

# Problem Set 3

*QPM II - Fall 2018*

*10/2/2018*

## Maximum Likelihood: Closed-Form Estimation

1. Find the MLE of  $\theta$  for

$$X \sim \text{Poisson}(\theta) = \frac{\theta^x \exp(-\theta)}{x!}$$

Does the second derivative of the log-likelihood indicate that the MLE occurs at a maximum? Does it attain the Cramer-Rao Lower Bound?

2. Find the MLE of  $\theta$  for

$$X \sim \text{Rayleigh}(\theta) = \frac{x}{\theta^2} \exp\left(\frac{-x^2}{2\theta^2}\right)$$

Does the second derivative of the log-likelihood indicate that the MLE occurs at a maximum? Does it attain the Cramer-Rao Lower Bound?

3. Find the MLE of  $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \mu \\ \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}$  for

$$X \sim N(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta_2^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-(2 - \theta_1)^2}{2\theta_2^2}\right)$$

and evaluate the Hessian.

4. Prove that the MLE of  $\theta$  for

$$X \sim \text{Weibull}(\beta, \theta) = \frac{\beta}{\theta^\beta} x^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\left(-\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^\beta\right), \text{ for } \beta \text{ and } \theta > 0$$

$$\text{is } \hat{\theta} = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum x_i^\beta\right)^{1/\beta}$$

## Maximum Likelihood 2: Computational Approximation

5. Prove that the score function w.r.t  $\beta$  for

$$X \sim \text{Weibull}(\beta, \theta) = \frac{\beta}{\theta^\beta} x^{\beta-1} \exp\left(\left(-\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^\beta\right), \text{ for } \beta \text{ and } \theta > 0$$

$$, \text{ is } \sum^n \left( \frac{1}{\beta} - \log(\theta) + \log(x) - \log\left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right) \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^\beta \right).$$

6. We can see above that  $\hat{\beta}$  lacks a neat, closed-form solution, therefore we need to estimate the MLE of  $\beta$  by computational approximation. One method is by root-finding, where we find the value of  $\beta$  such that the score function of the log-likelihood equals 0. Since we've already evaluated the first derivative of the log-likelihood w.r.t  $\beta$ , it means that the score function equals 0 when

$$\sum^n \left( \frac{1}{\beta} - \log(\theta) + \log(x) - \log\left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right) \left(\frac{x}{\theta}\right)^\beta \right) = 0$$

Therefore to find the MLE of  $\beta$  via root-finding, do the following (**showing the code for each step**)

- Generate 1000 random draws from  $\text{Weibull}(\beta = 5, \theta = 7)$ . This is your data.
  - Code the score function directly above as a function of  $\beta$  and  $x_i$  (your randomly generated data). (Remember that MLEs work as plug-in estimators. That means you can plug in  $\hat{\theta}$ , solved in Problem 4, for  $\theta$ . This will make the score function only a function of  $\beta$  and  $x_i$ )
  - Apply a root finding algorithm to your function, given your observed data (in R use `uniroot()`; for non-R users, find an analogous function).
  - Return the result (hint: if it's not  $\approx 5$ , you've done something wrong)
7. Find the log-likelihood, its gradient, and its second derivative for
- $$X \sim \text{Frechet}(\alpha, \text{scale} = 1, \text{location} = 0) = \alpha (x)^{-1-\alpha} \exp(x)^{-\alpha}$$
8. Then write out the generic Newton-Raphson update step (do not simplify the ratio of the gradient to the second derivative).
9. Finally, do the following (**showing the code for each step**)
- Generate 1000 random draws from  $\text{Frechet}(\alpha = 3, \text{scale} = 1, \text{location} = 0)$  (in R, this distribution is contained in `library(evd)`; beyond R, Google it). This is your data.
  - Code the Newton-Raphson update step as a function of  $\alpha$  and  $x_i$  (your randomly generated data).
  - Run the update step 10 times, using  $\alpha_0 = 1$  as a start value
  - Return the result (hint: if it's not  $\approx 3$ , you've done something wrong)
10. Re-run the Newton-Raphson algorithm, again using  $\alpha_0 = 1$  as the start value. This time, do not return the result, but plot a tangent line to the likelihood function at each step (please include all steps on a single plot).
11. Write out the generic gradient descent update step for  $\alpha$  (just keep  $\gamma$  as a generic value)
12. Re-do Problem 9, but this time using the gradient descent algorithm, instead of Newton-Raphson. Set  $\gamma = 0.01$
13. Perform a parametric bootstrap for  $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda = 5)$ . Calculate the asymptotic standard error of  $\lambda^2$ . **Show your code.**
14. Perform a parametric bootstrap for  $X \sim N(\mu = 5, \sigma^2 = 1)$ . What are the mean and standard error of the asymptotic distribution of  $\frac{1}{\mu}$ ? **Show your code.**