

Problem 3

COLLABORATION

On problem 3, I collaborated with no one, and received help from Alex Willisson and Robert Sloan, and referred to wolframalpha.com, wikipedia.org, and mathisfun.com.

- a) $(P \text{ implies } Q) \text{ OR } (Q \text{ implies } P)$ ~~can be simplified by the equivalence of $P \text{ implies } Q$ to $\text{NOT}(P) \text{ OR } Q$~~

P	Q	$(P \text{ implies } Q)$	OR	$(Q \text{ implies } P)$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	F
F	F	T	T	T

$(P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow P)$ is valid

- b) problem: construct R such that it is valid iff P and Q are equivalent.

when $P \neq Q$	
F	F
F	T
T	F
T	T

when $P = Q$	
T	T
F	F

$$R = (\bar{P} \wedge \bar{Q}) \vee (P \wedge Q)$$

P	Q	$(\bar{P} \wedge \bar{Q})$	\vee	$(P \wedge Q)$
T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	F	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	F

$R = (\bar{P} \wedge \bar{Q}) \vee (P \wedge Q)$ is only always true (valid) when P and Q are equivalent.

- c) $\text{NOT}(P)$ is true only when P is false. For P to be valid according to the proposition, it must be false, which is a contradiction, because a valid proposition can never be false.

- d) $P_1 \text{ IFF } P_2 = S$ forces P_1 to be different than P_2 . Because the set $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ is only consistent if they are the propositions are all true, S forces them to be inconsistent if S is valid.