# Problem Set 4

Jacob M. Montgomery 10/27/2017

### 1) LR test for the exponential function

Assume we have

$$x \sim \theta \exp(-\theta x)$$

We want to to test:

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$$

against

$$H_1: \theta > \theta_0$$

- a. Find the likelihood function.
- b. Find the numerator for the likelihood ratio
- c. Find the first derivative of the log-likelihood  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta}$  d. Show that  $\mathcal{L}\partial\theta$  is an increasing function for  $\theta < \frac{1}{\bar{x}}$  and a decrasing function for  $\theta > \frac{1}{\bar{x}}$ .
- e. Use your answer to (d) to prove that:

$$\sup\{L(\theta|\mathbf{x}): \theta \in \Theta\} = \begin{cases} \bar{x}^{-n} \exp(n) & \text{if } \frac{1}{\bar{x}} \ge \theta_0\\ \theta_0^n \exp(-n\theta_0 \bar{x}) & \text{if } \frac{1}{\theta_0} < \theta_0 \end{cases}$$

Use a picture to explain this result.

- f. Find the likelihood ratio statistics  $\lambda$  when  $\frac{1}{\bar{x}} \geq \theta_0$  and  $\frac{1}{\bar{x}} < \theta_0$
- g. Assume that  $\theta_0 > 0$ . Show hat the first derivative of  $\bar{\lambda}$  in terms of  $\bar{x}$  is positive for  $\bar{x} \in (0, \frac{1}{\theta_0})$ . This shows that  $\lambda$  is a non-decrasing function of  $\bar{x}$ .
- h. Use (g) to show that the rejection region for the LRT will then be of the form:

$$R = \{ \mathbf{x} : \bar{x} < c \}$$

You do *not* have to figure out what c is.

#### 2) One sample t-test

In class we worked through the LRT for normal data where we assumed that  $\sigma^2$  was known. Now you are going to work through it where  $\sigma$  is not known. Note, however, that the null hypothesis will still only involve  $\mu$ . We assume nothing about  $\sigma^2$  under the null. (Not also, that  $\sigma^2$  is the parameter of interest rather than  $\sigma$ .)

a. We have that:

$$\Theta = \left\{ (\theta, \sigma^2) : \theta \in \mathcal{R}, \sigma^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+ \right\}$$

$$\Theta_0 = \left\{ (\theta, \sigma^2) : \theta = \theta_0, \sigma^2 \in \mathcal{R}^+ \right\}$$

Find the log-likelihood under the null hypothesis.

b. Take the derivative of the log-likelihood in terms of  $\sigma^2$ , set it equal to zero, and solve for  $\sigma^2$ . We will call this  $\sigma^{2*}$ .

1

c. Substitute this into your answer for (a). Discuss how this shows that:

$$\sup \left\{ L(\theta_0, \sigma^2) \right\} = \left( \frac{2\pi}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta_0)^2 \right)^{-n/2} e^{-n/2}$$

d. Use our previous results about the MLE for normal data to find:

$$\sup\left\{L(\theta,\sigma^2)\right\}$$

- e. Find the likelihood ratio statistics  $\lambda$ .
- f. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \theta_0)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x}) + (\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2$$

Show that this allows us to re-write  $\lambda$  as:

$$\lambda = \left(1 + \frac{n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}\right)^{-n/2}$$

g. Show that if the critical region for the LRT is:

$$R^* = \{\mathbf{x} : \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \le k\}$$

this implies that  $H_0$  can be rejected when the value of

$$\frac{|\bar{x} - \theta_0|}{\sqrt{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}}$$

is greater than or equal to some constant.

h. Using the knowledge that

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \theta}{S / \sqrt{n}} \sim t(n - 1)$$

, show how we can re-write the critical region such that

$$R = \left\{ \mathbf{x} : \frac{|\bar{x} - \theta_0|}{\sqrt{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} > c \right\}$$

- g. Assume that we have data (1, 3, 2, 4, -1, 7, 19, 3, -4, -5, -8) and  $\theta_0 = 0$ . Conduct the likelihood ratio test for the null hypotheses using the results above. Your test should have size of 0.10.
- h. Find the power function for this test.
- i. Plot the power function for this test. Indicate the region where the null hypothesis is accepted and rejected.
- j. Look at the power function right at the threshold of the rejection region. Would you be happy drawing results from this test?

## 3) Simulation

Let  $\phi$  represent the proportion of cases where the null hypothesis is true. Let  $\alpha$  be the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis if it is true. Let  $(1-\beta)$  be the probability of rejecting given that the null hypothesis is false.

a. If we test many hypotheses, show that the false positive rate is then:

$$\frac{\alpha\phi}{\alpha\phi(1-\beta)(1-\phi)}$$

b. If  $\frac{\phi}{1-\phi}$  is 1/5, what is the false positive rate when  $\alpha = .05$  and  $\beta = .75$ ?

c. If  $\frac{\phi}{1-\phi}$  is 1/20, what is the false positive rate when  $\alpha = .05$  and  $\beta = .75$ ?

d. If  $\frac{\phi}{1-\phi}$  is 1/40, what is the false positive rate when  $\alpha = .05$  and  $\beta = .6$ ?

## 4) Bayes factors

If I, Prof. Montgomery, flipped a coin 20 times and it came up heads 18 times, what is the probability that it is a fair coin?

a. Set the problem up as a complete Bayesian problem.

b. Set the problem up as a choice of two discrete choices and solve explicitly.

c. Now imagine that Ryden Butler flipped a coin 20 times and it came up heads 18 times. Change your priors accordingly and re-do the problem.