

Outline: Executive Summary Introduction Methodology Results Conclusion Appendi

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Summary of methodologies
 - Data Collection through API
 - Data Collection with Web Scraping
 - Data Wrangling
 - Exploratory Data Analysis with SQL
 - Exploratory Data Analysis with Data Visualization
 - Interactive Visual Analytics with Folium
 - Machine Learning Prediction
- Summary of all results
 - Exploratory Data Analysis result
 - Interactive analytics in screenshots
 - Predictive Analytics result

INTRODUCTI ON

Project background and context

Space X advertises Falcon 9 rocket launches on its website with a cost of 62 million dollars; other providers cost upward of 165 million dollars each, much of the savings is because Space X can reuse the first stage. Therefore, if we can determine if the first stage will land, we can determine the cost of a launch. This information can be used if an alternate company wants to bid against space X for a rocket launch. This goal of the project is to create a machine learning pipeline to predict if the first stage will land successfully.

Problems you want to find answers

- What factors determine if the rocket will land successfully?
- The interaction amongst various features that determine the success rate of a successful landing.
- What operating conditions needs to be in place to ensure a successful landing program.



METHODOLOGY

Executive Summary

- Data collection methodology:
 - Data was collected using SpaceX API and web scraping from Wikipedia.
- Perform data wrangling
 - One-hot encoding was applied to categorical features
- Perform exploratory data analysis (EDA) using visualization and SQL
- Perform interactive visual analytics using Folium and Plotly Dash
- Perform predictive analysis using classification models
 - How to build, tune, evaluate classification models

DATA COLLECTION

- The data was collected using various methods
 - Data collection was done using get request to the SpaceX API.
 - Next, we decoded the response content as a Json using .json() function call and turn it into a pandas dataframe using .json_normalize().
 - We then cleaned the data, checked for missing values and fill in missing values where necessary.
 - In addition, we performed web scraping from Wikipedia for Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup.
 - The objective was to extract the launch records as HTML table, parse the table and convert it to a pandas dataframe for future analysis.

DATA COLLECTION - SPACEX API

- We used the get request to the SpaceX API to collect data, clean the requested data and did some basic data wrangling and formatting.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/jmhasan1/IBM -Data-Science-Capstone-Spacex/blob/main/1.%20spacexdata-collection-api-v2.ipynb

1. Get request for rocket launch data using API

```
In [6]: spacex_url="https://api.spacexdata.com/v4/launches/past"
In [7]: response = requests.get(spacex_url)
```

2. Use json normalize method to convert json result to dataframe

```
In [12]: # Use json_normalize method to convert the json result into a dataframe
    # decode response content as json
    static_json_df = res.json()

In [13]: # apply json_normalize
    data = pd.json_normalize(static_json_df)
```

3. We then performed data cleaning and filling in the missing values

```
In [30]:
    rows = data_falcon9['PayloadMass'].values.tolist()[0]

    df_rows = pd.DataFrame(rows)
    df_rows = df_rows.replace(np.nan, PayloadMass)

    data_falcon9['PayloadMass'][0] = df_rows.values
    data_falcon9
```


DATA COLLECTION - SCRAPING

- We applied web scrapping to webscrap Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup
- We parsed the table and converted it into a pandas dataframe.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/jmhasan1/IB M-Data-Science-Capstone-Spacex/blob/main/ 2.%20webscraping.ipynb

```
1. Apply HTTP Get method to request the Falcon 9 rocket launch page
   static url = "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=List of Falcon 9 and Falcon Heavy launches&oldid=1027686922"
     # use requests.get() method with the provided static url
      # assign the response to a object
      html data = requests.get(static url)
      html data.status code
2. Create a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML response
       # Use BeautifulSoup() to create a BeautifulSoup object from a response text content
       soup = BeautifulSoup(html data.text, 'html.parser')
     Print the page title to verify if the BeautifulSoup object was created properly
      # Use soup.title attribute
       soup.title
      <title>List of Falcon 9 and Falcon Heavy launches - Wikipedia</title>
    Extract all column names from the HTML table header
     # Apply find_all() function with "th" element on first launch table
     # Iterate each th element and apply the provided extract_column from header() to get a column name
     # Append the Non-empty column name ('if name is not None and Len(name) > 0') into a list called column name
     element = soup.find all('th')
     for row in range(len(element)):
             name = extract_column_from_header(element[row])
            if (name is not None and len(name) > 0)
                column names.append(name)
   Create a dataframe by parsing the launch HTML tables
5. Export data to csv
```


DATA WRANGLING


- ➤ We performed exploratory data analysis and determined the training labels.
- ➤ We calculated the number of launches at each site, and the number and occurrence of each orbits
- ➤ We created landing outcome label from outcome column and exported the results to csv.
- ★The link to the notebook is
 https://gihttps://github.com/jmhasan1/IBM-Data-ScienceCapstone-Spacex/blob/main/3.%20Data%20wrangling-v2.ipynb



EDA WITH DATA VISUALIZATION

 We explored the data by visualizing the relationship between flight number and launch Site, payload and launch site, success rate of each orbit type, flight number and orbit type, the launch success yearly trend.





 The link to the notebook is https://github.com/jmhasan1/IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-Spacex/blob/ main/5.%20eda-dataviz-v2.ipynb

EDA WITH SQL

- We loaded the SpaceX dataset into a PostgreSQL database without leaving the jupyter notebook.
- We applied EDA with SQL to get insight from the data. We wrote queries to find out for instance:
 - The names of unique launch sites in the space mission.
 - The total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)
 - The average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1
 - The total number of successful and failure mission outcomes
 - The failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster version and launch site names.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/jmhasan1/IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-Spacex/blob/main/4.%20eda-sql-coursera_sqllite.ipynb

BUILD AN INTERACTIVE MAP WITH FOLIUM

- We marked all launch sites, and added map objects such as markers, circles, lines to mark the success or failure of launches for each site on the folium map.
- We assigned the feature launch outcomes (failure or success) to class 0 and 1.i.e., 0 for failure, and 1 for success.
- Using the color-labeled marker clusters, we identified which launch sites have relatively high success rate.
- We calculated the distances between a launch site to its proximities. We answered some question for instance:
 - Are launch sites near railways, highways and coastlines.
 - Do launch sites keep certain distance away from cities.

BUILD & DASHBOARD WITH PLOTLY DASH

- We built an interactive dashboard with Plotly dash
- We plotted pie charts showing the total launches by a certain sites
- We plotted scatter graph showing the relationship with Outcome and Payload Mass (Kg) for the different booster version.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/jmhasan1/IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-Spacex/blob/main/spacex_dash_app.py

PREDICTIVE ANALYSIS (CLASSIFICATION)


- We loaded the data using numpy and pandas, transformed the data, split our data into training and testing.
- We built different machine learning models and tune different hyperparameters using GridSearchCV.
- We used accuracy as the metric for our model, improved the model using feature engineering and algorithm tuning.
- We found the best performing classification model.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/jmhasan1/IBM-Data-Science-Capstone-Spacex/blob/main/7.%20SpaceX_Machine %20Learning%20Prediction_Part_5.ipynb

RESULTS

- Exploratory data analysis results
- Interactive analytics demo in screenshots
- Predictive analysis results



FLIGHT NUMBER VS LAUNCH SITE



Explanation:


The earliest flights all failed while the latest flights all succeeded.

The CCAFS SLC 40 launch site has about a half of all launches.

VAFB SLC 4E and KSC LC 39A have higher success rates.

It can be assumed that each new launch has a higher rate of success.

PAYLOAD VS. LAUCH SITE



Explanation:

For every launch site the higher the payload mass, the higher the success rate.

Most of the launches with payload mass over 7000 kg were successful.

KSC LC 39A has a 100% success rate for payload mass under 5500 kg too

SUCCESS RATE VS. ORBIT TYPE

Explanation:

• Orbits with 100% success rate:


ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO

Orbits with 0% success rate:

SO


• Orbits with success rate between 50% and 85%:

GTO, ISS, LEO, MEO, PO




FLIGHT NUMBER VS. ORBIT TYPE

• The plot below shows the Flight Number vs. Orbit type. We observe that in the LEO orbit, success is related to the number of flights whereas in the GTO orbit, there is no relationship between flight number and the orbit.




PAYLOAD VS. ORBIT TYPE

 We can observe that with heavy payloads, the successful landing are more for PO, LEO and ISS orbits.



LAUNCH SUCCESS YEARLY TREND

 From the plot, we can observe that success rate since 2013 kept on increasing till 2020.





ALL LAUNCH SITE NAMES

 We used the key word **DISTINCT** to show only unique launch sites from the SpaceX data.

Display the names of the unique launch sites in the space mission

| Out[10]: | launchsite | | | | |
|----------|------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | 0 | KSC LC-39A | | | |
| | 1 | CCAFS LC-40 | | | |
| | 2 | CCAFS SLC-40 | | | |
| | 3 | VAFB SLC-4 | | | |

LAUNCH SITE NAMES BEGIN WITH 'CCA'

Display 5 records where launch sites begin with the string 'CCA'

| [11]: | ta | <pre>task_2 = ''' SELECT * FROM SpaceX WHERE LaunchSite LIKE 'CCA%' LIMIT 5</pre> | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|----|---|----------|----------------|-----------------|--|---------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| | cr | | | sk_2, database | =conn) | | | | | | | | |
| t[11]: | | date | time | boosterversion | launchsite | payload | payloadmasskg | orbit | customer | missionoutcome | landingoutcom | | |
| | 0 | 2010-04- 06 | 18:45:00 | F9 v1.0 B0003 | CCAFS LC- 40 | Dragon Spacecraft Qualification Unit | 0 | LEO | SpaceX | Success | Failu (parachut | | |
| | 1 | 2010-08- 12 | 15:43:00 | F9 v1.0 B0004 | CCAFS LC- 40 | Dragon demo flight C1, two CubeSats, barrel of | 0 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (COTS) NRO | SHICCESS | Failu (parachut | | |
| | 2 | 2012-05- 22 | 07:44:00 | F9 v1.0 B0005 | CCAFS LC- 40 | Dragon demo flight C2 | 525 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (COTS) | Success | No attemp | | |
| | 3 | 2012-08- 10 | 00:35:00 | F9 v1.0 B0006 | CCAFS LC- 40 | SpaceX CRS-1 | 500 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (CRS) | Success | No attemp | | |
| | 4 | 2013-01- | 15:10:00 | F9 v1.0 B0007 | CCAFS LC- | SpaceX CRS-2 | 677 | LEO | NASA (CRS) | Success | No attemp | | |

 We used the query above to display 5 records where launch sites begin with `CCA`

TOTAL PAYLOAD MASS

 We calculated the total payload carried by boosters from NASA as 45596 using the query below

Display the total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS)

```
In [12]:
    task_3 = '''
        SELECT SUM(PayloadMassKG) AS Total_PayloadMass
        FROM SpaceX
        WHERE Customer LIKE 'NASA (CRS)'
        '''
    create_pandas_df(task_3, database=conn)
```

Out[12]: total_payloadmass

0 45596

AVERAGE PAYLOAD MASS BY F9 V1.1

 We calculated the average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1 as 2928.4

Display average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1

Out[13]: avg_payloadmass

0 2928.4

FIRST SUCCESSFUL GROUND LANDING DATE

 We observed that the dates of the first successful landing outcome on ground pad was 22nd December 2015

```
In [14]:
    task_5 = '''
        SELECT MIN(Date) AS FirstSuccessfull_landing_date
        FROM SpaceX
        WHERE LandingOutcome LIKE 'Success (ground pad)'
        '''
    create_pandas_df(task_5, database=conn)
```

Out[14]: firstsuccessfull_landing_date

0 2015-12-22

SUCCESSFUL DRONE SHIP LANDING WITH PAYLOAD BETWEEN 4000 AND 6000

 We used the WHERE clause to filter for boosters which have successfully landed on drone ship and applied the AND condition to determine successful landing with payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000

Out[15]: boosterversion 0 F9 FT B1022 1 F9 FT B1026 2 F9 FT B1021.2 3 F9 FT B1031.2

TOTAL NUMBER OF SUCCESSFUL AND FAILURE MISSION OUTCOMES

List the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes

```
In [16]:
          task 7a = '''
                  SELECT COUNT(MissionOutcome) AS SuccessOutcome
                  FROM SpaceX
                  WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'Success%'
          task 7b = '''
                  SELECT COUNT(MissionOutcome) AS FailureOutcome
                  FROM SpaceX
                  WHERE MissionOutcome LIKE 'Failure%'
          print('The total number of successful mission outcome is:')
          display(create pandas df(task 7a, database=conn))
          print()
          print('The total number of failed mission outcome is:')
          create pandas df(task 7b, database=conn)
         The total number of successful mission outcome is:
            successoutcome
                      100
         The total number of failed mission outcome is:
Out[16]:
            failureoutcome
```

We used wildcard like '%' to filter for **WHERE** MissionOutcome was a success or a failure

BOOSTERS CARRIED MAXIMUM PAYLOAD

 We determined the booster that have carried the maximum payload using a subquery in the WHERE clause and the MAX() function. List the names of the booster_versions which have carried the maximum payload mass. Use a subquery

| Out[17]: | | boosterversion | payloadmasskg |
|----------|----|----------------|---------------|
| | 0 | F9 B5 B1048.4 | 15600 |
| | 1 | F9 B5 B1048.5 | 15600 |
| | 2 | F9 B5 B1049.4 | 15600 |
| | 3 | F9 B5 B1049.5 | 15600 |
| | 4 | F9 B5 B1049.7 | 15600 |
| | 5 | F9 B5 B1051.3 | 15600 |
| | 6 | F9 B5 B1051.4 | 15600 |
| | 7 | F9 B5 B1051.6 | 15600 |
| | 8 | F9 B5 B1056.4 | 15600 |
| | 9 | F9 B5 B1058.3 | 15600 |
| | 10 | F9 B5 B1060.2 | 15600 |
| | 11 | F9 B5 B1060.3 | 15600 |

2015 LAUNCH RECORDS

 We used a combinations of the WHERE clause, LIKE, AND, and BETWEEN conditions to filter for failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for year 2015

List the failed landing_outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for in year 2015

```
In [18]:

task_9 = '''

SELECT BoosterVersion, LaunchSite, LandingOutcome
FROM SpaceX
WHERE LandingOutcome LIKE 'Failure (drone ship)'
AND Date BETWEEN '2015-01-01' AND '2015-12-31'

create_pandas_df(task_9, database=conn)

Out[18]:

boosterversion launchsite landingoutcome

0 F9 v1.1 B1012 CCAFS LC-40 Failure (drone ship)

1 F9 v1.1 B1015 CCAFS LC-40 Failure (drone ship)
```

RANK LANDING OUTCOMES BETWEEN 2010-06-04 AND 2017-03-20


Rank the count of landing outcomes (such as Failure (drone ship) or Success (ground pad))

| ut[19]: | | landingoutcome | count |
|---------|---|------------------------|-------|
| | 0 | No attempt | 10 |
| | 1 | Success (drone ship) | 6 |
| | 2 | Failure (drone ship) | 5 |
| | 3 | Success (ground pad) | 5 |
| | 4 | Controlled (ocean) | 3 |
| | 5 | Uncontrolled (ocean) | 2 |
| | 6 | Precluded (drone ship) | 1 |
| | 7 | Failure (parachute) | 1 |


- We selected Landing outcomes and the COUNT of landing outcomes from the data and used the WHERE clause to filter for landing outcomes BETWEEN 2010-06-04 to 2010-03-20.
- We applied the GROUP BY clause to group the landing outcomes and the ORDER BY clause to order the grouped landing outcome in descending order.



MARKERS




COLOR LABELS




LAUNCH SITE DISTANCE TO LANDMARKS

away from cities? Yes






PIE CHART SHOWING THE SUCCESS PERCENTAGE ACHIEVED BY EACH LAUNCH SITE




PIE CHART SHOWING THE LAUNCH SITE WITH THE HIGHEST LAUNCH SUCCESS RATIO



KSC LC-39A achieved a 76.9% success rate while getting a 23.1% failure rate

SCATTER PLOT OF PAYLOAD VS LAUNCH OUTCOME FOR ALL SITES, WITH DIFFERENT PAYLOAD SELECTED IN THE RANGE SLIDER



We can see the success rates for low weighted payloads is higher than the heavy weighted payloads




CLASSIFICATI ON ACCURACY

 The decision tree classifier is the model with the highest classification accuracy

Best params is : {'criterion': 'gini', 'max depth': 6, 'max features': 'auto', 'min samples leaf': 2, 'min samples split': 5, 'splitter': 'random'}

CONFUSION MATRIX

 The confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier shows that the classifier can distinguish between the different classes. The major problem is the false positives .i.e., unsuccessful landing marked as successful landing by the classifier.



CONCLUSIONS

We can conclude that:

- The larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.
- Launch success rate started to increase in 2013 till 2020.
- Orbits ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.
- KSC LC-39A had the most successful launches of any sites.
- The Decision tree classifier is the best machine learning algorithm for this task.

