

1. Suppose that $f(z)$ is analytic on the complex plane minus a single point z_0 . Suppose further that f has a simple pole at z_0 and a removable singularity at infinity. Prove that

$$f(z) = \frac{A}{z - z_0} + B$$

where A and B are complex constants.

Proof. Let $g(z) = (z - z_0)f(z)$. Then g has a removable singularity at z_0 , and hence can be extended to an entire function. Hence there are $\{c_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z - z_0)^n \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

So

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z - z_0)^{n-1} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\}.$$

Thus

$$f(z) - \frac{c_0}{z - z_0} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z - z_0)^{n-1} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\}. \quad (1)$$

The right hand side of (1) is a power series with an infinite radius of convergence. Thus the function on the left hand side of (1) can be extended to an entire function, which we will denote h . Now h also has a removable singularity at infinity, hence we know there are $M_1, R > 0$ such that

$$|h(z)| \leq M_1 \quad z \in D_R(0)^c. \quad (2)$$

Then since $|h(z)|$ is continuous on $\overline{D_R(0)}$, there is $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$|h(z)| \leq M_2 \quad \text{for all } z \in \overline{D_R(0)}.$$

Hence combining (2) and (3)

$$|h(z)| \leq M_1 + M_2 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Since h is entire and bounded, by Liouville's theorem h is constant. So using the notation of (1) we conclude

$$f(z) - \frac{c_0}{z - z_0} = c_1 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\}$$

which is what we wished to show. □

2. Let

$$f(z) = \frac{\log z}{(z^2 + 4)^2},$$

where \log denotes a branch of the complex logarithm with branch cut along the negative imaginary axis that agree with the real logarithm \ln on the positive real axis. For a radius $r > 0$, let C_r denote the half circle parametrized by $z(t) = re^{it}$ for $0 \leq t \leq \pi$, and for $a < b$, let $L[a, b]$ denote the line segment on the real line parametrized by $z(t) = t$ for $a \leq t \leq b$.

- Assume that $r > 0$. Prove that $\int_{C_r} f(z) dz$ goes to zero as r goes to infinity and as r goes to zero.
- Assume that $0 < \varepsilon < R$. Note that $\int_{L[\varepsilon, R]} f(z) dz = \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{\ln t}{(t^2 + 4)^2} dt$. Express $\int_{L[-R, -\varepsilon]} f(z) dz$ in terms of explicit real integrals.
- Compute the residue of $f(z)$ at $2i$.
- Finally, use the residue theorem, take limits, and take the real part to compute

$$I = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\ln t}{(t^2 + 4)^2} dt.$$

Proof. a) First we deal with when $r \rightarrow \infty$. From the basic integral estimate we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{C_r} f(z) dz \right| &\leq \pi r \left(\sup_{z \in C_r} \left| \frac{\log z}{(z^2 + 4)^2} \right| \right) \\ &= \pi r \left(\frac{\ln(r) + \pi}{(r^2 - 4)^2} \right) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Using the same estimate we have

$$\left| \int_{C_r} f(z) dz \right| \leq \frac{\pi r (\ln(r) + \pi)}{(4 - r^2)^2}.$$

And it is clear this goes to 0 as $r \rightarrow 0$.

- Parametrize $L[-\varepsilon, -R]$ by $r(t) = -t$ for $\varepsilon \leq t \leq R$. Then since $r'(t) = -1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{L[-\varepsilon, -R]} f(z) dz &= \int_{\varepsilon}^R -f(-t) dt \\ &= - \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{\log(-t)}{((-t)^2 + 4)^2} dt \\ &= - \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{\ln(t) + \pi i}{(t^2 + 4)^2} dt \\ &= - \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{\ln(t)}{(t^2 + 4)^2} dt - \pi i \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{dt}{(t^2 + 4)^2} \end{aligned}$$

But we need to reverse the orientation, hence

$$\int_{L[-R, -\varepsilon]} f(z) dz = \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{\ln(t)}{(t^2 + 4)^2} dz + \pi i \int_{\varepsilon}^R \frac{dt}{(t^2 + 4)^2}.$$

c) We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Res} \left(\frac{\log z}{(z^2 + 4)^2}, z = 2i \right) &= \lim_{z \rightarrow 2i} \left(\frac{\log z}{(z + 2i)^2} \right)' \\
&= \lim_{z \rightarrow 2i} \frac{(z + 2i)^2 z^{-1} - 2 \log(z)(z + 2i)}{(z + 2i)^4} \\
&= \frac{8i - 8i \log(2i)}{256} \\
&= \frac{8i - 8i \ln(2) + 4\pi}{256} \\
&= \frac{\pi}{64} + i \frac{1 - \ln(2)}{32}
\end{aligned}$$

d) Let γ denote the path which is formed by traversing C_ε , $L[\varepsilon, R]$, C_R , and $L[-R, -\varepsilon]$ in the counterclockwise sense. Then we have

$$\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \int_{C_\varepsilon} f(z) dz + \int_{L[\varepsilon, R]} f(z) dz + \int_{C_R} f(z) dz + \int_{[-R, -\varepsilon]} f(z) dz.$$

The integral on the left hand side can be found by multiplying our answer in c) by $2\pi i$. Sending $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ causes the first and third integrals on the right hand side to tend to 0 by a) and so by b)

$$2\pi i \left(\frac{\pi}{64} + i \frac{1 - \ln(2)}{32} \right) = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{\ln(t)}{(t^2 + 4)^2} dt + \pi i \int_0^R \frac{dt}{(t^2 + 4)^2}.$$

Taking the real part of both sides we get

$$\pi \frac{\ln(2) - 1}{16} = 2I.$$

Hence

$$I = \pi \frac{\ln(2) - 1}{32}.$$

□

3. Suppose that $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of distinct points in the unit disc with no limit points in the disc. Prove that the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is *equal* to one.

Proof. Since $\{a_n\} \subset D_1(0)$, we have that $|a_n| < 1$. Hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} \leq 1. \quad (3)$$

$\overline{D_1(0)}$ is compact, so there is a convergent subsequence of $\{a_n\}$. Since $\{a_n\}$ has no limit point *inside* the disc, all limit points of $\{a_n\}$ must lie on the boundary. So for fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, there will be infinitely many members of the sequence such that

$$1 - \varepsilon < |a_n|.$$

So let $\{a_{n_k}\}$ be a subsequence such that

$$1 - \varepsilon < |a_{n_k}| \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Hence

$$\sqrt[k]{1 - \varepsilon} < \sqrt[k]{|a_{n_k}|}.$$

So

$$1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{1 - \varepsilon} \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[k]{|a_{n_k}|} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}. \quad (4)$$

From (3) and (4) we conclude that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = 1$$

and so by the Cauchy-Hadamard theorem the radius of convergence is 1. \square

4. Prove that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z-n)^2}$ converges on the complex plane minus the positive integers to an analytic function with a double pole at each positive integer.

Proof. Fix $R > 0$. Then for $z \in D_R(0) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ we see

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z-n)^2} = \sum_{n \leq R} \frac{1}{(z-n)^2} + \sum_{n > R} \frac{1}{(z-n)^2}. \quad (5)$$

The first sum on the right side of (5) converges because it is a finite sum. The second converges due to the comparison test. Thus by the Weierstrass M-test, $\sum \frac{1}{(z-n)^2}$ converges uniformly on $D_R(0) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ to an analytic function. Since $R > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $\sum \frac{1}{(z-n)^2}$ converges to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. Now for $k \geq 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, fix $R > m$. Then we know that convergence is uniform on $D_R(0)$ so we may interchange the sum and limit in the following computation to get

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow m} (z-m)^k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(z-n)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lim_{z \rightarrow m} \frac{(z-m)^k}{(z-n)^2} = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 2 \\ 0 & k \geq 3 \end{cases}.$$

Thus the poles at each of the natural numbers is of order 2. □

5. Suppose that $f(z)$ is a continuous complex valued function on a disc such that the integral $\int_{\gamma} f(z) dz$ is equal to zero for every contour γ that is the boundary of a square in the disc. Prove that f must be analytic.

Proof. Let f be a continuous complex valued function on the disc $D_r(z')$. Define

$$g(z) = f\left(\frac{z - z'}{r}\right)$$

so g is defined on $D_1(0)$. For $z_0 \in D_1(0)$ let S be the square with 0 and z_0 being opposite vertices, then S is completely contained in $D_1(0)$. This follows from the triangle inequality and the convexity of $D_1(0)$. Define

$$G(z) = \int_0^{z_0} g(z) dz$$

where the integral is taken along the sides of S . Notice it does not matter which sides we pick since by assumption the integral of g over S is 0. Then for $h \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$z_0 + |h|e^{i\theta} \in D_1(0) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$$

we see that

$$G(z_0 + h) - G(z_0) = \int_{z_0}^{z_0+h} g(z) dz \quad (6)$$

where the integral in (6) is taken along the edges of the square whose opposite vertices are z_0 and $z_0 + h$. Since g is continuous at z_0 , there is a function $\psi(z)$ such that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \psi(z) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = g(z_0) + \psi(z).$$

Then rewriting (6) we have

$$G(z_0 + h) - G(z_0) = \int_{z_0}^{z_0+h} g(z_0) dz + \int_{z_0}^{z_0+h} \psi(z) dz = hg(z_0) + \int_{z_0}^{z_0+h} \psi(z) dz. \quad (7)$$

Divide both sides of (7) by h and subtract $g(z_0)$ from both sides to get

$$\left| \frac{G(z_0 + h) - G(z_0)}{h} - g(z_0) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{z_0}^{z_0+h} \psi(z) dz \right|. \quad (8)$$

Now we wish to estimate the right hand side of (8). The length of the path along the edges of the square whose opposite vertices are z_0 and $z_0 + h$ is $\sqrt{2}|h|$. Hence the basic integral estimate applied to (8) yields

$$\left| \frac{G(z_0 + h) - G(z_0)}{h} - g(z_0) \right| \leq \sqrt{2} \sup_{z \in [z_0, z_0+h]} |\psi(z)|. \quad (9)$$

Take $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\psi(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow z_0$, there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$z \in D_{2\delta}(z_0) \implies |\psi(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

So if in (9) we require that $|h| < \delta$ we see

$$\left| \frac{G(z_0 + h) - G(z_0)}{h} - g(z_0) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence G is differentiable at z_0 and $G'(z_0) = g(z_0)$. But since $z_0 \in D_1(0)$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $G \in H(D_1(0))$ and $G'(z) = g(z)$. Thus $g \in H(D_1(0))$ which implies $f \in H(D_r(z'))$. \square