formation of routing loops
- Unused routes expire even if topology does not change

Proactive routing protocols


OLSR - Optimized Link State Routing Protocol

Öptimized Link State Routing Protocol (OLSR)

- Proactive protocol
- Efficient link state packet forwarding mechanism
 - Multipoint relaying
 - Reduced size of the control packets
 - Only a subset of the links in the link state updates
 - » Packet forwarding performed only by multipoint relays
 - Reduced number of links used for forwarding the link state packets
 - Multipoint relays


Example of MPR in OLSR

Simple flooding




Link state forwarding

- Nodes C and E are multipoint relays of node A
 - Multipoint relays of A are its neighbors such that each twohop neighbor of A is a one-hop neighbor of one multipoint relay of A
 - Nodes exchange neighbor lists to know their 2-hop neighbors and choose the multipoint relays




Link state forwarding

- Nodes C and E forward information received from A
- Nodes E and K are multipoint relays for node H
- Node K forwards information received from H



OLSR: Example



4 retransmission to diffuse a message up to 2 hops

MPR sets and MPR selectors

- MPR sets
 - Set of nodes that are multipoint relays
 - Each node selects an MPRset to process and forward every link state packet originated by it
 - Other nodes process the link state packets but do not forward them
- MPR selectors
 - Set of neighbors that have selected the node as multipoint relay
 - Node forwards packets received from nodes MPR selectors
- Members of MPR sets and MPR selectors change over time – efficient selection mechanisms

Selection of MPR


- Impact in performance
 - Node calculates routes to all destinations through the members of MPR set
- Decide on the membership of nodes in the MPR set
 - Node sends Hello messages
 - List of neighbors with which the node has bidirectional links
 - List of neighbors with whose transmissions were received in the recent past (do not know if there is bidirectionality)
 - Node receiving Hello messages
 - Update two-hop topology tables
 - Selection of multipoint relays

Selection of MPR

- Select as MPR every node in the node's two-hop neighborhood has a bidirectional link with the node
- Select as MPR, the nodes covering "isolated" nodes, i.e. for which there is a neighbor which has another node as single parent
- Select as MPR the node which covers the maximal number of nodes


Neighbor relationships

- Each device emits a periodic "Hello"
 - Advertise itself to its neighbors
 - Determine who else is there
 - -Select some systems to act as MultiPoint Relays




MultiPoint Relays

- Passes Topology Information
 - Acts as router between hosts
 - -Minimizes information retransmission
 - -Forms a routing backbone



Structure of an OLSR Network

- MPRs form routing backbone
 - -Other nodes act as "hosts"
- As devices move
 - -Topological relationships change
 - -Routes change
 - Backbone shape and composition changes



Location-based routing protocols

LAR – Location Aided Routing

The main problems of previous mechanisms

- Nodes location changes rapidly
- No information regarding
 - Current location
 - Speed
 - Direction
- Knowing the location
 - Minimizes the search zone
 - No need to flood the network
- Knowing the speed and/or direction
 - More minimization of the search zone
 - Increases the probability to find the necessary node



Location-Aided Routing (LAR)


- Each node knows its location in every moment
- Using location information for route discovery
- Routing is done using the last known location + an assumption
- Route discovery is initiated when
 - S does not know a route to D
 - Previous route from S to D is broken
- Assumptions
 - Location knowledge
 - No error
 - 2D movement
 - Full cooperation


Location information


- Alignment of satellites and ground stations
- Global Positioning System (GPS) USA
- Global Navigation Satellite System (GLONASS) Russia
- Galileo EU
- 3D positioning
- Accuracy 3-100 meters
- Can provide further information
 - Velocity
 - Time
- Cutting edge technology
- Already in use in many fields


LAR - Definitions

- Expected Zone (EZ)
 - S knows the location L of D in t₀
 - Current time t₁
 - The location of D in t₁ is the expected zone
 - Assume Max/Avg speed v
- Request Zone (RZ)
 - Flood with a modification
 - Node S defines a request zone for the route request
 - How to determine the size and shape of the request zone?
 - Several considerations
 - If the destination's EZ does not include the source node, other regions must be included in the RZ
 - Not always a route will be found using a certain RZ









LAR – scheme 1 (Algorithm)

- Node I receives RREQ
 - Location of $I (X_i, Y_i)$
 - If I is within the rectangular, I forwards the RREQ to its neighbors


I

- Else I discards the RREQ
- Node D receives the RREQ
 - Replies RREP
 - Adds its current location

LAR – scheme 1 (some issues)

- The rectangular size is proportional to
 - Average speed (v)
 - Time elapsed $(t_1 t_0)$

Therefore



- Low speed ⇒ small v in the same $(t_1 t_0)$ ⇒ smaller RZ
- − High speed \Rightarrow large v in the same $(t_1 t_0) \Rightarrow$ larger RZ
- Improvements
 - D can add its speed/avg. speed in the RREP, this can help other nodes in future route discoveries
 - D can piggyback its location in other packets

BATMAN


A Better Approach to Mobile Ad hoc Networking

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320172464_Better_appr oach_to_mobile_ad-hoc_networking_BATMAN

https://www.open-mesh.org/projects/batman-adv/wiki/BATMAN_IV

Batman

- Traditionally, nodes exchange control packets that contain information about link state (current link utilization, bandwidth, etc).
 - Nodes determine best paths based on control packets.
 - Every node must have near exhaustive information about the entire network
- BATMAN takes a very different approach:
 - The presence or absence of control packets is used to indicate link (and path) quality.




Batman Operation

- Each node has a set of direct-link neighbors
 - In the figure, node A has neighbors B and C. These are the nodes through which A sends and receives all its packets.
- Each node in the network sends an Originator Message (OGM) periodically, in order to inform all other nodes of its presence
 - OGMs include a sequence number
- If all shown links are perfect, Node A will receive node D's OGM through both of its neighbors B and C.


- If all of D's OGMs arrive through both B and C, then when A needs to send something to D, it can use either B or C as the next hop towards the destination

node D.



Batman Operation

- If the link between nodes A and C goes down
 - Node D's OGM will only arrive to A through node B.
 - Node A therefore considers node B as the best next hop neighbor for all packets destined for node D.
 - Further, Node C's OGMs will also only reach node A through node B. Node B is the best next hop for data destined for Node C.



Batman: sliding window

- If some but not all OGMs arrive through a link
 - Sliding window
- A sliding window indicates which of the last WINDOW_SIZE (in the example, 8) sequence numbers have been received
 - Uses the sequence numbers received through OGMs

	Out of Range				In Window Range								Out of Range			
Seq. Numbers:		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Arrived:		-	-	-	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	-	-	-	


Sequence numbers and sliding window

- When an out of range sequence number is received, in this case seq# 17, the window shifts up.
 - From 6 sequence numbers in-range to only 5.

	Out of Range				In Window Range									Out of Range				
Seq. Numbers:		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18			
Arrived:	***	-	-	-	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	-	-3	-			
Seq # 17 arrives																		
	Out of Range				In Window Range									Out of Range				
Seq. Numbers:		7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	***		
Arrived:		-	-	-	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	-	-	-			

Routing table

• All nodes have a sliding window for each originator (other node) in the network for each neighbor




Batman Operation

- BATMAN receives information about link (and path) quality through the presence or absence of control packets.
 - Collective intelligence retransmission of an OGM implies it arrived successfully through a best-link neighbour


 No node needs to have exhaustive knowledge of the network, just the next hops to the destination (information about the destinations comes from

OGMs)




Transmission Quality (Batman v.3)

- To add the local link quality in the TQ value, the following calculation is performed:
- TQ = TQ_{incoming} * TQ_{local}
- Example: Node A broadcasts the packet with TQ max. Node B receives it, applies the TQ calculation and rebroadcasts it. When node C gets the packet it knows about the transmit quality towards node A.



Example



Comparison

- AODV pros and cons
 - Low overhead
 - Slow discovery and recovery
- OLSR pros and cons
 - Medium overhead
 - Fast discovery and recovery
 - MPRs automation
- LAR pros and cons
 - Medium overhead
 - How to discover the location of destination?
- Batman pros and cons
 - Medium-high overhead
 - Fast discovery and recovery


IP Address Assignment

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.j sp?tp=&arnumber=1019354

https://docs.pycom.io/pymesh/

MANETconf

- Distributed mutual exclusion algorithm to check the uniqueness of the address
- Assigning an address to a new node requires an agreement from all the known nodes in the network
 - Each node has a global address allocation table maintaining currently in use addresses
 - Node (requester) broadcasts a NEIGH_REQ message to one-hop neighbors
 - Each neighbor answers back to the requester (NEIGH_REP)



Msg.2: NEIGH_REP (one-hop broadcast)

Msg.3: REQUESTER_REQ (one-hop broadcast)

Msg.4: ADDR_REQ (flooding packet at the first time, unicast after the first time)


Msg.5: ADDR_REP (unicast)

 $Msg. 6: ADDR_ALLOC\ (one-hop\ broadcast)$


- Requester node selects one of neighbors as its agent,
 which performs address allocation on behalf of the requester
 - It then sends a REQUESTER_REQ to the agent to request an address
 - The agent picks a currently unused address from its table and floods an ADDR_REQ to obtain an agreement from all other configured nodes in the network
 - Each node in the network sends an ADDR_REP reply back to the agent
 - If the agent receives a reply from all the other nodes, it assigns the address to the requester by sending ADDR_ALLOC

PyMesh Addressing

- Ad-hoc communication network over raw-LoRa
- Multi-gateway (Border Router)
 Nodes that connect Mesh-internal data with the Cloud
- Each Node uses LBS Listen Before Talk
- Security on multiple levels
- Any LoRa device (Lopy4/Fipy) can have any of the Pymesh Node Role: Leader, Router, Child or Border Router.



PyMesh Addressing



- Declare the Border Router network address prefix, for example 2001:dead:beef:cafe::/64
- The network address prefix is then sent to the Leader
- All the nodes will be assigned a random IPv6 unicast address with this network prefix (for example 2001:dead:beef:cafe:1234:5678:9ABC:DEF0)
- If a node sends data to an IPv6 which is external (prefix from non-existent networks in Pymesh), then the UDP datagram will be routed to the Border Router
- This UDP packet will have as source the random IPv6 from the border router network address

PyMesh Addressing and Communication

- The Border Router will receive the external UDP datagrams with an appended header, which contains:
 - MAGIC byte: 0xBB
 - IPv6 destination as bytearray (16 bytes)
 - bytearray([source, encoding, errors])
 - Returns the bytearray of the bytes array passed in
 - port destination as 2 bytes (1-65535 values).
 - The IPv6 destination is important, because it means that the Border Router can route (forward) the UDP datagram content to the correct interface (Wifi/BLE/cellular).