# Scheduling and Analys of Limited-Preemptive Modable Gang Tasks

Joan Marcè i Igual

Geoffrey Nelissen

Mitra Nasri

Paris Panagiotou


24<sup>th</sup> of February, 2020



- Parallel threads executed together as a "gang"
- Execution does not start until there are enough free cores




- Parallel threads executed together as a "gang"
- Execution does not start until there are enough free cores






- Parallel threads executed together as a "gang"
- Execution does not start until there are enough free cores





- Parallel threads executed together as a "gang"
- Execution does not start until there are enough free cores






Avoids overhead when loading initial data




Avoids overhead when loading initial data





Avoids overhead when loading initial data






- Avoids overhead when loading initial data
- Allows synchronization

Global scheduling

Gang scheduling




- Avoids overhead when loading initial data
- Allows synchronization



Gang scheduling




- Avoids overhead when loading initial data
- Allows synchronization








• Rigid: number of cores set by programmer






- Rigid: number of cores set by programmer
- Moldable: number of cores assigned during scheduling






- Rigid: number of cores set by programmer
- Moldable: number of cores assigned during scheduling






- Rigid: number of cores set by programmer
- Moldable: number of cores assigned during scheduling





- Rigid: number of cores set by programmer
- Moldable: number of cores assigned during scheduling
- Malleable: number of cores can change during runtime







[4]Kato et al.,2009

• Introduced in the context of high-performance computing[1]



- Introduced in the context of high-performance computing[1]
- In real-time:



- Introduced in the context of high-performance computing[1]
- In real-time:
  - For rigid tasks:
    - Job-Level Fixed-Priority is not predictable
    - An optimal scheduler (DP-Fair) exists for preemptive tasks



[4]Kato et al.,2009


- Introduced in the context of high-performance computing[1]
- In real-time:
  - For rigid tasks:
    - Job-Level Fixed-Priority is not predictable
    - An optimal scheduler (DP-Fair) exists for preemptive tasks
  - For moldable tasks
    - Global EDF has been adapted<sup>[4]</sup>
    - Preemptive scheduler that chooses cores to meet the deadline



<sup>[4]</sup>Kato et al.,2009


- In real-time:
  - For malleable tasks:
    - An optimal preemptive scheduler, in terms of processors, has been proposed<sup>[6]</sup>

- In real-time:
  - For malleable tasks:
    - An optimal preemptive scheduler, in terms of processors, has been proposed<sup>[6]</sup>
  - Bundled task-model<sup>[7]</sup>:
    - Preemptive rigid gang tasks
    - Tasks with precedence constraints modeled as a succession of "bundles"
    - Our limited-preemptive definition comes from here






Rigid gang could ask for cores that does not use






- Rigid gang could ask for cores that does not use
- Bundled<sup>[7]</sup> asks only the required cores but preemptions can happen inside a bundle






- Rigid gang could ask for cores that does not use
- Bundled<sup>[7]</sup> asks only the required cores but preemptions can happen inside a bundle
- LP only allows preemptions between bundles





## Schedulability analysis

- Accurate and relatively fast analysis
- Based on the notion of Schedule Abstraction Graph
- Faster than an exact analysis
- Not as pessimistic as closed-form analyses



#### Our work

- We aim to extend schedulability analysis to moldable gang under the Job-Level Fixed Priority scheduler
  - Many different scenarios
  - Scheduler has to decide
    - When to release a job
    - How many cores to assign to this job
  - This could lead to state-space explosion



- $A_p^{\min}$  Time at which we have p cores **possibly** available
- $A_p^{\max}$  Time at which we have p cores **certainly** available
- $EST_i^p$  Earliest Start Time of job i with p cores
- $LST_i^p$  Latest Start Time of job i with p cores
- $EFT_i^p$  Earliest Finishing Time of job i with p cores
- $LFT_i^p$  Latest Finishing Time of job i with p cores

$$EST_i^p \le LST_i^p$$



$$EST_i^p = \max\{r_i^{\min}, A_p^{\min}\}$$

- Job cannot start before:
  - Being released
  - Enough cores are available

$$EST_i^p = \max\{r_i^{\min}, A_p^{\min}\}$$

- Job cannot start before:
  - Being released
  - Enough cores are available

$$LST_i^p = \min\{t_{avail}, t_{wc}, t_{high} - 1\}$$

- Job cannot start with p cores after:
  - p+1 are avaible
  - A lower priority task can start
  - A higher priority task can start

• Obtain  $EFT_i^p$  and  $LFT_i^p$  from job i

$$EFT_i^p = EST_i^p + c_i^{\min}(p)$$
$$LFT_i^p = LST_i^p + c_i^{\max}(p)$$

• Obtain  $EFT_i^p$  and  $LFT_i^p$  from job i

$$EFT_i^p = EST_i^p + c_i^{\min}(p)$$
$$LFT_i^p = LST_i^p + c_i^{\max}(p)$$

- Which allows us to compute  $A_p^{\min}$  and  $A_p^{\max}$ 


#### **LPMRGS**

- Limited-Preemptive Malleable Reservation Gang Scheduler
- Non-work conserving scheduler
- Reserve cores of higher priority tasks and distribute the remaining ones among lower priority tasks



#### **LPMRGS**


- Limited-Preemptive Malleable Reservation Gang Scheduler
- Non-work conserving scheduler
- Reserve cores of higher priority tasks and distribute the remaining ones among lower priority tasks





#### **LPMRGS**

- Limited-Preemptive Malleable Reservation Gang Scheduler
- Non-work conserving scheduler
- Reserve cores of higher priority tasks and distribute the remaining ones among lower priority tasks





# Questions?

