

ALL GOOD SO FAR...

BUT NOW SAY YOU DECIDE THAT THE ISHAPE INTERFACE NEEDS A NEW METHOD GETCOLOR

IT WOULD SEEM LIKE WE HAVE 2 OPTIONS, NEITHER OF WHICH IS GREAT

OPTION #1: ADD A GETCOLOR METHOD TO THE INTERFACE ISHAPE AND ADD IMPLEMENTATIONS TO ALL EXISTING CLASSES THAT IMPLEMENT ISHAPE

OPTION #2: CREATE A NEW INTERFACE, ISHAPE2, WHICH HAS THE GETCOLOR METHOD, AND HAVE ISHAPE2 EXTEND ISHAPE. EXISTING CLASSES WOULD BE UNCHANGED, BUT NEW IMPLEMENTATIONS WOULD BE OF ISHAPE2, AND NOT OF ISHAPE

BREAKING BACKWARD COMPATIBILITY IS A TERRIBLE IDEA, ALWAYS

OPTION 1 SUCKS, BECAUSE ALL OF THE CLASSES

THAT IMPLEMENTED ISHAPE, SUCH AS MYSQUARE, MYCIRCLE,... WILL NOW STOP WORKING (UNLESS THEY EACH GET THEIR OWN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GET COLOR METHOD)

.

THESE 2 INTERFACES DRIVE ERRATIC BEHAVIOUR

OPTION 2 SUCKS, BECAUSE SOMES
SHAPES WOULD IMPLEMENT ISHAPE
(AND NOT SUPPORT THE GET COLOR FUNCTION),
WHILE SOME OTHER SHAPES WOULD
ARBITRARILY SUPPORT THIS FUNCTION

DEFAULT INTERFACE METHODS TO THE RESCUE!

JAVA NOW HAS A WAY FOR INTERFACES TO CONTAIN A DEFAULT IMPLEMENTATION OF METHODS

THIS MIGHT SEEM COUNTER-INTUITIVE AT FIRST, BECAUSE WE TYPICALLY THINK OF INTERFACES AS BEING METHOD SIGNATURES WITH NO METHOD IMPLEMENTATIONS..

..BUT IN SITUATIONS WHERE THE PROGRAMMER
WOULD LIKE TO ADD TO EXISTING INTERFACES AND
STILL KEEP BACKWARD COMPATIBILITY, THIS NEW
FEATURE IS A GODSEND

USING DEFAULT METHODS IS INCREDIBLY SIMPLE, JUST MARK THE METHOD WITH THE DEFAULT KEYWORD

NEW AND PRE-EXISTING ISHAPE

CLASSES WILL ALL SATISFY THE SAME public double getArea public double getPeris

public double getArea(); ISHAPEN wish To default string getColor() {
 return "Color.Red";

NONE OF THE CLASSES IMPLEMENTING ISHAPE NEED TO CHANGE, UNLESS THEY WISH TO