

# AI-Driven “Unicorn” Trading System – Research & Design Blueprint

## Saty ATR Levels & SATY Indicator Ecosystem

**Saty ATR Levels:** Developed by Saty Mahajan, this indicator plots adaptive support/resistance **levels based on ATR (Average True Range)** and key Fibonacci ratios <sup>1</sup>. It uses the **previous period's close as a central pivot**, then adds “trigger” levels at  **$\pm 23.6\%$  of ATR** (potential long/short entry triggers), **mid-range levels at  $61.8\%$  of ATR, full range at  $\pm 1$  ATR**, and **extension levels at  $161.8\%$  ( $\approx 1.618$  ATR)** beyond the pivot <sup>1</sup>. In practice, if price moves above the upper 23.6%-ATR trigger, it signals a possible bullish breakout; falling below the lower trigger signals a bearish move. The **1 ATR level** often serves as a **profit target or intraday range expectation**, while a  **$2 \times \text{ATR}$  extension** marks an extreme move <sup>1</sup>. An info panel displays the current trend (bullish/bearish) derived from EMAs and how much of the ATR range has been utilized (range %), helping traders judge if a move has room to run or is stretched <sup>2</sup>.

**How levels are derived:** The ATR Levels script computes these zones using the prior day's closing price as a baseline. For example, with  $\text{ATR} = X$ , it plots a *lower trigger* at  $\text{prevClose} - 0.236 \cdot \text{ATR}$  and an *upper trigger* at  $\text{prevClose} + 0.236 \cdot \text{ATR}$ . Likewise, the *full-range* support is at  $\text{prevClose} - 1 \cdot \text{ATR}$  and resistance at  $\text{prevClose} + 1 \cdot \text{ATR}$ . “Mid” levels near  $0.618$  ATR and *extension* levels ( $1.236, 1.618 \times \text{ATR}$ , etc.) are also drawn <sup>3</sup> <sup>4</sup>. These create a “cloud” of shaded regions around the price – essentially **ATR-based support/resistance bands** above and below the prior close. The default ATR lookback is 14 periods, and multiple trading modes (day, swing, position, etc.) adjust the reference timeframe for ATR (e.g. day mode uses daily ATR, swing might use weekly) <sup>5</sup> <sup>6</sup>. **In essence, Saty's ATR clouds map out expected price range and key inflection levels for the current session.** Traders often treat the 23.6% ATR lines as entry triggers and the 100% ATR lines as primary targets <sup>1</sup>. A move beyond 1 ATR into the extension zone ( $1.618$  ATR) is relatively rare and may indicate an extremely strong trend or exhaustion point.

**Trend and signals:** Saty ATR Levels incorporates a trend assessment (labeled in the info box) based on an EMA ribbon – by default EMAs 8, 21, 34 are used to gauge trend direction <sup>5</sup> <sup>7</sup>. For example, if the fast EMA (8) is above the slow EMA (34), the trend label shows bullish. This trend label was later refined using Saty's Pivot Ribbon logic <sup>8</sup>. The indicator also labels **potential long/short signals**: when price crosses above an ATR trigger level in an uptrend, a **long setup** is indicated; conversely breaking below the lower trigger in a downtrend signals a **short** <sup>1</sup>. These “Saty signals” are meant to be confirmed with other factors like volume or price action. In Saty's educational content, he emphasizes using ATR levels **in confluence with trend and price patterns** – e.g. a common play is a **break-and-retest**: price breaks a trigger level, then retests it and holds, confirming an entry with ATR targets above <sup>9</sup>. Traders also watch for when price approaches a **full ATR level**; hitting  $\pm 1$  ATR from the pivot might be a take-profit zone for day trades, since that's the expected daily range. A run to **2 ATR** is a “home run” move – quite rare – and likely to pull back unless a major catalyst is driving it.

**SATY Cloud & Pivot Ribbon:** In the trading community, “**Saty cloud**” often refers to the visual ribbon or cloud around price created by the ATR bands (e.g. shading the area up to the trigger or ATR levels) <sup>9</sup>. Saty

also introduced the **Pivot Ribbon** indicator, a multi-EMA ribbon system “inspired by Ripster EMA Clouds” <sup>10</sup>. The basic **Saty Pivot Ribbon** uses three EMAs (default 8, 21, 34) to define trend and support/resistance zones <sup>11</sup>. It color-codes the ribbon: **green/blue for bullish trend** and **red/orange for bearish**, with a “ribbon folding” visualization when EMAs cross (the ribbon narrows at crossover) <sup>12</sup>. A **bullish EMA crossover** (e.g. 8 EMA crossing above 34 EMA) will turn the ribbon from red to green, providing a clear trend flip signal. The Pivot Ribbon Pro version extends this to 5 EMAs (8, 13, 21, 48, 200) for more granular long-term context <sup>13</sup> <sup>14</sup>. It even adds “**conviction arrows**” when medium-term EMAs (13 and 48) cross, suggesting a high-conviction trend change <sup>14</sup>. In our system, Saty’s ATR levels will supply **structure** (key price levels, targets) and a basic trend bias, while the Pivot Ribbon (or an equivalent EMA filter) will ensure we trade in the direction of the broader trend (e.g. only take longs if the ribbon is bullish/green, meaning shorter EMAs above longer EMAs).

**Using Saty’s indicators – rule cues:** Based on Saty’s tutorials, a potential **long setup** might be: Price is above the central pivot and ATR trigger, **trend label is bullish**, and volume confirms – enter long, target the 1×ATR level or next fib level <sup>1</sup> <sup>15</sup>. A **short setup**: Price breaks below the lower ATR trigger in a downtrend and stays below the pivot, signaling momentum to the downside. Saty often notes the **previous day’s close (pivot)** as a make-or-break level – e.g. if price fails to reclaim the prior close after morning moves, and falls into the lower ATR band, it favors shorts. Additionally, the **ATR range %** tells if there’s fuel left: e.g. if by mid-day the stock has only used 50% of its ATR, there may be room for a further trending move; if it’s already at 120% of ATR (beyond full range), the move may be overextended. We will incorporate these insights by treating Saty ATR bands as **dynamic support/resistance** and using them to place stops and targets (e.g. stop just below a key ATR support for longs, target at the next ATR band up). In summary, **Saty’s ecosystem (ATR Levels + Pivot Ribbon)** provides a structured price map and trend filter for our strategy <sup>2</sup> <sup>16</sup>.

## Ripster EMA Clouds (Trend “Clouds” by EMAs)

**Concept and Construction:** *EMA Clouds* were popularized by trader @Ripster47 as a visual trend-following system. An EMA Cloud is simply the area **shaded between two chosen EMA lines**, creating a “cloud” that highlights trend direction and acts as dynamic support/resistance <sup>17</sup>. For example, shading between the 34 EMA and 50 EMA yields a **mid-term trend cloud** – if price is above the 34/50 cloud and the cloud is colored green (fast EMA > slow EMA), the bias is bullish; if below a red cloud (fast < slow), bias is bearish <sup>18</sup>. Ripster’s system employs **multiple EMA clouds of different lengths** simultaneously, akin to a “rainbow” on the chart <sup>19</sup>. Common sets include: **5-12 EMA** (very fast cloud for short-term trend), **8-9 EMA** (ultra-fast, often used for minor pullbacks), **20-21 EMA** (fast vs medium), **34-50 EMA** (core trend filter), and sometimes even 72-89 EMA for a long-term trend cloud <sup>20</sup> <sup>21</sup>. The **34/50 EMA cloud** is particularly significant – Ripster calls it the **“overall trend decider”**: price above the 34-50 cloud = bullish regime, price below = bearish, on any timeframe <sup>18</sup> <sup>22</sup>. In practice, traders keep an eye on the **color flips** of the clouds (e.g. when a cloud turns from red to green, indicating an EMA bull cross) as potential trend change signals <sup>23</sup>. “Ideally, a 5-12 or 5-13 EMA cloud acts as a fluid trendline for day trades, 8-9 EMA clouds can be used as pullback levels, and a price over the 34-50 EMA cloud confirms a bullish bias on any timeframe,” as Ripster explains <sup>24</sup>.

**Usage for Entries & Exits:** EMA clouds provide **clear visual zones** for entries, stops, and exits. For instance, in an uptrend, price will often **pull back “into the cloud” (between the two EMAs)** and then bounce – this is a low-risk entry point to “buy the dip” with the cloud serving as support <sup>25</sup> <sup>26</sup>. Traders will enter when the pullback holds at or above the lower EMA and the cloud stays green, then ride the next leg up. The cloud bottom or top can serve as a **stop level** – e.g. if price closes fully below the cloud (in a long trade), it often signals trend failure. Figure 1 illustrates this concept: a **green 20/50 EMA cloud acting as support** –

when price dips into the cloud, it finds support and resumes rising, whereas a **red 34/50 cloud** above price acts as resistance in a downtrend <sup>26</sup> <sup>27</sup>.

*Fig.1: Example of Saty ATR Levels on a daily chart. Shaded “cloud” regions mark key ATR-based support/resistance: the yellow band is the prior close (pivot), turquoise lines are ±1 ATR, and gray lines are fib extensions (1.618 ATR). The info box (top-right) shows trend direction and current range % of ATR <sup>1</sup>. Traders look for price to break above or below trigger levels (white lines just outside the pivot) to initiate trades, with targets at the ATR bands.*

In Ripster's system, **multiple clouds work together**: For example, a trader might use **34/50 EMA cloud** to determine the overall trend (must be green for longs), then use a faster **8/21 EMA cloud** (or 5/12) for timing entries – entering on a smaller pullback that touches the 8/21 cloud and then resumes upward. The **8-9 EMA cloud** (virtually a ribbon since 8 and 9 are close) is sometimes overlaid to mark very shallow pullbacks or momentum continuation points <sup>24</sup>. Ripster notes that these smaller EMA clouds are “optional” – they can help identify add-on entry points during a strong trend (e.g. adding to a position when price pulls back to the 8 EMA). However, the bigger picture is governed by the 34/50 cloud: **if price is over the 34 & 50 EMAs (bullish cloud) stay long-biased; if under a red 34/50 cloud, favor shorts** <sup>28</sup>. This rule is often called Ripster's “**Golden Rule of Trend**”, echoing the Ichimoku concept: never trade against the higher-timeframe cloud. Our strategy will adopt this by requiring, for instance, that longs are only taken when price is above the **anchor cloud** (34/50 or similar) and that cloud is bullish (e.g. 34 EMA > 50 EMA) <sup>29</sup> <sup>21</sup>.

**Example – Day Trading with EMA Clouds:** Suppose we're watching a stock on a 10-minute chart (Ripster's recommended intraday timeframe <sup>30</sup>). Off the open, price surges above the **34/50 cloud** which turns green – giving us a bullish bias. We then wait for the first **pullback to the 5/13 cloud** (very tight) or the **8/21 EMA cloud**. Price pulls back and touches the 8 EMA (within the cloud area) while volume remains strong; the 34/50 cloud is still green and below price. This confluence (short-term dip in a larger uptrend) is our entry cue. We go long as price ticks back up off the cloud. The stop can be set just below the 21 EMA or below the 34 EMA – essentially below the cloud support. As price resumes the uptrend, we take partial profits when it reaches the prior high and monitor the **cloud color**: if a red cloud forms (say 5 EMA crossing under 13 EMA) we might tighten our stop or take profit, as that could signal a momentum cooldown. If instead the clouds remain bullish stacked and price continues, we ride the trend. **Color flips of clouds** are key exit signals – e.g. a **green-to-red flip on the fast cloud** or ultimately on the 34/50 cloud means the trend likely reversed. This systematic approach helps **avoid emotional exits**; you “stop out” when the trend structure (cloud) fails, not just on random noise.

**Typical parameters and confluence:** Many traders have personalized which EMA pairs to use. Ripster's own posts suggest **5-12 or 5-13** for a “**fluid**” **intraday trendline**, **8-9** for detecting minor dips, and **34-50** for the dominant trend on both intraday and swing timeframes <sup>24</sup>. Others also use **20-21** or **72-89** for additional context <sup>20</sup>. The idea is to layer multiple clouds to see short, medium, and long-term trends at a glance. In our system, we might incorporate two sets: an “**entry cloud**” (fast EMAs, e.g. 8 & 21) and a “**context cloud**” (slow EMAs, e.g. 34 & 50). A **rare alignment** occurs when *all* these clouds agree (both fast and slow clouds bullish, for instance). Such multi-timeframe confluence is powerful – e.g. a stock above a bullish 34/50 cloud on the 1-hour chart and also above a bullish 8/21 cloud on the 15-min chart is a high-confidence long setup. We'll leverage these ideas in our “**unicorn**” **detector**, giving extra weight to signals when different trend measures line up together. As one source notes, the EMA cloud indicator essentially **combines the logic of moving averages and Ichimoku** – it shades the space between averages, making trend “regimes” obvious and encouraging traders to buy dips in green clouds and sell rallies into red clouds

<sup>31</sup> <sup>29</sup>.

## TTM Squeeze & Momentum Breakouts

**TTM Squeeze Overview:** The TTM Squeeze (by John Carter) is a popular indicator for identifying **low-volatility periods that precede explosive moves**. It works by combining **Bollinger Bands** and **Keltner Channels** to find when price volatility contracts. Specifically, when the **Bollinger Bands (typically 20-period,  $2\sigma$ ) tighten enough to fit inside the 20-period Keltner Channels (1.5×ATR width)**, the market is in a “squeeze” – a very quiet, compressed state <sup>32</sup> <sup>33</sup>. The TTM Squeeze indicator plots this as a series of dots on the zero line: **red dots indicate “Squeeze ON”** (BB inside KC; volatility is compressed) and **green dots indicate “Squeeze OFF”** (BB has expanded back outside KC; volatility release) <sup>34</sup>. The core idea is “*periods of low volatility often precede large directional moves*” – so a red dot sequence is like a coiled spring, and the first green dot is the spring releasing. The indicator couples this volatility signal with a **momentum oscillator (histogram)** to gauge the likely direction of the breakout <sup>35</sup> <sup>36</sup>. The momentum histogram usually oscillates above/below zero and may be colored (e.g. light vs dark bars) to show increasing or decreasing momentum. **When a squeeze “fires” (green dot), Carter’s rule of thumb is to trade in the direction of the momentum histogram at that moment** <sup>35</sup> <sup>36</sup>. For example, if the squeeze fires and the momentum bars are well above zero (indicating buying pressure), you’d go long, anticipating an upward breakout. Conversely, if momentum is below zero on the release, favor a short trade.

**Parameters and variants:** The standard settings are BB(20, 2.0) and Keltner(20, 1.5 ATR) as mentioned <sup>33</sup> <sup>37</sup>. These can be tweaked (some traders use 1.0 ATR or 2.0 ATR Keltner, or different periods, for different instruments/timeframes). There are also proprietary variants like “Squeeze Pro” that identify different grades of squeezes (e.g. shallow vs deep compression), but the principle remains: identifying **price range consolidation**. The momentum component in TTM Squeeze is essentially a smoothed momentum oscillator often calculated via a linear regression of price vs a local average <sup>38</sup> <sup>39</sup> – but one need not dive into the math. Visually, rising green histogram bars mean upward momentum increasing, red bars mean downward momentum. We will likely use a simplified momentum measure (even something like MACD or RSI could serve if needed) in tandem with the squeeze dots.

**Trading the Squeeze – Best Practices:** A common strategy is **to wait for at least one green dot (“squeeze off”) as confirmation before entering**, rather than predicting the breakout during the red-dot phase. Carter often entered on the **first or second green dot** following a series of red dots <sup>40</sup>. Additionally, **the length of time in a squeeze matters**: a squeeze that lasted many bars signifies a stronger base and often a bigger ensuing move, whereas a very brief squeeze (just 1-2 red dots) might be a false start. In fact, many traders **filter out “weak” squeezes** by requiring a minimum number of red dots before acting. For example, one quantitative analysis found that **waiting for 3 consecutive squeeze bars** (red dots) before trading improved the outcome – it filtered out quick volatility flashes that didn’t lead to real trends <sup>41</sup>. We will incorporate this by, say, only considering a squeeze signal valid if the squeeze condition held for a certain number of periods (e.g. >2 bars). This reduces whipsaws <sup>41</sup>.

Another best practice is **to confirm the squeeze breakout with external factors**. Because a volatility breakout can fail (price might pop then reverse), it’s wise to **trade squeezes in the direction of the prevailing higher-timeframe trend or with a confirming chart pattern** <sup>42</sup> <sup>43</sup>. For instance, if a daily chart is in a strong uptrend and a 1-hour chart goes into a squeeze, you’d favor an upside resolution. Volume is also a helpful confirm: a squeeze releasing on *high volume* is more likely real. One guide suggests “*avoid squeezes that fire without any support from volume or structure – they may be false moves*” <sup>44</sup>. We can add a rule that the breakout bar should have above-average volume or break a key technical level (like a recent swing high/low) to take the trade.

**Momentum confirmation:** When the squeeze fires, **check the momentum histogram** – *increasing* momentum in the breakout direction greatly increases success rates <sup>36</sup>. A recommended rule is to **enter on the first green dot \*only if the momentum histogram has already turned favorably for at least 1-2 bars**. For example, during the red-dot squeeze on a stock, suppose the momentum bars were below zero (indicating slight down pressure), but a couple bars before the squeeze fired, the histogram ticked upward above zero – that bullish shift gives confidence to go long on the release. If momentum stays negative or unclear, one might wait despite the green dot. A study by Simpler Trading found that “*entering 1.5 bars after the squeeze release improved results*” – essentially meaning don’t rush in at the exact first dot if momentum isn’t clear; give it a bar or two <sup>45</sup>.

**Exits in a squeeze trade:** A typical target is to play for a **2-3 bar explosive move** then take profits or trail stop. John Carter often exits when the momentum histogram peaks (starts to tick the other way) or when a certain multiple of ATR is reached post-breakout. We could, for example, tie our profit-taking to the ATR levels: if a squeeze breakout coincides with, say, price reaching the next Saty ATR band (like going from the pivot to the 1ATR level), that could be a logical partial take-profit. For stop placement, a common choice is the **opposite side of the consolidation range** or a fraction of ATR. Since volatility was low, ranges were tight: one might place a stop just inside the squeeze range. Another systematic approach is Carter’s suggestion: if no significant move happens within a set time (e.g. 5 bars after squeeze fires) or if price reverses into the squeeze range, then the trade failed – exit early.

In summary, **TTM Squeeze will be a key volatility trigger in our system**. We’ll scan for moments when multiple signals align *right as a squeeze is releasing*. The ideal scenario: **a rare alignment (multiple indicators in agreement) coinciding with a squeeze firing** – this often leads to the biggest “unicorn” trades because the market had coiled up and then all our signals pointed one way as it springs loose. By insisting on momentum and trend confirmation (e.g. squeeze fires *and* our trend indicators are bullish), we aim to filter out head-fakes. Historical tests show that adding such filters dramatically improves win rates <sup>41</sup> <sup>44</sup>.

## Ichimoku Cloud – Trend, Confirmation & “Equilibrium Pullbacks”

**Background:** The Ichimoku Kinko Hyo (IKH) system is a comprehensive trading framework that provides a “one look equilibrium chart” – meaning with **one glance, a trader can assess the trend, momentum, and support/resistance** <sup>46</sup>. It consists of five main components: the **Tenkan-sen (Conversion Line)**, **Kijun-sen (Base Line)**, **Senkou Span A & B (Cloud boundaries)**, and **Chikou Span (Lagging Line)** <sup>47</sup> <sup>48</sup>. The **Cloud (Kumo)** is the most striking feature – it’s the area between Span A and Span B, projected 26 periods ahead. The cloud’s **color** (typically green when Span A > Span B, or red when A < B) and **thickness** convey trend direction and strength of support/resistance <sup>49</sup> <sup>50</sup>. **Price above a green cloud = bullish trend bias**; price below a red cloud = bearish bias. A thick cloud means a strong prior range (robust S/R), while a thin cloud indicates weak support that price can slice through more easily <sup>51</sup> <sup>52</sup>.

**Tenkan & Kijun:** These are essentially moving-average-like lines (though calculated as midpoints of highs/lows). **Tenkan** (period 9 by default) is the faster line and **Kijun** (period 26) is slower. Their crossovers are akin to MA crosses and are called **TK crosses**. A **bullish TK cross** (Tenkan rising above Kijun) is a buy signal, with its strength qualified by where it happens relative to the cloud: *above* the cloud is a **“strong” bullish signal**, *within* the cloud is moderate, and *below* the cloud (in bearish territory) is a weak or contrarian signal <sup>53</sup>. The logic is that a bull cross occurring when the overall trend is already bullish (price above cloud) is much more reliable than one against the prevailing trend. Our system will heed this by, for example, only acting

on bullish TK crosses when the broader trend (cloud) is also bullish – otherwise we treat it with caution. Similarly, a **bearish TK cross** (Tenkan below Kijun) is strongest if it occurs below a bearish cloud.

**Chikou Span:** This is the current price plotted 26 periods back. It serves as a **confirmation filter** – it shows how current price compares to prior price action. In Ichimoku rules, a trade is well-supported if the Chikou is “**free and clear**” in the intended direction <sup>54</sup> <sup>55</sup>. For instance, in a bullish setup, you want the Chikou line to be above the past 26-period price candles and above the past cloud – meaning nothing but “open air” to the left, so there’s no immediate historical resistance. If Chikou instead is **bumping into previous highs or the cloud**, it warns that current price may be hitting resistance when looking 26 periods back (which often corresponds to significant levels). So a rule of thumb: **only take a breakout if Chikou has also broken above the equivalent past level** <sup>56</sup>. In our usage, we’ll check that the Chikou is not stuck below an old support or resistance. If we get a unicorn long signal but Chikou is, say, below the prior month’s high, we might anticipate turbulence as it coincides with that barrier.

**Cloud as Support/Resistance & Equilibrium:** The **Ichimoku cloud essentially projects support/resistance forward**. Price will often pause or rebound at the cloud edges (Span A or B) <sup>57</sup>. A common Ichimoku strategy is the **Kumo breakout**: when price decisively closes above the entire cloud, it’s a major bullish signal (especially if the forward cloud flips green), and vice versa for breakdown <sup>58</sup>. However, **false breakouts are common if the cloud is thick and flat, or if Chikou immediately hits an obstacle** <sup>59</sup>. So the system teaches to either *wait for a pullback to the broken cloud for confirmation* or ensure multiple conditions align (forward cloud turn, Chikou clear, etc.) <sup>59</sup>. We will adopt a cautious approach: even if our other indicators flash long but price is still *inside* a large Ichimoku cloud, we may delay entry until price clears that cloud (since inside the cloud implies range-bound uncertainty). On the other hand, if the cloud is very thin (small equilibrium zone) and our signals trigger, the breakout could be swift <sup>52</sup>.

Perhaps the most useful concept from Ichimoku for us is the idea of “**equilibrium pulls**”. In a trending market, price tends to move in waves, extending from equilibrium and then snapping back. The **Kijun-sen (26-period base line)** represents a medium-term equilibrium – price often returns to it during corrections <sup>60</sup>. A strategy described by Ichimoku experts is: *if trend is bullish (price above cloud), wait for a pullback below Kijun (signaling a dip), then buy when price recovers back above Tenkan (conversion line) to resume the uptrend* <sup>61</sup> <sup>62</sup>. This achieves a better entry (buying the dip toward equilibrium) with the cloud defining the context (bullish bias maintained). For example, ChartSchool outlines a **3-step Ichimoku buy criteria**: (1) price above the cloud (bullish bias), (2) price dips below Kijun (base line) to test support, (3) then price crosses back above Tenkan (conversion line) to signal end of pullback – that’s the buy trigger <sup>61</sup> <sup>62</sup>. Stops can be placed just below the recent pullback low or on a breach of the cloud. This approach aligns well with our multi-indicator system: we can use Ichimoku to validate that taking a counter-trend pullback entry isn’t premature. For instance, if our other indicators say “buy” but Ichimoku shows price still under the Kijun and not yet turning up, we might wait – often the safest entry comes **after** price reclaims the Kijun or Tenkan. In downtrends, the mirror applies (sell on a relief rally that fails at Tenkan).

**Integrating Ichimoku:** We will use the **Ichimoku cloud mainly as a higher-level trend filter and support/resistance map**. Concretely: *Do not initiate longs if price is below the cloud or the cloud ahead is red and thick*. If price is inside the cloud, recognize it’s a choppy zone – perhaps require extra confirmation or smaller size. Ideally, our unicorn long signals will coincide with price above the cloud (or emerging from it) with a fresh bullish TK cross and Chikou confirmation. That scenario – often called an “Ichimoku breakout” – is known for high probability <sup>53</sup> <sup>56</sup>. Also, once in a trade, we can use **Ichimoku levels for trade management**: e.g. **Kijun-sen as a trailing stop** (it’s common to trail a stop a bit beyond Kijun, since a

breach of Kijun often signals a deeper correction). For taking profit, **flat Span B levels** (flat lines in the cloud) often act like magnets and targets<sup>63</sup> – e.g. if a bullish move starts, it often runs to the next flat cloud level. We can mark those as potential exit zones.

In summary, Ichimoku gives us a **redundant, yet robust check on trend direction and “health” of price action**. By requiring that our trades align with Ichimoku signals (or at least are not fighting them), we increase our odds. For instance, a “*rare alignment*” signal where **SATY, Ripster, and Ichimoku all agree** – e.g. price is above Saty’s pivot and ATR trigger, in a green Ripster cloud, and also above the Ichimoku cloud with a bullish TK cross – would be a dream scenario indicating a powerful uptrend across different methodologies. Those are precisely the “unicorn” signals we aim to capture.

## “Rare Alignment” and Ensemble Signal Detection (The “Unicorn” Detector)

**Concept of Confluence:** In trading, **confluence means multiple independent signals pointing to the same conclusion**. Our goal is to detect those *rare moments* when **several uncorrelated indicators all line up bullish or bearish** – which we dub “unicorn” signals due to their rarity. Research and trading wisdom both suggest that trades based on **multiple converging factors have higher probability of success**<sup>64</sup>. Especially if each factor covers a different aspect (e.g. one measures trend, another momentum, another volatility, etc.), the chance of all agreeing by random coincidence is low – so when it happens, it’s a “*suspicious coincidence*” likely indicating a significant opportunity. The key is that the indicators should be as independent as possible (no redundant overlap, also known as avoiding multicollinearity<sup>65</sup>). For example, getting a buy signal from both RSI and Stochastic isn’t as powerful (they’re both oscillators, likely correlated) as, say, getting a buy signal from a trend indicator (EMA Cloud) *and* a volatility indicator (Squeeze) *and* a structural level (ATR band). **We specifically chose SATY ATR Levels, Ripster Clouds, TTM Squeeze, and Ichimoku because they each assess different dimensions** of market behavior – price structure, trend momentum, volatility compression, and multi-timeframe equilibrium.

**Measuring Rarity:** To systematically identify these rare alignments, we can borrow a concept from information theory: **Pointwise Mutual Information (PMI)**. PMI quantifies how unlikely a joint occurrence of events is, compared to them occurring independently<sup>66</sup>. In our context, an “event” might be “SATY ATR gives a long signal” or “Ripster cloud is bullish”. If those two events are statistically independent, the probability they coincide is  $P(A) \times P(B)$ . If in historical data they coincide much less often than that (meaning usually they don’t happen together), then whenever they *do* coincide, PMI is high (positive) – indicating a *meaningful alignment beyond chance*<sup>66</sup>. We don’t need to calculate PMI precisely in real-time, but the concept guides us: find indicator pair/triad combinations that rarely overlap. For instance, perhaps historically the SATY long trigger and a bearish Ichimoku cloud are seldom both “on” – they usually conflict. So if we ever saw SATY long trigger *while* Ichimoku is bearish, that might be a false signal (negative confluence). Conversely, if SATY long trigger and Ripster bull cloud fairly often overlap (both being trend-based), that alignment might be less “special” on its own. But adding a third factor like “squeeze just fired” – which is independent of trend – could make the triple overlap extremely rare and thus potent.

**Scoring and Threshold:** We will design a **Unicorn Score** =  $Rarity \times Quality \times Regime-fit$ . Here:

- *Rarity* could be scored by an approximation of PMI or simply an empirical frequency. E.g., out of the last N bars, how many had **condition A and B and C all true** vs how many you’d expect if A, B, C

were random? We might compute a z-score or percentile. A high rarity means “this hardly ever happens.”

- *Quality (Expectancy)* means we weight the alignment by its historical outcome. If whenever A, B, C aligned in the past, the forward 10-bar return was on average +2%, that’s a positive expectancy. If some rare alignment led to mixed outcomes, its quality is lower. We can backtest each combination to get an average payoff or win rate. This helps avoid chasing coincidences that aren’t actually profitable.
- *Regime weight* acknowledges that certain strategies only work in certain market regimes. For example, a momentum-heavy confluence might work great in trending markets but fail in sideways markets. We can pre-classify regimes (perhaps using something like ADX or volatility filters or simply Ichimoku cloud slope). Then, if our current regime is “trending,” we up-weight signals that historically performed well in trending phases, and down-weight those that are meant for breakouts if we’re in a dead range.

The **Unicorn Score** is essentially an internal metric that the AI agent will calculate/approximate. When the score exceeds a chosen **threshold T**, we will trigger the system to act (place a trade or send an alert). We’ll determine T through historical optimization – likely picking a value that maximizes our return vs risk in backtesting (for instance, the score above which trades had a Sharpe ratio above X, etc.). Initially, we expect T to be high because we truly want only the *cream of the crop* signals – maybe only a few per month per instrument.

**Literature and analogs:** This idea mirrors what some trading software call “**Super Signals**” – signals that require multiple indicator consensus. For example, one system defines Bronze/Silver/Gold/Platinum signals based on 2, 3, or 4 indicators aligning; the **Platinum (all four align) signals are extremely rare but have the highest win rate** <sup>67</sup> <sup>68</sup>. They noted that when all their indicators triggered an identical entry simultaneously, there was an “exponentially low chance” of it failing – effectively the move was almost certainly significant <sup>68</sup>. That’s the sort of edge we seek. Another perspective comes from ensemble learning in AI: multiple models agreeing increases confidence if they’re independent. Here our “models” are technical studies.

**Avoiding false alignment:** We must be wary of “pseudo-confluence” where signals are not truly independent. For instance, Ichimoku cloud bullish and Ripster 34/50 bullish will often happen together (since they both reflect trend) – that’s valuable but not *sufficiently independent*. True unicorns might require something like: *trend + volatility breakout + support level*. Our score will prioritize combos of different categories (Trend-following, Oscillator/Momentum, Volatility, Volume/Flow, Structure). By design, we have: - **Trend Structure:** SATY levels (incorporates ATR structure and minor trend) and Ichimoku (major trend). - **Momentum:** TTM Squeeze’s momentum or an MACD histogram. - **Volatility:** Squeeze on/off, ATR expansion. - **Trend-following/MA:** Ripster EMA clouds, Pivot Ribbon. - **Volume:** (We haven’t explicitly included volume indicators in the plan, but we could incorporate volume surge as an additional check for unicorns).

An example “unicorn” long signal in our system might be: *SATY ATR Levels trigger a long (price broke above a key ATR level) AND Ripster EMA clouds all flipped green (bullish trend confirmation) AND a TTM Squeeze just fired with upward momentum AND Ichimoku’s cloud is bullish with a fresh TK cross*. This happening all at once is a powerful alignment of breakouts and trend – a trade one would take with confidence and perhaps leverage

higher (or at least risk a full 1% vs 0.5%). On the flip side, if only one or two conditions fire, we might either pass or just send an FYI alert.

**Regime filters:** Our strategy will also incorporate regime context to avoid fighting the market's character. For instance, in a very choppy market, we might enforce a higher Unicorn Score threshold or ignore certain alignments (e.g. momentum breakouts might fail repeatedly in mean-reversion regimes). If our AI agent detects that market volatility regime is low (ATR is minimal, no clear trends), it might require an extra confirmation (like a higher timeframe agreement) before acting. Conversely, in a trending regime, we loosen criteria slightly on trend-following signals (since almost any dip in a strong trend can be bought, multiple signals or not). This dynamic weighting improves the practicality of the unicorn detector.

Finally, we'll maintain a **log of all candidate signals and their outcomes**. Over time, the data will tell us which combinations of indicator states truly yield the best results (we might discover, say, that a certain trifecta of conditions has a 70% win rate for 2R gains – that combination's score should be high). Our system can then learn and adjust the Score formula or threshold accordingly. The result will be a sort of **self-optimizing ensemble system**, grounded in solid trading principles and confirmed by real data.

## Core Strategy Design Elements

Combining the research insights above, we outline the **core strategy** that our AI trading assistant will execute:

**A. Feature Vector (Per-Bar Data Points):** To make decisions, our agent will compute a rich set of features each bar (or on each TradingView alert). These include:

- **Trend/Structure Features:**

- *Ichimoku State:* Is price above or below the cloud? Cloud color (bullish/bearish)? Distance from cloud (e.g. % above Span B)? This tells us trend bias and potential support/resistance ahead.
- *Saty ATR Levels:* Nearest ATR support and resistance levels relative to price (e.g. price is 0.4 ATR above the pivot, approaching the 1ATR target). Also, whether price has broken an ATR trigger or not.
- *Pivot Ribbon / EMA Cloud:* Current state of Ripster EMA clouds (color of fast cloud, color of slow cloud). For example, “8/21 cloud green” and “34/50 cloud green” – a strong uptrend, or if mixed (fast cloud flipped down but slow still up) – a potential pullback.
- *VWAP Distance:* Price vs VWAP (volume-weighted avg price) especially intraday – extended far above VWAP might caution chasing a long without pullback, etc.

- **Momentum Features:**

- *TTM Squeeze Momentum:* The value of the squeeze histogram (or a proxy like MACD) and whether it's increasing or decreasing.
- *Oscillators:* We might include RSI or Stochastic values to gauge if something is overbought/oversold *in context* (though these are secondary since our main signals cover momentum in other ways).

- **Volatility Features:**

- *Squeeze Status*: A flag if a TTM Squeeze is on (squeeze in progress), just fired this bar, or off. Possibly how many bars it's been in a squeeze.
- *ATR & Range*: Current ATR value, today's range vs ATR (e.g. % of daily ATR used so far) – this tells if a move might stall (if range already expended) or has room.
- *Volatility Regime*: A slow ATR or HV (historical vol) measure to classify the environment as high vol or low vol regime.

• **Pattern/Structure:**

- *Recent Highs/Lows*: e.g. distance to recent swing high/low or prior day high/low. (Is the signal occurring at a breakout of a range or in the middle?)
- *Level Touches*: Flags if price is currently touching an important level: e.g. a Saty level (pivot or ATR band), an Ichimoku cloud boundary, or a prior session high. These often trigger algorithms or supply/demand.

• **Other Context:**

- *Session/Time*: What market session (e.g. pre-market, opening hour, lunch, power hour) – since some signals are better at certain times (opening breakouts vs midday mean reversion). Our plan is to focus on primary session signals and possibly avoid lunchtime lulls or post-market.
- *News/Events*: (Difficult to quantify automatically, but we might incorporate a feed or at least a calendar of major events to avoid trading right into, say, Fed announcements. For now this could be manual – a “halt trading” toggle around scheduled big events.)

This **feature vector** will feed into our AI agent’s decision logic. Rather than using a black-box ML model, we’ll encode rules and thresholds informed by these features (the agent will operate more like an expert system with these as inputs).

**B. “Unicorn” Detector Engine:** As described, the agent will compute a **Unicorn Score** for each bar or alert. Internally, we define certain **indicator alignment events**, for example: - `Bullish_SATY = true/false` (e.g. price > upper trigger, trend label bullish), - `Bullish_RipCloud = true/false` (fast & slow EMA clouds both green), - `Squeeze_Fired_Up = true/false` (squeeze just released with momentum > 0), - `Bullish_Ichimoku = true/false` (price above cloud and TK cross up, or at least no contradiction from Ichimoku).

We then look at combinations like `(Bullish_SATY AND Bullish_RipCloud AND Squeeze_Fired_Up)` and measure how rare that is. The agent can have a dictionary of historical frequencies (from a backtest dataset or running counters in real-time). Likewise combinations for short side. When a combination triggers, it assigns a base rarity score (e.g. 5 if very rare, 1 if common). It then multiplies by a factor for quality – e.g. maybe we have stored that this combo historically yields +0.8% in 5 bars on average, which we normalize into a quality factor. And then multiplies by a factor for regime fit – e.g. if today’s ADX or trend regime is favorable for that combo (say the combo is a trending signal and ADX is high), we give it full weight; if regime is opposite, we dampen it.

The agent will likely simplify this process by using a set of *rules-of-thumb* initially: - If **4/4 major conditions align** (trend, momentum, volatility, structure) -> Score = High (e.g. 100). - If **3/4 align** -> Score = Medium-

High (e.g. 70). - If it's a conflicting picture (2 align, 2 opposite) -> Score very low or negative. - Certain specific triple alignments might be manually recognized as well ("pattern recognition"). For example, a note from our research: *A squeeze firing within an established trend often yields a big move*<sup>69</sup>. So if `Squeeze_Fired_Up AND Bullish_RipCloud AND price near a SATY support` (implying a with-trend breakout from consolidation off support) - that might be scored highly even if Ichimoku's not fully in agreement yet.

We will calibrate the **threshold T** through testing. Initially, T might be, say, 80 on a 0-100 scale if we only want trades when at least 3 factors align strongly. When a Score  $\geq T$ , the agent issues a **trade action** ("unicorn alert: go long/short"). If Score is say 50-79, maybe it's a **softer signal** – we could send a notification ("conditions look good but not all lined up") without auto-trading, allowing the human to monitor. Score < 50 means no action.

### C. Trade Rules & Execution Logic:

Once a valid **entry signal** is identified (Score threshold met and no disqualifying risk conditions), the system will execute a trade entry with predefined **exits and sizing**. Here are the **first-pass trade rules** we will use:

- **Long Entry Criteria:**

- At least two independent bullish signals coincide, including one trend-based and one momentum/volatility-based. For example: SATY ATR trigger is hit *and* Ripster clouds flip bullish, or Ichimoku gives a bullish TK cross *and* a Squeeze fires upward. Ideally, all of the above align for a unicorn score.
- The **Unicorn Score  $\geq T$**  for longs. (This implicitly ensures things like price above key moving averages, momentum rising, etc., as those contribute to the score.)
- No major higher-timeframe resistance immediately overhead that would invalidate the signal (e.g. if the long signal triggers just below a long-term cloud or yesterday's high, we might wait for that to break).
- Ichimoku should not be signaling a clear opposite (we avoid longs if Ichimoku bias is strongly bearish). At minimum, price should be above or in the cloud – not plunging below it.

If these are met, **action = BUY**. The agent will log an entry with current price as reference.

- **Short Entry Criteria:** Similarly, require multiple bearish alignments. E.g. price breaks below a Saty ATR support, Ripster 34/50 cloud is red (bearish), and a squeeze fires short with momentum below zero<sup>24 70</sup>. Ichimoku bias should be bearish (price under cloud). If Score  $\geq T$  for shorts, **action = SELL (short)**.

- **Position Sizing:** We use **fixed fractional position sizing** based on stop distance. The user's config specifies a risk per trade (e.g. 0.5% of account equity). The agent will calculate **quantity = (risk% × equity) / (|Entry - Stop|)**. For example, with \$100k equity and 0.5% risk (\$500), if the stop is \$2 away, it will trade 250 shares. It will also ensure this doesn't violate a **max position cap** or exposure limits. (We will also enforce **max concurrent risk**: e.g. if multiple trades open, their combined at-risk amount if all stopped out shouldn't exceed ~1.5-2% of equity as per config.)

- **Stop Loss (SL):** By default, we set an **ATR-based stop** beyond a logical support/resistance. A common choice is around **1.5 × ATR** from entry<sup>71</sup>. For instance, if ATR(14) of the instrument is \$1.00 and we enter long at \$50, a default SL = \$50 - 1.5 × \$1.00 = \$48.50. However, we refine this with structure: if

*there is a SATY level or Kijun line or cloud edge just below our entry, we might tuck the stop a bit below that level for extra safety (i.e. beyond the “noise” around that support). We don’t want a stop just above a known support where it can easily wick – better to be slightly below it. For longs, ideal stop is just below an ATR support band or just under the last swing low that prompted our entry. For shorts, just above a recent swing high or ATR resistance. Example: If we went long on a unicorn signal and one of the reasons was that price bounced off the Saty pivot (previous close), we could place the stop just below that pivot. If using ATR only, we’ll ensure if there’s a nearby ATR level we align with it. This approach combines ATR volatility buffering with price structure\* for robust stops.*

We will set a **default SL in the alert payload** (the Pine script already computes an initial stop based on 1.5 ATR) and allow the agent to adjust it if needed. By having the Pine script suggest `s1` and `tp`, we ensure speed, but the agent will double-check context. For example, if Pine suggests  $SL = \$48.50$  but an Ichimoku cloud base is at \$48.70, the agent might widen the stop to \$48.30 to be just below the cloud. All these adjustments will be done within reason and the structure rules encoded.

- **Take Profit (TP):** We plan for **tiered profit-taking**. The initial target is typically set at **2 \* risk (2R)** by default – meaning if our stop is 1.5 ATR, the TP is about 3 ATR from entry (for ~2:1 reward:risk) <sup>72</sup>. For example, entry \$50, stop \$48.50 (risk \$1.50), initial TP \$53.00 (gain \$3) for 2R <sup>72</sup>. The Pine script calculates this as `tp = entry + 2 * (entry - SL)` for longs. We will use that as **full target** if we intend a single exit. However, best practice: **scale out partial profits at interim levels**. We might realize partial profit at +1R or +1.5R, then trail the rest. Specifically:
  - Take off, say, 50% of position at +1R (this covers the risk, effectively making worst-case a breakeven trade if the rest stops out).
  - Take another 25% at +2R or at a key technical level (like Saty’s 1ATR band or a measured move).
  - Let the final 25% run with a **trailing stop**.

The trailing stop could be managed by moving it to breakeven after 1R, then perhaps trailing below Kijun or a short-term EMA as the price goes in our favor. For instance, after a move of +1.5R, we might trail the stop to just below the 21 EMA on the timeframe, or below each new higher low. We will likely implement the trailing logic in our agent’s notes or simply manually, since full automation of trailing in the agent might be complex. But at minimum, after hitting first target, the agent can update the `s1` in the JSON output to breakeven or better.

If the Pine script provides a specific `tp` (maybe based on a pivot or ATR extension), the agent can use it or adjust if it sees a reason (e.g. if 2R falls just below a known resistance, maybe push TP slightly lower to ensure fill, or if everything is extremely bullish, we might choose to not hard TP at 2R but rather trail aggressively).

- **Trade Management Rules:**
- **No Chasing:** If a signal triggers but price has already moved significantly (say >0.5 ATR) in the intended direction from the trigger level by the time we get the alert, the agent may choose `action = notify` rather than immediate order – telling us “signal occurred, but price ran too fast to chase.” We can then wait for a pullback.
- **Time Stops:** If after entry, the trade isn’t progressing (e.g. bars later still around entry), and perhaps a squeeze failed, we might exit early. The agent will include a configurable timeout or observation period (this might be implemented manually at first).

- **Risk Mitigation:** If a **daily loss limit** or **drawdown limit** is hit, the agent will halt further entries (it can output `action = pass` with a note like “daily loss limit reached” for any incoming signals). For example, if the account is down >2% on the day, it stops trading as per our risk policy.
- **Example Long Trade Flow:** Let’s cement with an example. Suppose SPY is in an uptrend (above Ichimoku cloud, Ripster clouds bullish). It consolidates midday (TTM squeeze prints red dots). Our algorithm detects: **SATY ATR** levels show price holding above the central pivot, **Ripster cloud still green**, and now a **green dot appears on TTM Squeeze with momentum crossing above zero** – the squeeze fired long. Ichimoku Tenkan also just crossed above Kijun as price bounces. Unicorn Score shoots up, meeting criteria. The agent triggers a **BUY**. Entry price ~ say \$400. It sets **Stop** at \$397 (just below an ATR support at \$397.2 and ~1.5 ATR away) and **TP1** at \$403 (approx 2R or near the next ATR band). After entry, price goes to \$401 – +1R move. The agent (or our plan) secures partial profit: maybe sell 50% at \$401, and move stop on remainder to \$400 (entry). Price then continues to \$403 – we take another 25% off. We notice Ichimoku Chikou approaching prior highs (potential resistance) and momentum waning. Perhaps we decide to exit the rest at \$404 or trail aggressively under the 5-min 8 EMA. That’s the ideal scenario of executing a plan with predefined levels. The agent will automate as much as possible (initial bracket orders for SL/TP), and the finer trailing/partials logic we can automate via multiple alerts or the n8n flow (or simply monitor manually with the knowledge of the plan).

The **short trade rules** mirror the above with signs flipped. One notable addition: shorting has unique risk (fast squeezes upward, etc.), so we might be a bit more conservative with initiating shorts – e.g. require a clearly confirmed downtrend across indicators and perhaps avoid shorts if overall market regime is bullish. That said, if a unicorn short appears (say on a weak stock in a bearish market) we will take it with similar risk management.

Overall, these rules ensure every trade is **grounded in multiple confirmations, sized appropriately, and protected by stops**. The AI agent’s job is to **execute these consistently**: check conditions, output the structured JSON with action (order/notify/pass), and reasoning.

## End-to-End Workflow with n8n Automation

To tie everything together, we’ll use **n8n (a workflow automation tool)** as the glue between TradingView, our AI “brain”, the broker, and notifications. Here’s how the data and control will flow:

1. **TradingView Alerts:** We will set up TradingView to send a **webhook alert** whenever our Pine script conditions are met (or on every bar, filtered by conditions). The Pine script “Unicorn Engine (Scaffold)” provided will output a JSON payload containing all relevant fields: symbol, timeframe, direction (`LONG`, `SHORT` or `NONE`), price, indicator states (satycloud up/down, saty level value, ripster\_cloud state, squeeze\_state, ichimoku trend, ATR, and any pre-calculated sl/tp and unicorn\_score) **[user-provided JSON]**. For example, an alert might look like:

```
{
  "symbol": "SPY",
  "time": "2025-11-05T14:30:00Z",
  "tf": "15",
```

```

    "dir": "LONG",
    "price": 400.50,
    "satycloud": "up",
    "satylevel": 399.8,
    "ripster_cloud": "bull",
    "squeeze_state": 1,
    "ichimoku_trend": "bull",
    "atr": 1.20,
    "sl": 398.70,
    "tp": 403.90,
    "unicorn_score": 0.8
}

```

This says: on SPY 15-min, conditions favored a LONG, with various indicators bullish, Pine estimated SL and TP, and a unicorn\_score 0.8 (which might correspond to 80 in our internal scale).

1. **n8n Webhook (Ingest):** We configure an n8n workflow with an HTTP Webhook node to catch these alerts. TradingView will POST the JSON to n8n. We will secure it (e.g. with an HMAC or a token in the URL) to ensure authenticity. Once n8n receives it, it passes the data to the next steps.
2. **LLM Agent (Decision Maker):** The core of the workflow is an **OpenAI (GPT-4) node** using a **Structured Output** parser. We will prompt it with a carefully crafted system prompt (the “TV-Copilot” persona described) which instructs it on how to interpret the data and apply our rules. The prompt will include:
  3. The **goals** and logic described in the Trade Rules above (in summary form),
  4. The list of tools or functions it can output (like `risk_calc`, `db_log`, etc., though in practice these might just be implied actions since n8n will handle actual logging or order placement),
  5. The **JSON schema** it must adhere to for output (provided in the user’s spec). This schema has fields: `action` (order/notify/pass), `side` (BUY/SELL or null), `qty`, `entryRef` (reference price), `sl`, `tp`, `timeInForce`, `notes` (for any extra info or debugging).

The LLM will get the JSON alert data and produce a JSON decision. For example, for the above alert, the LLM might output:

```
{
  "action": "order",
  "side": "BUY",
  "qty": 250,
  "entryRef": 400.5,
  "sl": 398.7,
  "tp": 403.9,
  "timeInForce": "GTC",
  "notes": {
    "unicorn_score": 0.8,
    "comment": "Bullish confluence: Saty cloud up, Ripster bull, squeeze fired"
}
```

```

    long. Placing long trade."
}
}

```

This indicates: go ahead and place a buy order of 250 shares (as calculated from risk and stop distance), use given entry price as reference (the actual execution might be at market or a limit), and set the stop-loss and take-profit accordingly. The agent also logs a brief explanation in notes. The `action` could also be `"notify"` if it decided not to trade but to just alert (perhaps if risk limits are hit or if `dir` was `NONE` but it still wants to say something), or `"pass"` if it determined the alert is not actionable (e.g. `dir: NONE` or data incomplete).

The structured output parser ensures the LLM **cannot deviate from the JSON format**, making it reliable to downstream systems.

1. **Conditional Logic in n8n:** The workflow will have a Switch or IF node to branch based on `action`.
2. If `action == "order"`: it triggers the **Broker API node** (or a webhook to our trading platform). For our prototype, we might integrate with **Alpaca API** for paper trading (as specified, `broker=Alpaca` in paper mode).
3. If `action == "notify"`: it triggers a **Discord/Telegram notification** node, sending the contents of the decision (perhaps formatted nicely with symbol, decision, and the `notes.explain` from the agent).
4. If `action == "pass"`: we simply end the flow or log it without doing anything (could still notify if we want to know passes for debugging).

On an `order` action, the agent provides side, qty, etc., so we know exactly what to send to the broker. We will implement a **bracket order** – i.e., an entry (market or limit) with attached stop-loss and take-profit. If using Alpaca, we can submit an OCO (one-cancels-other) bracket. The `timeInForce` likely “GTC” (good till cancel) as we want the order to remain (or “DAY” if we just want to day trade and close EOD). Initially, we’ll do **paper trading** to test it out, ensuring the orders execute as expected.

Additionally, we incorporate our **risk guardrails** at this stage: we may maintain a running tally of daily P/L in the n8n workflow context or a simple database. If an incoming signal would violate the daily loss limit or if already 3 trades are open and we disallow more, the LLM agent can decide `pass` (the prompt will instruct it to do so if certain risk variables are set). Alternatively, a prior node in n8n could check a stored variable “tradesToday” or “openRisk” and skip the LLM entirely if limits are hit (to avoid even attempting trades). But using the LLM to enforce allows flexibility via its reasoning (“if missing fields or risk high: action `pass`”).

1. **Logging and Monitoring:** Each decision and trade will be logged. n8n can write to a database or Google Sheet the details of each alert and what action was taken. This is crucial for later analysis (calculating how our unicorn signals performed, and for debugging the agent’s decisions). We’ll log fields like timestamp, symbol, action, decision reasoning, and P/L when closed (we can update the log upon receiving fill events from broker).
2. **Feedback Loop:** The output of trades (profit, loss, etc.) can eventually be fed back to refine the agent. For example, if a trade hits stop, we can tag that occurrence of conditions as a failure in our

dataset. Over time, as we gather many samples, we might adjust the scoring or even train a simple model or use the LLM in analysis mode to find patterns in successes vs failures.

The entire pipeline creates an “**AI trading co-pilot**” – it’s *deterministic* in execution (follows rules, outputs structured data), but uses the intelligence of an LLM to interpret nuanced combinations of signals and make judgment calls (something traditionally done with rigid code, but here we leverage the LLM’s flexibility with our prompt constraints). The structured schema ensures we don’t get any unpredictable output – only valid JSON that our system can act on.

We will run this workflow on an n8n instance (could be self-hosted or cloud). The WordPress dashboard (described next) will display the live signals and trades, giving the user insight and control.

## Web Interface (**iava.ai**) Integration

We want a user-friendly way to monitor signals and performance, and possibly toggle settings, via our website **iava.ai** (currently WordPress with cPanel access). We have a couple of approaches:

**Option A: WordPress Plugin Endpoint + Dashboard Shortcode.** In this approach, we keep things simple within WordPress: - We create a small **REST API endpoint in WordPress** by writing a custom plugin (as given in the plan snippet). This endpoint (e.g. `/wp-json/iava/v1/signal`) will accept POST requests (from n8n) containing summary of signals or trades. We’ll include perhaps symbol, action, entry price, P/L, a short explanation, etc. - The plugin can store received data in the WordPress database, even just using `update_option` for a last signal or appending to a custom table for history. - We then build a **dashboard page** on iava.ai that uses a shortcode to display the latest signals/trades. This could simply fetch the stored data (via an AJAX call to that same REST endpoint or by directly reading the option). We can format it nicely with HTML/CSS: e.g. a table of recent signals with color-coding for wins/losses, current open trades, etc. - Security: the endpoint will be open (`permission_callback` returns true in the snippet for simplicity <sup>73</sup>). We rely on obscurity or better, include a secret key in the n8n POST header for verification.

This is a quick way to get a view. The downside is it’s somewhat limited in interactivity (mostly one-way display). But it’s fast to implement. The user mentioned “*mock the website and everything should be planned and ready to go*” – so we will indeed produce at least a mock-up of what the dashboard would show: likely a list of unicorn signals with timestamp, and perhaps stats like win rate.

**Option B: Separate App (Node/React) Embedded via iFrame or Subdomain.** If we need a richer UI (charts, interactivity), we could develop a small web app. For example, a lightweight **FastAPI or Node.js server** running under our cPanel (maybe via Docker or as a separate service) that serves a React frontend. This app could subscribe to the same n8n data (n8n can send data to both WordPress and this app’s API). The React UI might show live charts or allow toggling the strategy on/off. We could embed it in WordPress either via an `<iFrame>` or possibly as a WP plugin using the REST API of that service. This is more complex, so unless needed, Option A is preferable initially.

Given time constraints, we likely proceed with Option A to have something working. We’ll implement the provided plugin snippet (which essentially registers an endpoint and saves the payload to an option) <sup>73</sup>. We’ll have n8n send a POST to it at each trade decision (or maybe only when action != pass, to avoid

flooding it with non-signals). The website can then show the **most recent signal** and perhaps a log of the last N signals.

**Possible Dashboard Elements:** - **Live Signal Feed:** e.g. "Nov 5 10:30 - BUY AAPL @ 150, SL 148, TP 153 (Unicorn Score 0.75)" with maybe a status (open/closed) and outcome if closed. - **Statistics:** overall win rate, total P/L (paper), average R, max drawdown so far, etc. These can be calculated if we store all trades. - **Controls:** Perhaps buttons to change mode (paper/live) or pause the strategy. We can achieve a "pause" by having the plugin or n8n check a flag from the site before executing trades. For instance, a WP option "trading\_active=true/false" that our agent checks (we can fetch it via WordPress REST from n8n at workflow start, or even include it in the prompt data). If user hits "Pause trading" on site (which sets the option false), the next signals would result in `action="notify"` instead of order. This is a nice safety.

Since the user is excited to see the website working, we'll make sure to integrate at least the display part early (Milestone M5 in plan).

## Risk Management & Safeguards

Risk control is paramount. We embed the following rules (some already touched upon):

- **Per-Trade Risk:** By configuration, each trade risks only 0.25% to 1.0% of account equity (user can adjust). We'll likely default to 0.5%. This means even a string of losses won't be devastating. It's in line with the classic **2% rule** (often even more conservative) <sup>74</sup>.
- **Daily Loss Cap:** If losses accumulate to **≥2% of equity in a day** (could set 3% as hard cap), the system stops trading for the remainder of the day <sup>75</sup>. This prevents a bad day from snowballing. Professional traders and prop firms use similar daily loss limits (e.g. Topstep and others auto-liquidate if you hit a certain percent in a day) <sup>76</sup>. We will implement this by monitoring running P/L: each closed trade's loss adds to a counter. If counter  $\leq$  -2% equity, the agent will ignore new signals (`action="pass"` with note "Max daily loss reached"). It can reset at the next trading day.
- **Concurrent Trades & Correlation:** We limit the **number of simultaneous open trades** such that total potential risk  $\leq$  ~1.5-2% of equity. If each trade is 0.5% risk, we might allow up to 3-4 concurrent trades at most. The agent will keep track (n8n can store in global memory or check open orders via broker API). If we already have, say, 3 trades open and a fourth signal comes, the agent might either pass or notify instead of auto-entry, unless that new signal is extraordinarily high confidence. Another tactic: allow additional trades only if they are in different assets that are not highly correlated. If our first 3 trades are all tech stocks long, adding a 4th tech long increases exposure. But a signal on an unrelated asset (like gold or a different sector) might be fine. For now, we can implement a simple numeric limit and perhaps a sector check if data available.
- **Major News/Events Filter:** As mentioned, we ideally integrate a way to avoid trading during major scheduled events (Fed meetings, big earnings if trading stocks, etc.), when whipsaws can occur. The simplest approach is manual – user can flip "halt" on site. Or maintain a calendar and have the agent automatically pause around those times. This is an optional enhancement; initially, we might rely on user discretion or trade smaller size on those days.

- **Transition from Paper to Live:** We plan a phased rollout:
  - **Paper Trading (M2-M4 milestones):** Use Alpaca paper or similar, get comfortable with performance.
  - **Dry-Run Live (Simulated with auto-cancel):** Possibly a mode where orders are sent to live broker but immediately canceled, just to test execution flow without actual fills (or use minimal size).
  - **Live Trading Small Size:** Only after thorough testing and maybe after achieving certain backtest/WF metrics ( $PF \geq 1.4$ , drawdown within limits, etc., as listed in Test Plan).
- Always start with small position sizes in live (maybe risk 0.25%) and scale up if proven.
- **No AI Override of Rules:** We clarify that while an LLM is making decisions, it's constrained by our rules – it won't, for example, double down to "win back losses" or do anything not prescribed. It's basically executing a rules-based strategy in natural language form. All critical values (risk %, thresholds) are hardcoded or config-driven, not left to AI "improvisation."

We'll also incorporate **sanity checks**: e.g. if the LLM for some reason outputs an order JSON that doesn't match the schema or has null fields it shouldn't, n8n will detect that (via JSON schema validation) and can fail-safe (perhaps notify developers). But since we use the structured parser, this risk is minimal.

By doing all this, we emulate professional risk practices: **small consistent risk per trade, cut off bad days, and limit exposure** so no single event can wreck the account. This addresses the user's "ultrathink" notion – presumably using AI to automate these protective steps as well, which we have done.

## Backtesting & Performance Evaluation ("Probability" Framework)

Before going fully live, we will conduct **extensive backtesting and walk-forward analysis** to validate and tune the strategy: - We'll test on multiple symbols (e.g. a couple of major stocks or ETFs, maybe ES futures) across various market conditions: **trending bull, trending bear, volatile, sideways**. This ensures the strategy isn't overfit to one regime. For example, test on 2020 (volatile crash + rebound), 2021 (steady uptrend), 2022 (bearish/trending down), etc. - Key metrics: **Expectancy (avg R per trade), Win rate, Profit factor (gross profit/gross loss), Max drawdown, Sharpe ratio** if applicable, **Max consecutive losses**, etc. We aim for Profit Factor  $> 1.4$ , meaning the strategy makes at least \$1.4 for every \$1 lost – a sign of edge. The Sharpe or Sortino on trade returns should be significantly positive. - We specifically look at distribution of outcomes: are there fat-tail losses? (Shouldn't be if stops always in place, but slippage can cause some). How frequent are the unicorn signals? If too rare (like 2 per year), maybe we lower threshold; if too many, maybe raise it. - **Walk-forward** optimization: Rather than one big optimization that can overfit, we can do a rolling test – e.g. use data up to 2022 to optimize threshold, then test on 2023 out-of-sample. And even a quasi-paper forward test in early 2024 data.

The "Probability framework" mentioned likely refers to how we compute the Unicorn score threshold. We will likely: 1. Compute historical occurrences of various indicator alignments (co-occurrence frequency). 2. Compute their average forward bars return (and maybe distribution). 3. From that, derive an **expected value** and **probability of success** for each combo. 4. Then choose a Score formula or threshold that yields best **risk-adjusted returns** in simulation. Perhaps we might end up saying: only trade when at least 3 of 4 signals align (which might correspond to score ~0.7 in Pine's output). This selection will be based on maximizing something like Sharpe or minimizing drawdown while keeping decent CAGR.

Once that's set, we lock the params and go live (paper/live).

We will also incorporate **scenario-based testing**: ensure the strategy handles edge cases (flat market – likely no signals, news spike – possibly triggers a squeeze breakout that might be false, etc.). This is partly manual analysis of historical trades our backtester flags.

The user's milestones are: - M1: Research digest (this document) and parameter tables – we have described typical parameters (ATR 14, triggers 0.236, EMAs sets, BB 20/2, KC 20/1.5, Ichimoku 9-26-52) in text. We can summarize those in a quick reference table below for clarity. - M2: Pine scaffold on chart, sending alerts – straightforward given we have the code. We'll test it on TradingView with a few tickers. - M3: n8n agent + schema + Discord – set up the automation pipeline; test that the AI produces correct JSON and that messages/orders go through. - M4: Calibrate unicorn detector – using historical data to adjust the unicorn\_score threshold in Pine (or interpret it in agent). Possibly refine how Pine computes unicorn\_score (currently a placeholder sum of some conditions). - M5: WordPress dashboard live – implement the plugin, verify signals log to site. - M6: Begin paper trading, then gradually move to live with small size after a trial period.

We have a lot lined up, but step-by-step we'll get there. The plan ensures by the end we have a **fully operational AI-assisted trading system** running (most likely on paper or small stakes to start).

Before concluding, let's collate the **typical parameters & rule candidates** in one place for quick reference:

#### Indicator Parameters Quick Reference:

- **Saty ATR Levels**: ATR Length 14; Trigger = 0.236 ATR; Mid = 0.618 ATR; Full Range = 1 ATR; Extensions at 1.236, 1.618 ATR etc <sup>1</sup>. Modes: Day (uses daily ATR pivot), Swing (weekly ATR), etc. Trend based on EMAs 8/21/34 alignment <sup>5</sup>.
- **Saty Pivot Ribbon**: EMAs 8, 21, 34 (3-EMA version) or plus 13, 48, 200 (5-EMA Pro) <sup>77</sup> <sup>78</sup>. Bullish if shorter EMAs above longer (ribbon green/blue) <sup>79</sup>. Key signal: ribbon "fold" (crossover) and 13/48 "conviction" cross arrows for trend changes <sup>14</sup>.
- **Ripster EMA Clouds**: Common EMA pairs: 5-12, 8-9, 20-21, 34-50 <sup>18</sup> <sup>20</sup>. Use 10min for intraday, 1H/D for swings <sup>80</sup>. Golden rule: Price above 34-50 cloud = bullish bias, below = bearish <sup>18</sup>. Fast cloud flips (color changes) are entry signals; 34/50 cloud is master trend filter.
- **TTM Squeeze**: BB 20,2; Keltner 20,1.5 <sup>33</sup>. Red dot = squeeze on (low vol) <sup>34</sup>; Green dot = squeeze fired (vol expansion) <sup>34</sup>. Momentum oscillator 20-length default <sup>36</sup> <sup>81</sup>. Prefer trade on first green dot *with* momentum bias (histogram >0 for long, <0 for short) <sup>35</sup> <sup>36</sup>. Optional: require  $\geq 3$  red dots (squeeze at least 3 bars) before acting <sup>41</sup>.
- **Ichimoku**: Standard periods 9 (Tenkan), 26 (Kijun), 52 (Span B), displacement 26 <sup>47</sup> <sup>48</sup>. Bullish if price above cloud (Span A > B) <sup>53</sup>; strong signal if Tenkan crosses up above Kijun *above* the cloud <sup>53</sup>. Chikou > price 26 bars ago = bullish confirmation (clear path) <sup>54</sup>. Common strategy: in uptrend, buy on pullback when price dips below Kijun then regains Tenkan <sup>61</sup> <sup>62</sup> (opposite for shorts).

#### Rule Candidates per Indicator:

- **Saty ATR Levels: Long**: Price crosses above upper ATR trigger AND trend label = bullish <sup>1</sup>. Use ATR mid (0.618) and full (1.0) as targets; extension (1.618) as stretch target. **Short**: Price crosses below

lower trigger, trend bearish. **Exits:** If price reaches full ATR (100% range) and stalls, consider taking profit or tightening stop. If trend label flips (ATR EMA trend turns opposite), consider exit.

- **Pivot Ribbon/EMA Clouds: Long:** Ribbon or cloud flips from bearish to bullish (e.g. 8 EMA crosses above 21 EMA, cloud turns green) AND price is above 50 EMA <sup>24</sup>. Add if price pulls back to 13 EMA (overlap) in an uptrend to hold through trend <sup>82</sup>. **Short:** Cloud flips red with price below, etc. **Filter:** Only take signals in direction of 34/50 cloud trend for higher probability <sup>18</sup>. **Exit:** If fast cloud flips against you (loss of momentum) or price breaks through a major EMA (e.g. closes below 50 EMA in long), exit.
- **TTM Squeeze: Entry (Long):** After  $\geq 1$  red dot (squeeze), take long on first green dot *if* momentum histogram is above 0 (indicating upward momentum) <sup>35</sup> <sup>36</sup>. **Alternate rule:** Wait for 2 consecutive green dots to confirm. **Short:** first green (off) dot with momentum  $< 0$ . **Exit:** If after squeeze release, you get 4-5 bars of move then momentum stalls (histogram ticks down), take profit. If squeeze fires opposite to trade bias (e.g. you're long and a new squeeze fires short), definitely exit.
- **Ichimoku: Long:** Price closes above cloud *and* cloud ahead turns green (Span A  $>$  Span B) – a breakout signal. Or, in existing uptrend, price dips to cloud or Kijun and then closes back above Tenkan – a continuation entry <sup>61</sup> <sup>62</sup>. Require Chikou span not running into resistance (preferably above past candles) <sup>83</sup>. **Short:** Price closes below cloud (cloud red) or bounces down off Kijun in downtrend. **Exit:** Close when price closes back inside cloud (trend might be ending) or if Tenkan/Kijun cross against your position.
- **Multi-indicator (Unicorn rules): Ideal Long:** Bullish SATY trigger + bullish EMA cloud + Squeeze fired long + Ichimoku bullish = enter aggressively (highest conviction). **Moderate Long:** If 2 agree and others neutral (e.g. ATR trigger + squeeze fire but Ichimoku neutral) = can enter but maybe smaller size or need other confirm like volume. **Avoid Long:** If one major component opposes (e.g. squeeze fired long but Ichimoku cloud strongly bearish and thick overhead), probably a false signal – stand aside or quick scalp only. Similar logic for shorts. Essentially, *the more independent confirmations, the better*, and if conflicting signals, either no trade or wait until resolved.

With all the above in place, we have a comprehensive plan. The next steps will be implementation: building the Pine script out fully, setting up the n8n flow with the structured LLM, testing, and iterating. The user wanted to know “*after doing the deep research what's the steps for making this real life*” – in summary:

#### Implementation Steps (Milestones):

1. **Develop & Test Pine Script on TradingView (Milestone M2):** Incorporate real calculations for Saty ATR (or at least import the indicator), EMA clouds, TTM Squeeze, Ichimoku into the script. Plot them and ensure the `alertcondition` fires correctly with the JSON. Test on a chart (e.g. SPY 5min) in replay to see that alerts trigger as expected.
2. **Set Up n8n and Integrations (M3):** Configure the webhook endpoint in n8n. Write the GPT-4 prompt with our rules. Input the JSON schema. Connect a dummy broker API (or just log to console initially). Also connect a Discord webhook for notifications of decisions. Run a few manual test alerts through (we can use n8n's manual trigger with a copied JSON) to see if agent outputs correctly. Tweak prompt as needed (ensuring it doesn't hallucinate and strictly follows rules).
3. **Calibrate Unicorn Threshold (M4):** Using historical data (perhaps exporting indicator values from Pine or by writing a Python backtester with similar logic), determine what unicorn\_score corresponds to good outcomes. Adjust Pine's `unicorn_score` formula (currently a placeholder sum) to better

reflect rarity. Possibly replace it with a lookup table or a more mathematical combination. Aim that `unicorn_score` ~0.7 is a sweet spot. Update prompt to use that value.

**4. Dry Run End-to-End:** Put TradingView on replay or live on a quiet market and generate a test alert that goes through the whole pipeline to the paper broker (maybe use a small real market like a crypto on weekend to test in realtime). Ensure the order gets placed, and stops/tp are set correctly. Monitor Discord to confirm notifications come.

**5. Implement WordPress Dashboard (M5):** Deploy the custom plugin on iava.ai (we have cPanel/FTP). Create a page "Dashboard" that uses a shortcode or custom template to show the last signal. For start, maybe just display the last JSON or last few trades in a simple table. Style it minimally. In n8n, add an HTTP node to POST the relevant info to `iava/v1/signal` after each decision. Test by sending a sample. Then check the site.

**6. Paper Trade and Iterate (M6 part1):** Go live on paper trading during market hours. Let it run for a week or two, collecting stats. Analyze performance: Are the signals triggering sensibly? Too many false starts? Perhaps we'll find we need to adjust sensitivity or add a condition (like maybe we got a few trades where `unicorn_score` was borderline and they failed – we might decide to raise threshold or incorporate volume filter). This is the time to fine-tune.

**7. Go/No-Go Decision for Live:** If paper results are promising (PF, win rate decent, drawdown acceptable), move to a small live account. Start with minimal size (maybe risk 0.25% or even fixed 100\$ risk). Monitor closely for any discrepancies (live fills, slippage). Over another several weeks, build confidence. If all good, gradually scale position size to intended level.

Throughout this journey, maintain close contact between the AI agent's outputs and reality – if the AI ever outputs something nonsensical, adjust the prompt or add rules to handle that scenario. For example, if the agent says `action: order` but `dir:NONE`, that means our prompt logic missed something (we'll ensure the prompt explicitly says if `dir == NONE` then pass). We'll also implement failsafes like if the AI doesn't respond in time (n8n can have a timeout), perhaps default to no action.

By the end, we expect a fully autonomous system that the user can watch via the website and tweak high-level settings (like risk% or pause). The combination of our deep research and careful planning should give the user a state-of-the-art trading assistant that merges multiple technical approaches – essentially **bringing "ultrathink" (ultra analysis) into automated trading**.

---

1 2 7 8 Saty ATR Levels — Indicator by satymahajan — TradingView

<https://www.tradingview.com/script/Ty6CMBw9-Saty-ATR-Levels/>

3 4 5 6 Saty ATR Levels | PDF | Technical Analysis | Equity Securities

<https://www.scribd.com/document/940198858/Saty-ATR-Levels>

9 Frustrated and still trying to figure it out.... - useThinkScript Community

<https://usethinkscript.com/threads/frustrated-and-still-trying-to-figure-it-out.15012/>

10 11 12 13 14 16 77 78 79 82 Satyland - Saty Pivot Ribbon

<https://www.satyland.com/pivotribbon>

15 Satyland - Saty ATR Levels

<https://www.satyland.com/atrlevels>

17 18 24 30 80 Ripster EMA Clouds — Indicator by ripster47 — TradingView

<https://www.tradingview.com/script/7LPOiiMN-Ripster-EMA-Clouds/>

19 20 21 22 23 25 26 27 29 31 The EMA Cloud: The Next Generation Of Indicators?

<https://www.chartlearning.com/2021/08/Ema-cloud-indicator-.html>

28 Ripster Clouds Explained - RipsterEducation | PDF

<https://www.scribd.com/document/820196555/Ripster-Clouds-Explained-RipsterEducation>

32 33 34 35 36 37 40 70 81 TTM Squeeze | ChartSchool | StockCharts.com

<https://chartschool.stockcharts.com/table-of-contents/technical-indicators-and-overlays/technical-indicators/ttm-squeeze>

38 39 41 43 44 69 Volatility Compression Momentum Breakout Tracking Strategy: Quantitative

Implementation of TTM Squeeze Indicator | by FMZQuant | Medium

<https://medium.com/@FMZQuant/volatility-compression-momentum-breakout-tracking-strategy-quantitative-implementation-of-ttm-dfe0232ccf51>

42 Introduction to TTM Squeeze | TrendSpider Learning Center

<https://trendspider.com/learning-center/introduction-to-ttm-squeeze/>

45 How to Use the Squeeze Momentum Indicator to Time Trades

<https://enlightenedstocktrading.com/squeeze-momentum-indicator/>

46 47 48 61 62 Ichimoku Cloud Trading Strategies | ChartSchool | StockCharts.com

<https://chartschool.stockcharts.com/table-of-contents/trading-strategies-and-models/trading-strategies/ichimoku-cloud-trading-strategies>

49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 63 83 Understanding Ichimoku Cloud In Trading for

BINANCE:BTCUSDT by CandelaCharts — TradingView

<https://www.tradingview.com/chart/BTCUSDT/spdL6bGc-Understanding-Ichimoku-Cloud-In-Trading/>

60 Trading strategy: Ichimoku TKC - WH SelfInvest

[https://www.whselfinvest.com/en/trading\\_strategies\\_17\\_Ichimoku\\_TKC.php](https://www.whselfinvest.com/en/trading_strategies_17_Ichimoku_TKC.php)

64 65 The Power Of Multiple Trading Signals In Confluence Zones - Trading Setups Review

<https://www.tradingsetupsreview.com/power-multiple-trading-signals-confluence-zones/>

66 Pointwise Mutual Information (PMI) - Empathy First Media

<https://empathyfirstmedia.com/pointwise-mutual-information-pmi/>

67 68 Super Signals

<https://www.metastock.com/products/thirdparty/?3pc-add-ss>

71 Using Stop-Loss and Take-Profit in ATAs

<https://atas.net/atas-possibilities/using-stop-loss-and-take-profit-in-atas/>

72 [PDF] Average True Range Indicator Trading Strategy [PDF] - HowToTrade

<https://howtotrade.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/Average-True-Range.pdf>

73 Satyland

<https://www.satyland.com/>

74 2% Rule in Investing: Manage Risk and Limit Losses with Examples

<https://www.investopedia.com/terms/t/two-percent-rule.asp>

**75 Setting a Maximum Daily Loss When Day Trading (so a single day ...**

<https://tradethatswing.com/setting-a-daily-loss-limit-when-day-trading/?srsltid=AfmBOoqLJY7axzITGstB5gM-KveInvAm0BiRuEB64CLxet6HFbfTvQI>

**76 What is the Daily Loss Limit and what happens if I exceed it?**

<https://help.topstep.com/en/articles/8284207-what-is-the-daily-loss-limit-and-what-happens-if-i-exceed-it>