

# Day 32: The memento design pattern

The memento design pattern is all about restoring an object to a previous state. It consists of:

1. **Originator** - an object we want to roll back
2. **Caretaker** - the object that triggers a roll back
3. **Memento** - an object that carries the actual prior state of the originator

Do these all just sound like objects, some with mutable state? Well, Scala is a language that encourages immutability, so in this example we'll lean towards a "Scala-esque" approach that uses a stack for state management. Let's be real, though - a stack is just a fancy way to do mutability, i.e. "I want to use the same reference for deterministically-changing information over time". You better do your threading right, otherwise "deterministically" goes out the window.

Anywho. Let's start with three traits for the above entities:

```
1 trait Memento[T] {  
2   protected val state: T  
3   def getState(): T = state  
4 }  
5  
6 trait Caretaker[T] {  
7   val states: mutable.Stack[Memento[T]] = mutable.Stack[Memento[T]]()  
8 }  
9  
10 trait Originator[T] {  
11   def createMemento: Memento[T]  
12   def restore(memento: Memento[T])  
13 }
```

The `Caretaker` manages our mutable stack of state here, whereas the `originator` handle interactions for managing new state.

Our implementation makes the caretaker the point of interaction — an `originator` can deal with a single `memento` instance, whereas a `Caretaker` deals with sets of them. It's a pretty standard one-to-many composition situation at the end of the day.

What this means for the originator is this:

```
1 class TextEditor extends Originator[String] {  
2   private var builder: StringBuilder = new StringBuilder  
3 }
```

```

4  def append(text: String): Unit = {
5      builder.append(text)
6  }
7
8  def delete(): Unit = {
9      if (builder.nonEmpty) {
10         builder.deleteCharAt(builder.length - 1)
11     }
12 }
13
14 override def createMemento: Memento[String] = new TextEditorMemento(builder.toString)
15
16 override def restore(memento: Memento[String]): Unit = this.builder = new
StringBuilder(memento.getState())
17
18 def text(): String = builder.toString
19
20 private class TextEditorMemento(val state: String) extends Memento[String]
21 }

```

In words - our actual memento is just a given string. The originator handles modifications of that string, and can save the current string state at any point. Now, we need a caretaker to **receive** the createMemento calls, and to pass in the memento to restore:

```

1  class TextEditorManipulator extends Caretaker[String] {
2      private val textEditor = new TextEditor
3
4      def save(): Unit = {
5          states.push(textEditor.createMemento)
6      }
7
8      def undo(): Unit = {
9          if (states.nonEmpty) {
10             textEditor.restore(states.pop())
11         }
12     }
13
14     def append(text: String): Unit = {
15         save()
16         textEditor.append(text)
17     }
18
19     def delete(): Unit = {
20         save()
21         textEditor.delete()
22     }
23
24     def readText(): String = textEditor.text()
25 }

```

Notice the layers of encapsulation here - these objects are all related, but they each have one more layer of responsibility here. "i am one state" -> "i change and save one state" -> "i manage changes of multiple states".

Drawbacks of this pattern are the standard issues with both mutability and stacks (mutability):

- Multi-threaded access might get sticky, especially if your stack objects are mutable!
- Your queue is unbounded - memory?