Diagnostic plots for independent filtering

Richard Bourgon

25 October 2009

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Data preparation	1
3	Filtering volcano plot	2
4	Rejection count plots 4.1 Across p-value cutoffs	3
	4.2 Across filtering fractions	- 4

1 Introduction

This vignette illustrates use of some functions in the *genefilter* package that provide useful diagnostics for independent filtering [1]:

- kappa_p and kappa_t
- filtered_p and filtered_R
- filter_volcano
- rejection_plot

2 Data preparation

Load the ALL data set and the genefilter package:

- > library("genefilter")
- > library("ALL")
- > data("ALL")

Reduce to just two conditions, then take a small subset of arrays from these, with 3 arrays per condition:




Figure 1: Left panel: plot produced by the filter_volcano function. Right panel: graph of the kappa_t function.

We now use functions from genefilter to compute overall standard devation filter statistics as well as standard two-sample t and releated statistics.

```
> S <- rowSds( exprs( subsample ) )
> temp <- rowttests( subsample, subsample$mol.biol )
> d <- temp$dm
> p <- temp$p.value
> t <- temp$statistic</pre>
```

3 Filtering volcano plot

Filtering on overall standard deviation and then using a standard t-statistic induces a lower bound of fold change, albeit one which varies somewhat with the significance of the t-statistic. The filter_volcano function allows you to visualize this effect.

```
> S_cutoff <- quantile(S, .50)
> filter_volcano(d, p, S, n1, n2, alpha=.01, S_cutoff)
```

The output is shown in the left panel of Fig. 1.




Figure 2: Left panel: plot produced by the rejection_plot function. Right panel: graph of theta.

The kappa_p and kappa_t functions, used to make the volcano plot, compute the fold change bound multiplier as a function of either a t-test p-value or the t-statistic itself. The actual induced bound on the fold change is κ times the filter's cutoff on the overall standard deviation. Note that fold change bounds for values of |T| which are close to 0 are not of practical interest because we will not reject the null hypothesis with test statistics in this range.

```
> t <- seq(0, 5, length=100)
> plot(t, kappa_t(t, n1, n2) * S_cutoff,
+ xlab="|T|", ylab="Fold change bound", type="l")
```

The plot is shown in the right panel of Fig. 1.

4 Rejection count plots

4.1 Across p-value cutoffs

The filtered_p function permits easy simulataneous calculation of unadjusted or adjusted p-values over a range of filtering thresholds (θ). Here, we return to the full "BCR/ABL" versus "NEG" data set, and compute adjusted p-values using the method of Benjamini and Hochberg, for a range of different filter stringencies.

```
> head(p_bh)
```

```
10%
                                20%
                                          30%
                                                     40%
                                                               50%
[1,] 0.9185626 0.8943104 0.8624798 0.8278077
                                                      NA
                                                                NA
[2,] 0.9585758 0.9460504 0.9304104 0.9059466 0.8874485 0.8709793
[3,] 0.7022442
                      ΝA
                                                      NΑ
                                 NA
                                           NA
                                                                NA
[4,] 0.9806216 0.9747555 0.9680574 0.9567131
                                                      NA
                                                                NA
[5,] 0.9506087 0.9349386 0.9123998 0.8836386
                                                      ΝA
                                                                NΑ
[6,] 0.6339004 0.5896890 0.5440851 0.4951371 0.4497915 0.4102711
```

The rejection_plot function takes sets of p-values corresponding to different filtering choices — in the columns of a matrix or in a list — and shows how rejection count (R) relates to the choice of cutoff for the p-values. For these data, over a reasonable range of FDR cutoffs, increased filtering corresponds to increased rejections.

The plot is shown in the left panel of Fig. 2.

4.2 Across filtering fractions

If we select a fixed cutoff for the adjusted p-values, we can also look more closely at the relationship between the fraction of null hypotheses filtered and the total number of discoveries. The filtered_R function wraps filtered_p and just returns rejection counts. It requires a p-value cutoff.

```
> theta <- seq(0, .80, .01)
> R_BH <- filtered_R(alpha=.10, S2, p2, theta, method="BH")
> head(R_BH)
0% 1% 2% 3% 4% 5%
251 251 253 255 255 261
```

Because overfiltering (or use of a filter which is inappropriate for the application domain) discards both false and true null hypotheses, very large values of θ reduce power in this example:

The plot is shown in the right panel of Fig. 2.

Session information

- R version 2.15.0 RC (2012-03-22 r58802), i386-apple-darwin9.8.0
- Base packages: base, datasets, grDevices, graphics, methods, stats, utils

- \bullet Other packages: ALL 1.4.11, Biobase 2.16.0, BiocGenerics 0.2.0, class 7.3-3, genefilter 1.38.0
- Loaded via a namespace (and not attached): AnnotationDbi 1.18.0, DBI 0.2-5, IRanges 1.14.0, RSQLite 0.11.1, annotate 1.34.0, splines 2.15.0, stats4 2.15.0, survival 2.36-12, tools 2.15.0, xtable 1.7-0

References

[1] Richard Bourgon, Robert Gentleman and Wolfgang Huber. Independent filtering increases power for detecting differentially expressed genes.