

The Determinant of a Product

This section extends HW #45. The main idea goes as follows: Suppose A is a $n \times n$ matrix and A is row equivalent to B ,

where B is in RREF. Then there exist

elementary matrices, E_1, \dots, E_m such that

$$A = E_1 \cdots E_m B. \text{ By HW } \#45,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= \det(E_1) \det(E_2 \cdots E_m B) \\ &= \det(E_1) \det(E_2) \det(E_3 \cdots E_m B) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_m) \det(B). \end{aligned}$$

To see the usefulness of this computation – as you realized when doing HW #45, the

determinant of an elementary matrix

is nonzero. Thus, $\det(R) \neq 0$ if and

only if $\det(B) \neq 0$. Since B is a $n \times n$

matrix in RREF, B either has a row

of zeros or $B = I_n$. Thus, $\det(B) \neq 0$

if and only if $B = I_n$. It now follows

that $\det(R) \neq 0$ if and only if R

is row equivalent to I_n . Since R is

invertible if and only if R is row

equivalent to I_n — we have established

Theorem 12: Let A be a $n \times n$ matrix.

Then A is invertible if and only if

$$\det(A) \neq 0.$$

The following Theorem is a mild variation
of the ideas leading to Theorem #12. It
will prove useful in the near future.

Theorem *13: Let A be a $n \times n$ matrix and
define $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ via

$$T([x_1, \dots, x_n]) = \left(A \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \right)^t.$$

Then $\ker(T) \neq \{\vec{0}\}$ if and only if

$$\det(A) = 0.$$

Proof: Recall that $\ker(T) \neq \{\vec{0}\}$ precisely

when A is row equivalent to B , where B

is in RREF and at least one column of

B does not contain a pivot. Since B is $n \times n$

and in RREF, one column of B not

containing a pivot is equivalent to one

row of B not containing a pivot or, put

another way, B has a row of zeros. Thus,

$\ker(T) \neq \{\vec{0}\}$ if and only if $\det(B) = 0$.

But this means that $\ker(T) \neq \{\vec{0}\}$ if

and only if $\det(A) = 0$.

We now continue our discussion of

determinants of products by proving

Theorem #14: Suppose A and B are two $n \times n$

matrices. Then $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$.

Proof: Suppose A is row equivalent to \tilde{A}

where \tilde{A} is in RREF. Then there exist

elementary matrices, E_1, \dots, E_m , such

that $A = E_1 \dots E_m \tilde{A}$. By the remarks

in the opening paragraph of this

section we have

$$\det(AB) = \det(E_1) \dots \det(E_m) \det(\tilde{A}B).$$

There are two cases.

Case 1: \tilde{A} has a row of zeros.

As we have already noted,

if \tilde{A} has a row of zeros, then $\det(\tilde{A})=0$.

On the other hand, if \tilde{A} has a row of

zeros, then $\tilde{A}B$ has a row of zeros -

which implies that $\det(AB) = 0$.

Thus, in this case both $\det(AB)$

and $\det(A)\det(B)$ equal zero.

Case 2: \tilde{A} has no row of zeros - i.e,

$$\tilde{A} = I_n.$$

In this case, since $\det(I_n) = 1$,

we have

$$\det(AB) = \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_m) \det(I_n B)$$

$$= \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_m) \det(B)$$

$$= \det(E_1) \cdots \det(E_m) \det(I_n) \det(B)$$

$$= \det(E_1 \cdots E_m I_n) \det(B)$$

$$= \det(A) \det(B).$$

HW #46: Suppose A is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix. Prove

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}.$$

HW #47: Suppose A is a $n \times n$ matrix and $AA^t = I_n$.

Prove that $\det(A) = 1$ or

$$\det(A) = -1.$$

Cofactors and Related Topics

In this, the last section dedicated to computing determinants, we have gathered together a number of results related to cofactors in one way or another. Proofs will be omitted.

Notation and Terminology-

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ denote a $n \times n$ matrix. If $r, s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then A_{rs} will denote the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of A obtained by removing the r -th row and s -th column from A . The

(r,s) -minor of A , denoted by M_{rs} ,

is $M_{rs} = \det(A_{rs})$. The

(r,s) -cofactor of A , denoted by C_{rs} ,

is $C_{rs} = (-1)^{r+s} M_{rs}$.

Ex: If

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$A_{32} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cancel{2} & 3 \\ 4 & \cancel{5} & 6 \\ \cancel{7} & \cancel{8} & \cancel{9} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$M_{32} = \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 6 - 12 = -6$$

$$C_{32} = (-1)^{3+2} M_{32} = (-1)(-6) = 6.$$

In Calculus, you probably used row expansion along the 1-st row to compute determinants of 3×3 matrices.

The following Theorem justifies such computations (and generalizes such computations to rows, in general, and columns as well).

Theorem *15: Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ denote a $n \times n$ matrix, M_{rs} denote the (r,s) -minor of A and C_{rs} denote the (r,s) -cofactor of A . Then the following formulae are valid:

1) If $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A) &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} a_{kj} \det(A_{kj}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{k+j} a_{kj} M_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} C_{kj}.\end{aligned}$$

2) If $l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+l} a_{il} \det(A_{il}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+l} a_{il} M_{il} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{il} C_{il}.\end{aligned}$$

Formula 1) is frequently referred to as the cofactor expansion along the k -th row of A , while formula 2) is called cofactor expansion along the l -th column of A .

Ex: Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We'll compute $\det(A)$ by expanding along the third row, then by expanding along the second column.

The third row expansion:

$$A_{31} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, M_{31} = 12 - 15 = -3, C_{31} = -3$$

$$A_{32} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, M_{32} = 6 - 12 = -6, C_{32} = 6$$

$$A_{33} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, M_{33} = 5 - 8 = -3, C_{33} = -3$$

$$\det(A) = a_{31} C_{31} + a_{32} C_{32} + a_{33} C_{33}$$

$$= 7(-3) + 8(6) + 9(-3) = 0$$

The second column expansion:

$$R_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 6 \\ 7 & 9 \end{bmatrix}, M_{12} = 36 - 42 = -6, C_{12} = 6$$

$$R_{22} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 7 & 9 \end{bmatrix}, M_{22} = 9 - 21 = -12, C_{22} = -12$$

$$R_{32} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, M_{32} = 6 - 12 = -6, C_{32} = 6$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= a_{12}C_{12} + a_{22}C_{22} + a_{32}C_{32} \\ &= 2(6) + 5(-12) + 8(6) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

HW #48: Suppose $A = [a_{ij}]$ is a $n \times n$

upper triangular matrix, i.e. $a_{ij} = 0$

if $i > j$. Use Theorem #15 and induction

to show $\det(A) = a_{11}a_{22}\cdots a_{nn}$.

Now let $A = [a_{ij}]$ denote a $n \times n$ matrix
and let C_{ij} denote the (i,j) -cofactor of
 A . The matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & \cdots & C_{1n} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_{n1} & C_{n2} & \cdots & C_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the cofactor matrix of A .

The adjoint of A , denoted by $\text{adj}(A)$,

is the transpose of the cofactor matrix of

A , i.e.

$$\text{adj}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{21} & \cdots & C_{n1} \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & \cdots & C_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ C_{1n} & C_{2n} & \cdots & C_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The following formula is a consequence of
 cofactor expansion along rows and the
 determinant of a matrix having two equal rows
 is zero.

$$A(\text{adj}(A)) = \det(A) I_n$$

The following Theorem follows immediately
 from this formula.

Theorem #16: Suppose A is a $n \times n$ matrix
 and $\text{adj}(A)$ denotes the adjoint of A .

If $\det(A) \neq 0$, then

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \text{adj}(A).$$

Ex: Theorem #16 provides a frequently

employed method for constructing A^{-1}

when A is an invertible 2×2 matrix.

Suppose $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ where

$\det(A) = ad - bc \neq 0$. Note that

$$A_{11} = [d], M_{11} = d, C_{11} = d$$

$$A_{12} = [c], M_{12} = c, C_{12} = -c$$

$$A_{21} = [b], M_{21} = b, C_{21} = -b$$

$$A_{22} = [a], M_{22} = a, C_{22} = a.$$

So the cofactor matrix for A is

$$\begin{bmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\text{adj}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}^t = \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \text{adj}(A)$$

$$= \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

To check this ...

$$\frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{bmatrix} ad-bc & 0 \\ 0 & ad-bc \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= I_2 \checkmark$$

We now consider the system of linear equations

$$S: \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Letting $A = [a_{ij}]_{\substack{i=1, \dots, n \\ j=1, \dots, n}}$ and

$$\mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix},$$

this system becomes $A\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{B}$. Note

that if A is invertible, then

$$\mathbf{X} = A^{-1}\mathbf{B}.$$

Therefore, if $\det(A) \neq 0$, \mathcal{S} has

the unique solution

$$\mathbf{X}^t = (\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{B})^t.$$

Using Theorem *16, the following formula for computing this unique solution for \mathcal{S} is obtained.

Cramer's Rule : Let $A\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{B}$ denote the

system of linear equation, \mathcal{S} , as above.

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let A_i denote

the $n \times n$ matrix obtained by replacing

the i -th column of A with \mathbf{B} . If

$\det(A) \neq 0$, then the unique solution

to \mathcal{S} is

$$x_1 = \frac{\det(A_1)}{\det(A)}, \dots, x_n = \frac{\det(A_n)}{\det(A)}.$$

Ex : Consider the system \mathcal{S} below.

$$x_1 + 2x_2 = 3$$

$$4x_1 + 5x_2 = 6$$

or
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$

It follows that

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\det(A) = 5 - 8 = -3$$

$$\det(A_1) = 15 - 12 = 3$$

$$\det(A_2) = 6 - 12 = -6.$$

Cramer's Rule tells us that the unique solution to δ is:

$$x_1 = \frac{3}{-3} = -1$$

$$x_2 = \frac{-6}{-3} = 2.$$